IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON

FOR THE COUNTY OF DESCHUTES.

IN THE MATTER OF THE DETERMINATION OF)
THE RELATIVE RIGHTS TO THE USE OF THE)
WATERS OF THE DESCHUTES RIVER AND ITS)
TRIBUTARIES, A TRIBUTARY OF COLUMBIA)
RIVER.

MODIFIED FINDINGS
AND
DECREE.

Now, at this time, this matter coming on for the entry of final decree herein, and it appearing to the Court that all exceptions filed since the entry of the findings and order of determination of the State Engineer have been duly heard by the Court, and that the Court after having carefully considered all evidence submitted herein, has entered its findings and opinion modifying the order of the State Engineer in certain particulars, and where not so modified, has accepted the order of the State Engineer as the findings of the Court on which a decree may be based, and it appearing that modified findings and decree should be entered embracing all of the provisions of the Court's findings on exceptions and the findings of the State Engineer where not modified by the Court, and the Court being now fully advised in the premises, it is hereby CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that said findings and order of determination of the State Engineer, as entered in this matter on the 21st day of June, 1926, are hereby modified in accordance with said findings and opinion of the Court, and as so modified shall read as follows:

l.

That on the 20th day of August, 1909, there was filed in the office of the Board of Control of the State of Oregon (afterwards State Water Board, and now State Engineer) a petition signed by Cline Falls Power Company and F. T. Hurlburt, requesting a determination of the relative rights of the various claimants to the use of the waters of the Deschutes River and its tributaries; that on the 5th day of June, 1911, there was filed in the office of the Board of Control a petition signed by Eva A. Steele and Frank Walker requesting a determination of the relative rights to the use of the waters of Trout Creek and Cold Springs, tributaries of Deschutes River; that on the 1st day of February, 1913, there was filed in the office of the State Water Board a petition signed by Central Oregon Irrigation Company Water Users Association, requesting a determination of the relative rights to the use of the waters of Deschutes River; that on the 4th day of March, 1914, there was filed in the office of the State Water Board a petition signed by C. F. Smith, requesting a determination of the relative rights to the use of the waters of Willow Creek and its tributaries, a tributary of Deschutes River; that on the 11th day of March, 1922, there was filed

Index on p. 511

in the office of the State Water Board a petition signed by North Canal Company, requesting a determination of the relative rights to the use of the waters of Deschutes River and its tributaries; that on the 17th day of March, 1922, there was filed in the office of the State Water Board a petition signed by William G. Cox and Central Oregon Irrigation Company, requesting a determination of the relative rights to the use of the waters of Deschutes River and its tributaries; that on the 10th day of April, 1922, there was filed in the office of the State Water Board a petition signed by W. B. Smith, Glenn H. Slack and E. A. Brandon, requesting a determination of the relative rights to the use of the waters of Deschutes River and its tributaries; that on the 12th day of June, 1922, there was filed in the office of the State Water Board a petition signed by C. W. Palmehn and Grizzly Livestock & Land Company, requesting a determination of the relative rights to the use of the waters of Willow Creek and its tributaries, a tributary of Deschutes River. That thereafter the office of the State Water Board was abolished by law, and its work and duties devolved upon the State Engineer. That the State Engineer after a full investigation and due consideration of said petitions, finding the facts and conditions such as to justify it, made and entered an order fixing a time for the beginning of such examinations and investigations and surveys as would enable him to determine the relative rights of the various claimants to the use of the waters of Deschutes River and all of its tributaries, except those upon which such a determination had already been made.

2.

That a notice was prepared by the State Engineer setting forth the fact that such examinations, surveys and investigations of the flow of the stream and of the ditches diverting water therefrom were being conducted, and said notice was published in two issues of the Maupin Times, a newspaper published weekly in the town of Maupin, Wasco County, Oregon, said two issues being those of June 21st and 28th, 1923; and said notice was published in two issues of the Madras Pioneer, a newspaper published weekly in the town of Madras, Jefferson County, Oregon, said two issues being those of June 21st and 28th, 1923; and said notice was published in two issues of the Bend Bulletin, a newspaper published daily in the city of Bend, Deschutes County, Oregon, said two issues being those of June 16th and 17th, 1923; said newspapers having general circulation in the counties in which such stream is situated.

3.

That duly qualified assistants of the State Engineer did proceed to make examinations, surveys and measurements of said stream and its tributaries, and of the ditches and canals or other works diverting water therefrom and surveys of the lands

irrigated, and gathered such other data and information as were essential to the proper determination of the relative rights of the parties interested; which said observations and measurements were reduced to writing and made a matter of record in his office. And the State Engineer did cause to be prepared a set of maps or plats on a scale of four inches to the mile, showing with substantial accuracy the course of said stream and its tributaries, the location of each ditch or canal diverting water therefrom, and the number of acres of land which had been irrigated in each legal subdivision; blue prints of said maps and plats being now on file and a part of the record herein.

4.

That as soon as practicable after the examination and measurements were completed as described in the preceding paragraph, the State Engineer did prepare a notice setting forth a place and time certain when he would begin the taking of testimony (in the form of written statements and proofs of claim) as to the relative rights of the various claimants to the use of the waters of said stream and its tributaries. That said notice was published in two issues of the Madras Pioneer, a newspaper published weekly in the town of Madras, Jefferson County, Oregon, said two issues being those of September 27th and October 4th, 1923; and said notice was published in two issues of the Bend Bulletin, a newspaper published daily in the city of Bend, Deschutes County, Oregon, said two issues being those of September 20th and 21st, 1923; and said notice was published in two issues of the Maupin Times, a newspaper published weekly in the town of Maupin, Wasco County, Oregon, said two issues being those of September 27th and October 4th, 1923; and said notice was published in two issues of The Dalles Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in the city of The Dalles, Wasco County, Oregon, said two issues being those of September 22d and 24th, 1923; said newspapers having general circulation in the counties in which such stream is situated, the last publication of said notice in each case being at least 30 days prior to the first date fixed for the taking of claims by the State Engineer.

5.

That the State Engineer did send by registered mail to each person, firm or corporation claiming a right to the use of any of the waters of said stream, and to each person, firm or corporation owning or being in possession of lands bordering on or having access to said stream or its tributaries, insofar as said claimants and owners and persons in possession could reasonably be ascertained, a notice similar to such published notice, setting forth the date when the State Engineer or his authorized assistants would receive the statements and proofs of claim of the various claimants to the waters of said stream and its tributaries. That said notice was mailed at least

30 days prior to the date set therein for the receiving of claims in each instance.

And the State Engineer did enclose with each of said notices a blank form upon which
the claimant or owner could prepare in writing all the particulars necessary for the
determination of his rights, under oath.

6.

That the times and places when and where the State Engineer, or his duly authorized assistant should attend and receive the claims of the various parties were fixed as follows, to-wit:

On Tuesday, the 6th day of November, 1923, in the Circuit Court Room of the County Court House at The Dalles, Oregon;

On Wednesday, the 7th day of November, 1923, at the City Hall in Maupin, Oregon;

On Thursday, the 8th day of November, 1923, in the Circuit Court Room of the County Court House at Madras, Oregon;

On Friday and Saturday, the 9th and 10th days of November, 1923, in the Circuit Court Room of the County Court House at Bend, Oregon;

And for a period of 30 days beginning November 14th, 1923, at the office of the State Engineer in the Capitol Building at Salem, Oregon.

That during the period so specified in such notice, claims to the use of the waters of said stream and its tributaries were filed by the following parties, to-wit:

Amidon, Eugene, Moro, Ore.
Anderson, Emil, Bend, Ore.
Anderson, Fred & Emil, Bend, Ore.
Anderson, Nettie, Bend, Ore.
Arnold Irrigation Company, Bend, Ore., by Glenn H. Slack,
Sec'y., Bend, Ore.
Atkinson, John, Bend, Ore.
Awbrey, D. T., Eugene, Ore.

Bates, James P., Redmond, Ore.
Bates, Maggie M., Redmond, Ore.
Becker, C. P., Tumalo, Ore.
Beers, G. W., Tumalo, Ore.
Belshe, O. L. & Geo. Meloy, Moro, Ore.
Bend, City of, Bend, Ore.
Bend Water, Light & Power Company, Bend, Ore., by T. H.
Foley, Bend, Ore.
Bogue, J. F., Lapine, Ore.
Boyd, Charles, Bend, Ore.
Braun, Mitcheal, Madras, Ore.
Brooks-Scanlon Lumber Company, Bend, Ore., by H. K. Brooks,
Vice-President, Bend, Ore.
Bullard, Caroline F., Tumalo, Ore.

Central Oregon Irrigation Company, Deschutes, Ore., by
Denton G. Burdick, Redmond, Ore.

Central Oregon Irrigation District, Redmond, Ore., by
H. H. DeArmond, Att'y., Bend, Ore.

Chastain, W. O., Maupin, Ore.

Chinook Land Company, Redmond, Ore.

Cline Falls Power Company, Portland, Ore., by Percy A.

Cupper, Att'y., Salem, Ore.

Columbia-Deschutes Power Company, Carson City, Nevada,
by Veazie & Veazie, Att'y., Portland, Oregon.
Columbia Valley Power Company, 402 NW Bk Bldg., Portland, Ore.,
by F. R. Schanck
Corbett, Henry L., Portland, Ore.
Couch, G. M., Tumalo, Ore.
Couch, J. L., Tumalo, Ore.
Crook County Improvement District #1, Redmond, Ore., by
N. G. Wallace, Att'y. & Agt., Prineville, Ore.

Danielski, Walter, Redmond, Ore.

Dean, Edward F., Tumalo, Ore.

Dee, John W., Grizzly, Ore.

Deschutes County Municipal Improvement District, Tumalo, Ore.,

by L. E. Daigler, Pres., Tumalo, Ore.

Deschutes Falls Power Company, Carson City, Nev., by

Veazie & Veazie, Att'y., Portland, Ore.

Deschutes Railroad Company, Portland, Ore.

Deschutes Reclamation & Irrigation Company, Bend, Ore., by

C. S. Benson, Sec'y., Bend, Ore.

Dizney, Gillis O., Madras, Ore.

Dizney, John T., Madras, Ore.

Dunn, E. J., Tumalo, Ore.

East Maupin Water System, East Maupin, Ore.

Fall River Irrigation Company, Bend, Ore. Farre, Soren, Gateway, Ore. Fogle, Antone, Grizzly, Ore. Frohnhofer, Fritz, Grizzly, Ore. Frohnhoefer, Henry, Grizzly, Ore.

George, W. P., Salem, Ore., by R. B. Parson
Gerking, J. O., Tumalo, Ore.
Gilson, E. D., Bend, Ore.
Gilchrist, Ralph E., Bend, Ore.
Gless, L. H., Bend, Ore.
Graham, E. B., Grandview, Ore.
Grant Smith & Company, Portland, Ore.
Greene, Mrs. L. A., Maupin, Ore.
Grizzly Livestock & Land Company, Madras, Ore., by Lewis H. Irving,
Att'y., Madras, Ore.

Hamilton, L. H., Grizzly, Ore.
Hansen, Carl, Bend, Ore.
Hansen, Clarence M., Camp Sherman, Ore.
Hansen, Joe, Camp Sherman, Ore.
Hansen, Martin, Camp Sherman, Ore.
Harter, A. J., Tumalo, Ore.
Harter, Hannah J., Tumalo, Ore.
Heising, Alice L., Camp Sherman, Ore.
Howard, J. L., Lapine, Ore.
Hurspool, John C., Bend, Ore.

Jefferson Water Conservancy District, by John K. Kollock, Att'y.,
Portland, Ore.

Johnson, Mrs. Dollie Cook, Maupin, Ore.
Jones, O. S., Tumalo, Ore.

Kelly, L. D., Maupin, Ore. Ketchum, D. P. & Son, The Dalles, Ore. Kirtsis, Angelos C., Tumalo, Ore. Kuykendall, E. V., Olympia, Wash.

Lambert, N. J., Grandview, Ore. Lloyd, I. L., Tumalo, Ore.

McColloch, Grace, Terrebonne, Ore.
McGuire, E. S., Bend, Ore.
Marion, Emma J., Tumalo, Ore.
Mayfield, George M., Lapine, Ore.
Mayfield, J. D., Tumalo, Ore.
Mayfield, M. S., Lapine, Ore.
Miller, J. J., Moody, Ore.
Montgomery, E. A., Grandview, Ore.

Montgomery, Glen W., Tumalo, Ore. Moody, Malcolm A., The Dalles, Ore. Moore, Roy, Lapine, Ore.

New, Isaac, Grandview, Ore.
New, J. W., Grandview, Ore.
North Canal Company, 500 Journal Bldg., Portland, Ore.,
by C. M. Redfield, Bend, Ore.

Odin Falls Land Co., Portland, Ore., by Geo. Broughton,
Pres., Portland, Ore.
Oregon Land Corporation, Portland, Ore.
Oregon Trunk Railway, W. F. Turner, Pres., Portland, Ore.

Pacific Power & Light Company, Gasco Bldg., Portland,
Ore., by John A. Laing, Portland, Ore.
Palmehn, Charles W., Grizzly, Ore.
Paxton, G. L., Madras, Ore.
Powell Butte Irrigation District, Prineville, Ore., by
J. O. Baar, Portland, Ore.
Pringle Falls Electric P. & W. Co., 500 Journal Bldg.,
Portland, Ore., by Floyd W. Allen, 302
Spalding Bldg., Portland, Ore.

Redmond, City of, Redmond, Ore.
Riley, O. W. B., Bend, Ore.
River Logging & L. Co., Seattle, Wash.
Rose, J. L., Camp Sherman, Ore.
Round Butte Water Co., Metolius, Ore.
Rutherford, T. A., Portland, Ore.

Sandel, George W., Tumalo, Ore.
Sandel, W. E., Tumalo, Ore.
Shevlin-Hixon Co., Delaware, by J. L. Hennessy, Gen. Mgr.,
Bend, Ore.
Sly, George T., Lapine, Ore.
Smith, Colonel F., Prineville, Ore.
Smith, Robert, Tumalo, Ore.
Smith, W. F. & Elva, Warmsprings, Ore.
Snyder, Lucy, Corning, Cal.
South Unit Improvement District, by Donald M. Graham, Att'y.,
Prineville, Ore.
Spaugh, C. H., Bend, Ore.
Staats, Mrs. Arabella, Maupin, Ore.
Stearns, Fannie E., Eugene, Ore.
Steidl & Tweet, Bend, Ore.
Suttles Lake Irrigation District, Grandview, Ore.
Sweeney, T. A., Portland, Ore.
Swisher, F. V. & Olga, Tumalo, Ore.

Tetherow, Jesse, Redmond, Ore.

U'Ren, Charles P., Gateway, Ore.
U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, H. L. Plumb,
Forest Supervisor, Bend, Ore.

Van Allen, W. E., Redmond, Ore. Vedder, I. D., Terrebonne, Ore. Vincent, Judson H., Grizzly, Ore. Vincent, William C., Grizzly, Ore.

Walker Basin Irrigation Company, by J. E. Morson, 237
Cactus Drive-, Portland, Oregon.

Wallace, May M., Tumalo, Ore.

Ward, Harriett B., Tumalo, Ore.

Webb, M. L., Sherars Bridge, Ore.

West Side Reclamation District, by John K. Kollock, Att'y.,

Corbett Bldg., Portland, Ore.

Wood, Erskine, Portland, Ore.

Woodcock, J. H. & E. C., Maupin, Ore.

Wurzweiler, Will, Prineville, Ore.

That a large number of the persons, firms and corporations which were duly notified of the proceeding by registered mail and by publication of said notice, as shown by Paragraphs 4 and 5 hereof, and by the affidavits of publication and post office registry receipts in Volume 25, (pages 19 to 49) of the evidence herein, have failed, neglected and refused to appear herein and submit proof of a right to the use of the waters of said stream or its tributaries, if any they have or claim, and that such parties are in default, and that such default is here and now entered against them, and each of them, and said parties are hereby enjoined and inhibited from using or asserting any rights to the use of the waters of said stream, or any tributary thereof, except by, through or under the rights of the persons whose water rights are defined herein, or under and by virtue of permits issued by the State Engineer.

8.

That after the completion of the taking of such statements and proofs of claim the State Engineer did, on the 5th day of February, 1924, give notice by registered mail to each of the various claimants to the use of the waters of said stream or its tributaries that at the times and places named in said notice, to-wit:

On Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday, the 25th, 26th and 27th days of February, 1924, in the Circuit Court Room of the County Court House at Bend, Oregon.

On Thursday, the 28th day of February, 1924, in the Circuit Court Room of the County Court House at Madras, Oregon.

On Friday, the 29th day of February, 1924, at the Bank in Maupin, Oregon.

And for a period of 30 days beginning with Monday, the 3d day of March, 1924, and ending with Tuesday, the 1st day of April, 1924, at the office of the State Engineer in the Capitol Building, Salem, Oregon.

the testimony and proofs of claim theretofore filed would be open to public inspection. That said notice did also state therein the county in which the determination of the State Engineer in said proceeding would be heard by the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon, to-wit: The County of Deschutes. That said notice was published in two issues of the Maupin Times, a newspaper published weekly in the town of Maupin, Wasco County, Oregon, said two issues being those of February 9th and 14th, 1924; and said notice was published in two issues of the Madras Pioneer, a newspaper published weekly in the town of Madras, Jefferson County, Oregon, said two issues being those of February 7th and 14th, 1924; and said notice was published in two issues of The Dalles Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in the city of The Dalles, Wasco County, Oregon, said two issues being those of February 8th and 9th, 1924; said newspapers having general circulation in the counties in which such stream is situated.

That duly authorized representatives of the State Engineer did attend in accordance with said notice as described in the preceding paragraph, and keep said claims open to public inspection at said times and places. That within the time fixed by law therefor, the following contests were initiated by the filing with the State Engineer of notices of contest in writing:

```
North Canal Co., Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.
        North Canal Co., Contestant, v. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company, Contestee.
  3. North Canal Co., Contestant, v. Deschutes Falls Power Company, Contestee.
       North Canal Co., Contestant, v. Grant Smith Company, Contestee.
North Canal Co., Contestant, v. Grant Smith Company, Contestee.
North Canal Co., Contestant, v. Odin Falls Land Company, Contestee.
  6.
        North Canal Co., Contestant, v. Steidl & Tweet, Contestees.
 8.
        North Canal Company, Contestant, v. T. A. Sweeney, Contestee.
North Canal Company, Contestant, v. Walker Basin Irrigation Co., Contestee.
 9.
        Grizzly Land & Livestock Co., Contestant, v. Antone Fogle, Contestee.
10.
11.
        Grizzly Land & Livestock Co., Contestant, v. Antone Fogle, Contestee.
        Chas. W. Palmehn, Contestant, v. Antone Fogle, Contestee.

Grizzly Land & Livestock Co., Contestant, v. Henry Frohnhofer, Contestee.
12.
13.
        Grizzly Land & Livestock Co., Contestant, v. Judson H. Vincent, Contestee.
15.
        Chas. W. Palmehn, Contestant, v. Judson H. Vincent, Contestee.
        Grizzly Land & Livestock Co., Contestant, v. William C. Vincent, Contestee. Grizzly Land & Livestock Co., Contestant, v. William C. Vincent, Contestee.
17.
        Chas. W. Palmehn, Contestant, v. William C. Vincent, Contestee.
19.
        Grizzly Land & Livestock Co., Contestant, v. Fritz Frohnhoefer, Contestee.
       North Canal Company, Contestant, v. Shevlin-Hixon Company, Contestee.
North Canal Company, Contestant, v. Brooks-Scanlon Lumber Company, Contestee.
Arabella Staats, Contestant, v. East Maupin Water System, Contestee.
        North Canal Company, Contestant, v. Central Oregon Irrigation District, Contestee.
23.
        North Canal Company, Contestant, v. U. S. of America, U. S. Dept. of Interior, Office of Indian Affairs, Warm Springs Agency, Contestee.
         Walker Basin Irrigation Company, Contestant, v. C. O. I. Dist., Crook County Im-
                 provement Dist. #1, North Canal Co., C. O. I. Co., Bend Water, Light & Power
        Co., Chinook Land Co., W. E. Van Allen, Contestees.
Walker Basin Irrigation Company, Contestant, v. Cline Falls Power Company, Contestee.
        Walker Basin Irrigation Company, Contestant, v. M. A. Moody, Contestee.
Walker Basin Irrigation Company, Contestant, v. City of Bend, Contestee.
Walker Basin Irrigation Company, Contestant, v. Columbia Deschutes Power Co., Contestee.
28.
29.
        Walker Basin Irrigation Company, Contestant, v. George T. Sly, Contestee.
        Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Arnold Irrigation Go., Contestee.

Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.

Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Bend, Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.

Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.

Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist.
32.
34.
        Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee. Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Central Oregon Irrigation Co., Contestee. Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Columbia Deschutes Power Co., Contestee.
36.
37.
        Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Deschutes Co. Mun. Impr.Dist., Contestee.
        Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Deschutes Falls Power Co., Contestee. Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Odin Falls Land Company, Contestee. Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Pacific Power & Light Co., Contestee.
39.
40.
41.
        Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. J. Steidl & T. Tweet, Contestees.
42.
        Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Grant Smith & Company, Contestes.
Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. T. A. Sweeney, Contestee.
Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Oregon Land Corporation, Contestee.
43.
44.
45.
        Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Shevlin-Hixon Company, Contestee.
46.
        Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Brooks-Scanlon Lumber Co., Contestee.

Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. North Canal Company, Contestee.

Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. North Canal Company, Contestee.
47.
48.
49.
        Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestant, v. Cline Falls Power Company, Contestee.
50.
        West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Arnold Irrigation Co., Contestee.
West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.
West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.
52.
53.
         West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.
        West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Bend Water, Dight & Power Co., Contestee. West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Central Oregon Irrigation Co., Contestee. West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Columbia Deschutes Power Company, Contestee.
56.
57.
        West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Deschutes Co. Mun. Impr. Dist., Contestee.
58.
        West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Deschutes Falls Power Co., Contestee. West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Odin Falls Land Co., Contestee. West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Pacific Power & Light Co., Contestee.
60.
61.
62. West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. J. Steidl & T. Tweet, Contestee.
```

```
63. West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Grant Smith Company, Contestee.
        West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. T. A. Sweeney, Contestee. West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Oregon Land Corporation, Contestee.
        West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Shevlin-Hixon Company, Contestee.
 67.
        West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Brooks Scanlon Lumber Co., Contestee.
        West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. North Canal Co., Contestee. West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. North Canal Co., Contestee.
 69.
 70.
        West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Cline Falls Power Co., Contestee.
        West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. Powell Butte Irrigation Dist., Contestee. West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestant, v. South Unit Improvement Dist., Contestee. Odin Falls Land Company, Contestant, v. Columbia Deschutes Power Co., Contestee.
 71.
 72.
 73.
 74.
        Odin Falls Land Company, Contestant, v. Deschutes Falls Power Company, Contestee.
        Odin Falls Land Company, Contestant, v. Columbia Valley Power Co., Contestee.
Odin Falls Land Company, Contestant, v. Malcolm A. Moody, Contestee.
Odin Falls Land Company, Contestant, v. C. O. I. Dist., Contestee.
 75.
 76.
 78. Odin Falls Land Company, Contestant, v. C. O. I. Dist., Contestee.
 79. Odin Falls Land Company, Contestant, v. North Canal Co., Contestee. 80. Odin Falls Land Company, Contestant, v. Deschutes Co. Mun. Impr. Dist., Contestee.
 81. Odin Falls Land Company, Contestant, v. City of Bend, Contestee.
 82. Odin Falls Land Company, Contestant, v. U. S. Dept of Interior, Office of Indian
               Affairs, Chas. H. Burke, Commissioner, Contestee.
        Odin Falls Land Co., Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.
 84. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestant, v. C. O. I. Dist., Contestee.
85. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestant, v. North Canal Co., Contestee.
86. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestant, v. C. O. I. Co., Contestee.
87. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestant, v. Deschutes Co. Mun. Impr. Dist., Contestee.
 88. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestant, v. City of Bend, Contestee.
       Deschutes Reclamation & Irrigation Co., Contestant, v. Columbia-Deschutes Power Co.,
 90. Malcolm A. Moody, Contestant, v. Columbia-Deschutes Power Co., Contestee.
 91. North Canal Co., Contestant, v. Malcolm A. Moody, Contestee.
 92. North Canal Co., Contestant, v. Malcom A. Moody, Contestee.
 93.
        Wamic Water Ditch Co., W. J. Knox, Tygh Valley High Line Ditch Co., F. M. Driver &
               Lost Boulder Ditch Co., Contestants, v. Deschutes Falls Power Co., Contestee.
        Wamic Water Ditch Co., W. J. Knox, Tygh Valley High Line Ditch Co., F. M. Driver &
 94.
        Lost Boulder Ditch Co., Contestants, v. Deschutes Falls Power Co., Contestee. Wamic Water Ditch Co., W. J. Knox, Tygh Valley High Line Ditch Co., F. M. Driver &
               Lost Boulder Ditch Co., Contestants, v. Malcom A. Moody, Contestee.
 96. City of Bend, Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.
 97. City of Bend, Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.
        City of Bend, Contestant, v. E. D. Gilson, Contestee. Charles Boyd, Contestant, v. C. O. I. Dist., Contestee.
 99,
        Wapinitia Irrigation Co., Contestant, v. Columbia-Deschutes Power Co., Contestee.
       Columbia Deschutes Power Co., Contestant, v. M. L. Webb, Contestee.

Deschutes Falls Power Co., Contestant, v. North Canal Co., Deschutes Co. Mun. Impr.
101.
102.
               Dist., Walker Basin Irrigation Co., City of Bend, Crook Co. Impr. Dist. #1,
               C. O. I. Co., Odin Falls Land Co., Contestees.
103. Columbia Deschutes Power Co., Contestant, v. North Canal Co., Deschutes Co. Mun. Impr. Dist., Walker Basin Irr. Co., City of Bend, Arnold Irr. Co., Crook Co.
               Impr. Dist. #1, C. O. I. Dist., C. O. I. Co., Deschutes Reclamation & Irr.
               Co., Odin Falls Land Co., Oregon Land Corporation, Suttles Lake Irr. Dist.,
               Fall River Irr. Co., T. A. Sweeney, Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Cline Falls Power Co., Ralph E. Gilchrist, Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., South Unit
               Impr. Dist., West Side Reclamation Dist., Wapinitia Irr. Co., Chinook Land
               Co., W. E. Van Allen, M. S. Mayfield, Fannie E. Stearns, John Atkinson, Mal-
               colm A. Moody, Contestees.
104. Central Oregon Irr. Dist., Contestants, v. Columbia-Deschutes Power Co., Contestee.
105. Central Oregon Irr. Dist., Contestants, v. North Canal Co., Contestee.
106. Central Oregon Irr. Dist., Contestants, v. Malcolm A. Moody, Contestee.
107. Central Oregon Irr. Dist., Contestants, v. U. S. Dept. of Interior, Office of
               Indian Affairs, Contestee.
        Crook County Impr. Dist. #1, Contestant, v. Malcolm A. Moody, Contestee.
108.
109.
        Suttles Lake Irrigation Dist., Contestant, v. Malcolm A. Moody, Contestee.
110.
        Ochoco Irrigation Dist., Contestant, v. Malcdm A. Moody, Contestee.
111.
        Crook Co. Impr. Dist. #1, Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.
        Crook Co. Impr. Dist. #1, Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.
Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.
Crook Co. Impr. Dist. #1 Contestant To Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.
112.
113.
        Crook Co. Impr. Dist. #1, Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee
114.
115.
        Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.
116. Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee.
        South Unit Impr. Dist., Contestant, v. Cline Falls Power Co., Contestee. Crook Co. Impr. Dist. #1, Contestant, v. Cline Falls Power Co., Contestee.
117.
118.
119. Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. Cline Falls Power Co., Contestee.
120. Crook Co. Impr. Dist. #1, Contestant, v. Columbia-Deschutes Power Co., Contestee.
121. Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. Columbia-Deschutes Power Co., Contestee. 122. Ochoco Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. Columbia-Deschutes Power Co., Contestee.
```

123. Suttles Lake Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. Columbia-Deschutes Power Co., Contestee. 124. Crook Co. Impr. Dist. #1, Contestant, v. Deschutes Falls Power Company, Contestee. 125. Ochoco Irrigation Dist., Contestant, v. Deschutes Falls Power Co., Contestee. 126. Suttles Lake, Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. Deschutes Falls Power Co., Contestee. 127. Crook Co. Impr. Dist. #1, Contestant, v. Pacific Power & Light Co., Contestee.
128. Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. Deschutes Falls Power Co., Contestee.
129. Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. Pacific Power & Light Co., Contestee. 130. Crook Co. Impr. Dist. #1, Contestant, v. Odin Falls Company, Contestee. 131. Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. Odin Falls Company, Contestee.
132. Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. Central Oregon Irrigation Co., Contestee. 133. Crook Co. Impr. Dist. #1, Contestant, v. Central Oregon Irrigation Co., Contestee. 134. Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. T. A. Sweeney, Contestee.
135. Crook Co. Impr. Dist. #1, Contestant, v. T. A. Sweeney, Contestee.
136. Crook Co. Mun. Impr. Dist, Contestant, v. Oregon Land Corporation, Contestee. 137. Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. Oregon Land Corporation, Contested 138. Crook Co. Impr. Dist. #1, Contestant, v. Arnold Irrigation Co., Contestee. 139. Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. Arnold Irrigation Co., Contestee. 140. Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. North Canal Company, Contestee. Contestant, v. Oregon Land Corporation, Contestee. 141. Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. North Canal Company, Contestee. 142. South Unit Impr. Dist., Contestant, v. North Canal Company, Contestee. Contestant, v. North Canal Company, Contestee.
Contestant, v. Columbia Deschutes Power Co., Contestee. 143. South Unit Impr. Dist., 144. South Unit Impr. Dist., Contestant, v. Deschutes Falls Power Co., Contestee. 145. South Unit Impr. Dist., Contestant, v. Odin Falls Company, Contestee. Contestants v. Bend Water, Light & Power Co., Contestee. Contestant, v. Shevlin-Hixon Company, Contestee. 146. John Steidl & T. Tweet, 147. Central Ore. Irr. Dist., 148. Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. West Side Reclamation Dist., Contestee. 149. Powell Butte Irr. Dist., Contestant, v. Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., Contestee.

10.

That after the filing of said notices of contest the State Engineer did fix a time and place for the hearing of contests No. 10 to 19, inclusive, involving water rights on Willow Creek, and contest No. 22, at Maupin, and did on the 20th day of June, 1924, serve notice of hearing of said contests on each of the parties to said contests, the date fixed for hearing being more than thirty days and less than sixty days from the date the notice of hearing was served on said parties. That upon the dates as so fixed for the hearing of said contests, the taking of testimony in said contests was commenced before a duly authorized reporter, and continued until each of said contests was fully heard, settled or otherwise disposed of.

11.

Contest #22.

Arabelle Staats,

Contestant,

vs.

East Maupin Water System, a corporation,

Contestee.

East Maupin is a small unincorporated town situated on the east side of Deschutes River directly across from the larger and older town of Maupin. The East Maupin Water System is a corporation organized by the residents of East Maupin with the object of enabling them to obtain a better water supply for use in the town, and to condemn the right-of-way. The water sought to be appropriated by the corporation is a portion of the flow of certain large springs called Maupin Springs, situated across the river on the outskirts of the town of Maupin, on land owned by the contestant,

Mrs. Arabelle Staats. Mrs. Staats has owned the land for many years and since the founding of the town of Maupin about 1910 has constructed a water system whereby a portion of the water of the springs has been used in operating two hydraulic rams which lift part of the water into a reservoir from which it is piped and used by the town of Maupin for general municipal purposes. Mrs. Staats alleges that by virtue of the fact that the water rises on her land, she is entitled to the full and exclusive enjoyment thereof, basing this claim upon the provisions of Section 5797 Oregon Laws; and claims she is entitled to a right to the entire flow from the springs to take care of anticipated needs by reason of growth of population, and to insure the town sufficient water supply in cases of emergency. We therefore have two issues: first, is there a surplus of water from the springs over and above the reasonable needs of the city of Maupin? Second, if there is such a surplus, is it subject to appropriation by others than the owner of the land upon which the springs originate?

The evidence discloses that the springs furnish a large flow of water over and above any quantity of water that has been used, or that could probably be used by the town of Maupin before a great number of years, if ever. Mrs. Staats has completed an appropriation of water under a permit issued by the State Engineer, and secured a final certificate covering a right to the use of three cubic feet per second of the water of these springs. This would seem to be ample for all reasonable future needs of the town. The records show that the flow of the springs is practically uniform the year around, and amounts to 3.8 cubic feet per second, leaving a surplus of 0.8 cubic foot per second over the quantity to which contestant is entitled under her certificate.

That as to whether the unappropriated water of these springs is subject to appropriation, there can be no question, considering the facts as disclosed by the evidence in the case. Maupin Springs seem to be in fact a series of springs issuing from the earth at several places no great distance apart and flowing down a steep hill-side, and a short distance from their head forming a well defined channel. Section 5797, Oregon Laws, provides "that the person upon whose lands the seepage or spring waters first arise, shall have the right to the use of such waters." (Morrison v. Officer, 48 Or. 569, 87 Pac. 896.)

In ruling on this clause, our Supreme Court said that it is a grant of the exclusive right to the use of unappropriated water specified so that the water might be used for domestic and stock purposes when the quantity available did not appear to be more than was reasonably necessary to supply such use. Again referring to the same statute, the Court said that under this provision there would seem to be no distinction between rights to appropriate the water of running streams and those of springs. (Brosman v. Harris, 39 Or. 151.) The flow of Maupin Springs is too great to

be consumed upon the property of the claimant. They flow in a well defined channel off of her property, and their water is subject to appropriation the same as is the water from the Deschutes River, which is almost entirely formed by larger springs of like character.

The rights of Contestant shall therefore be limited to an appropriation of three cubic feet per second. There is no evidence to justify the allowance of a priority under this appropriation as early as that claimed, namely, 1886, as it appears that no use was made of the water for municipal purposes until after the Water Code was passed in 1909. Contestant's rights must therefore depend upon her final certificate secured under the permit issued by the State Engineer, giving her a priority of May 51, 1911. Contestee may appropriate the water of the stream in excess of this amount under the terms of his permit #5032 issued by the State Engineer, having a priority of January 13, 1920.

12.

Contests #10, 11 and 12.

Grizzly Land & Livestock Company and Chas. W. Palmehn,
Contestants,

vs.

Antone Fogle,

Contestee.

The State Engineer in his order of determination awarded Contestee a water right for the 25 acres of land irrigated from Willow Creek and Warner Spring with a priority of 1871. The evidence shows that this right was not initiated until 1875. This finding is modified so that such priority shall be of the year 1875 instead of the year 1871 for said 25 acres.

The objections to the findings of the State Engineer awarding Contestee a priority of 1886 for 14.1 acres and a priority of 1895 for 14.9 acres and a priority of 1904 for 6.2 acres are not supported by the testimony of record in this case, and such objections are overruled.

It is also shown that in 1914 Contestee constructed a small ditch for the purpose of draining a meadow, the ditch carrying water to about 4 acres of land which had not been irrigated up to that time. Contestee claimed that he was utilizing water which had never reached the creek channel and which therefore had never been available for appropriation by lower users. The testimony, however, does not bear out this contention, it appearing that this water had drained into the creek and was available for use below. The water right claimed for this tract is therefore denied. Contestee should procure a right for this water by filing an application for permit with the State Engineer.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the rights of this claimant be and the same are hereby determined in accordance with the above findings.

13.

Contests #16, 17 and 18.

Grizzly Livestock & Land Company and Chas. W. Palmehn.

Contestants.

vs.

William C. Vincent,

Côntestee.

The exceptions to the findings of the State Engineer in this contest are not well taken and are denied for the reason that it is shown from all of the testimony and records in this case that there was no abandonment of the water rights by the Contestee or his predecessors in interest.

The Court hereby adopts the findings of the State Engineer in this contest, comprising Paragraph 13 on pages 11 and 12 of said findings, reading as follows:

"Contestee claims a water right from Coon Creek for the irrigation of five acres of land. It appears that Coon Creek at the mouth has no well defined channel, but spreads out over the ground, irrigating some five or six acres of land. It appears that in the early days a part of these lands was covered with willows and brush, and as far back as 1872 hay was out in among the willows. The testimony indicated that about the year 1885 the brush was cleared off and since that time hay has been cut from the meadow, or the crop produced by the overflow has been used in some beneficial way. The lands irrigated from Coon Creek all lie on the north side of Willow Creek. This claim has been allowed with date of priority and the acreage as set forth in Mr. Vincent's claim.

"Contestee claims a water right from Willow Creek, for the irrigation of 30.5 acres of land. It appears that there was a small ditch constructed from Willow Creek by the predecessors in interest of Mr. Vincent prior to the date as set forth in the claim. The testimony shows that a new ditch was constructed in 1898 and some 25 or 30 acres of land was irrigated. It appears that this ditch, while it may not have been kept up or cleaned out every year, has never been abandoned, and the area as claimed, has been and is now irrigated, and the right is allowed as claimed."

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the rights of this claimant be and the same are hereby determined in accordance with the above findings.

Contests #14 and 15.

Grizzly Livestock & Land Company and Chas. W. Palmehn,

Contestants,

VS.

Judson H. Vincent,

Contestee.

The exceptions to the findings of the State Engineer in this contest are not well taken and are denied for the same reasons and upon the same ground as set forth in the preceding Paragraph No. 13.

The Court hereby adopts the findings of the State Engineer in this contest comprising Paragraph 14 on page 12 of said findings, reading as follows:

"Contestee claims a water right from Willow Creek for the irrigation of 16.6 acres of land. The State Engineer's maps show all of the lands irrigated, as claimed. It appears that the first irrigation was in 1973 when a small garden was irrigated. In 1874 a new ditch, diverting from Willow Creek further upstream, was constructed, which apparently was used to irrigate the lands now irrigated. It appears that the lands were rented at various times, and the ditch was neglected, but the testimony does not show any intention to abandon the use of the ditch, nor was the period of non-use sufficient in time to constitute an abandonment of Contestee's right. Therefore the right is allowed as claimed."

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the rights of this claimant be and the same are hereby determined in accordance with the above findings.

15.

Contests #13 and 19.

Grizzly Livestock and Land Company,

Contestants,

VS.

Henry Frohnhofer and Fritz Frohnhoefer,

Contestees.

These contests were duly set down for hearing, and Contestees appeared and offered to amend their claims as follows: That Henry Frohnhofer should be allowed a right for the irrigation of 1 acre under priority of 1905 and 9 acres under priority of 1908; and that Fritz Frohnhoefer should be allowed a right for the irrigation of 2 acres under priority of 1908. Whereupon Contestants dismissed their contests. The rights of said Contestees are allowed in accordance with the amended claim.

That after the taking of testimony in the contests described in the preceding paragraphs was completed, the remaining contests were re-arranged and consolidated into 39 contests, or groups of contests, so that all contests against any one claimant were merged and heard as one contest, thereby avoiding repetition of evidence and testimony. That thereafter and on the 6th day of September, 1924, the State Engineer did serve notice of hearing of said consolidated contests on each of the parties to said contests, the date fixed for hearing being more than thirty days and less than sixty days from the date the notice of hearing was served upon said parties. That the time so set for said hearing was later extended to suit the convenience of the interested parties. That upon the date as finally fixed for the hearing of said contests, the taking of testimony in said contests was commenced before the State Engineer, and continued until each of said contests was fully heard, settled, or otherwise disposed of. These contests will be taken up in numerical order as re-numbered, and findings and decree made thereon, in the paragraphs following. The numbers of the original contests as filed, are set opposite the names of the respective contestants in each case.

17.

Contest #1.

103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company,

Contestant,

vs.

John Atkinson,

Contestee.

The rights of this Contestee depend upon final Certificate No. 3312, issued under Permit No. 54 of the State Engineer, covering a right to appropriate $4\frac{1}{2}$ cubic feet per second of water from Fall or Spring River for the irrigation of 360 acres of land, with priority of July 7, 1909. No evidence was introduced in this contest other than documentary evidence showing the existence of the permit and certificate, and Contestee's rights thereunder shall not be modified in this proceeding.

18.

Contest #2.

103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company,

Contestant,

vs.

Fall River Irrigation Company,

Contestee.

Lewis, Secretary of the Company, shows that the Contestee is the holder of Permit No. 146 of the State Engineer, covering a right to appropriate 26½ cubic feet per second of water from Fall River for the irrigation of 2067.89 acres of land, with priority of October 13, 1909; that the appropriation has not been completed and final certificate issued, because of the fact that after work on the system had progressed to an extent sufficient to irrigate about 60 acres of land, the Company was advised by the State Engineer to defer any enlargements until it became known whether the Benham Falls reservoir would be built, as the lands contemplated to be irrigated by the Company were below the flow line of the proposed Benham Falls Reservoir and would be inundated should the reservoir be built. This suggestion has been followed, and it appears that it will be some time before the Company can safely proceed with development with assurance that itsworks will not later be sacrificed in favor of the larger Benham Falls Project. The rights of the Company shall not be modified in this proceeding.

19.

Contest #3.

98. City of Bend,

Contestant.

vs.

E. D. Gilson,

Contestee.

This contest was set down for hearing, but no appearance was made by the Contestee, and no testimony was offered therein by the Contestant. Contestee's claim shows that he has filed Application No. 9145 with the State Engineer, for the appropriation of 192 cubic feet per second of water from Spring River for irrigation and power purposes and municipal use by the City of Bend, the priority of the application being August 20, 1923. This application has not been approved by the State Engineer, and Contestee's rights thereunder shall not be modified in this proceeding.

20.

Contest #4.

103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company,

Contestant,

vs.

Ralph E. Gilchrist,

Contestee.

This contest was settled by stipulation, the Contestant withdrawing its

contest, Contestee made two filings with the State Engineer December 24, 1923, for the appropriation of 4 cubic feet per second of the waters of E. Fork of Deschutes River for use in connection with a proposed lumber manufacturing plant in Section 19, T. 22-S. R. 10 E. W. M. These applications were approved and permits issued to said Contestee April 20, 1925.

21.

Contest #5.

103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company,

Contestant,

vs.

Fannie E. Stearns,

Contestee.

Contestee claims a right through the Findley ditch from the East Fork of Deschutes River for the irrigation of 468.6 acres of land. This is in excess of the acreage shown as irrigated on the State maps, the difference being due to the classification of certain lands as non-irrigated, by the State Engineer, which were claimed as irrigated by Contestee. However, it was satisfactorily shown by the testimony taken in the contest that such lands were irrigated and entitled to a water right. The rights of Contestee shall be allowed as claimed.

22.

Contest #6.

103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company,

Contestant,

vs.

M. S. Mayfield,

Contestee.

Contestee claims to have irrigated certain lands not shown on the maps of the State Engineer as irrigated, and it appearing that the said maps showed only a part of the lands that had actually been irrigated by Contestee, the right to irrigate the entire area claimed will be confirmed. The testimony also establishes clearly that the appropriation of water for the irrigation of Contestee Mayfield's land and the land owned by Fannie Stearns and others under the Findley ditch were initiated in the year 1897, and the rights of Mayfield and other users under this ditch shall be as defined in the tabulation herein.

Contestee Mayfield filed an amended claim for water from the West Fork of East Fork of Deschutes River, otherwise known as Crescent Creek, for the irrigation of 176.1 acres of land through the Mike Mayfield ditch, and for which the date of priority of 1898 is claimed. The testimony given at the hearing, although that particu-

lar claim was not contested, shows the claimant to be entitled to the right as covered by the amended claim, and the claim is therefore allowed.

23.

Contest #7.

. • •

103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company,

Contestant.

vs.

Suttles Lake Irrigation District,

Contestee.

This contest was disposed of by stipulation, filed April 17, 1925.

(See Paragraph 49.) The rights of the Suttles Lake Irrigation District depend upon six applications for permit filed with the State Engineer at various dates, beginning in 1911, covering a water supply from storage and direct flow of tributaries of Metolius River, the area proposed to be irrigated being about 13,000 acres. Two of these applications have been approved and permits issued. The project, however, is at a standstill at the present time, awaiting more favorable opportunities for financing and better agricultural conditions generally. There is nothing in the evidence submitted to justify any modification of these water rights in this proceeding.

24.

Contest #8.

101. Deschutes Falls Power Company,

Contestant,

vs.

M. L. Webb,

Contestee.

Before this contest came to trial a stipulation was entered into and filed, as follows:

It is stipulated and agreed between the above named contestant and the above named contestee that said contest shall be settled and adjudged as follows:

l.

A finding and decree shall be made and entered herein, adjudging and allowing to the said M. L. WEBB, the appropriation and water right by him claimed in his proof of claim on file herein, but subject to the contract rights of the contestant to the use of said water as stipulated in the deed of the Eastern Oregon Land Company to the said M. L. Webb, in accordance with the facts as alleged in the Contest notice herein; and that there shall be adjudged to the contestant the right to the use of said water as specified in said contract and deed; and all remaining rights to the use of said water, over and above those reserved to the Eastern Oregon Land Company, predecessor in interest of the contestant, shall belong to said M. L. Webb, and he may continue to exercise and enjoy the same as heretofore.

Dated this 25th day of September, 1924.

DESCHUTES FALLS POWER COMPANY Contestant

By (Signed) VEAZIE & VEAZIE Its Attorneys

(Signed | M. L. WEBB Contestee

Contestee M. L. Webb claims a right to the use of water from a spring located in the NW4SW4 of Section 27, T. 3 S. R. 14 E.W.M., for the irrigation of 3 acres of land and for domestic and stock purposes, with priority of 1867. It appears that Contestant (or its predecessors) sold to Contestee the land upon which the spring is located, but in the deed reserved the right to use the waters of the spring, or so much thereof as should be required by Contestant for use in connection with the operation of its property in the SE_4^1 of Section 34, T. 3 S. R. 14 E., W.M. It appears that such right has been acquired by Contestant through actual appropriation and use, and shall be allowed as hereinafter tabulated. The right of Contestee shall be allowed as claimed, but shall be subject and inferior to Contestant's right to the use of water from said spring, as provided by the reservation and stipulation.

25.

Contest #9.

- 8. North Canal Company
- 44. Jefferson Water Conservancy District
- 64. West Side Reclamation District
- 103. Columbia Deschutes Power Company 134. Powell Butte Irrigation District
- 135. Crook County Improvement District #1

Contestants,

vs.

T. A. Sweeney,

Contestee.

This contest was set down for hearing, but the Contestee, although duly notified thereof, failed to make any appearance or offer any proof in support of his claim. As against said Contestants he is therefore in default, and his rights as hereinafter tabulated shall be subject to, and inferior to, the rights of Contestants as allowed herein. Contestee's rights depend upon Application No. 8282 to the State Engineer, for permit to appropriate water, filed March 1, 1922, for the storage of water in Big Cultus Lake. This right shall not be modified in this proceeding.

Contest #10.

30. Walker Basin Irrigation Company,

Contestant,

VS.

George T. Sly,

Contestee.

Contestee claims a right to the use of the waters of East Fork of Deschutes River through the Stearns or Findley ditch for the irrigation of 70 acres of land, under priority of 1897. It appears that the Findley ditch runs down through the middle of the Sly place, widening out in places into more or less of a swale, and that there is an area of about 70 acres of meadow land on the Sly place that has received some benefit by overflow or sub-irrigation. Through this use of the water the land is entitled to a water right. The priority of such right will date from the time that this benefit was first taken advantage of, which appears to be 1900, the first year that Mr. Sly occupied the place. It appears, however, that Contestee has not acquired any right, title or interest in the Findley ditch or the use thereof for conveying water from the stream to his land. In the event that the owners of said ditch (who appear to be Fannie Stearns, one-half interest, M. S. Mayfield, onefourth interest, Geo. Mayfield, one-eighth interest, and J. L. Howard, one-eighth interest) change the ditch in any way so as to lessen or eliminate the benefit which the lands of Contestee have been receiving therefrom, Contestee shall have no right to complain, but must find some other means of conveyance of the water to which his lands are entitled.

27.

Contest #11.

- 45. Jefferson Water Conservancy District
- 65. West Side Reclamation District
- 103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company
- 136. Crook County Improvement District #1
- 137. Powell Butte Irrigation District

Contestants,

vs.

Oregon Land Corporation,

Contestee.

Contestee's rights depend upon a filing made with the State Engineer November 27, 1914 (Application 3325, Permit 2245) covering the appropriation of 100 second feet of water from East Fork of East Fork of Deschutes River for the irrigation of some 8,000 acres of land. This is subsequent to the State's withdrawal of the unappropriated waters of Deschutes River and its tributaries, and development of

the project of Contestee has been held up awaiting the outcome of lower projects expecting to utilize such water. No modification of Contestee's rights shall be made in this proceeding.

28.

Contest #12.

- 28. Walker Basin Irrigation Company 61. Odin Falls Land Company
- 88. Bend Water, Light & Power Company
- 102. Deschutes Falls Power Company
- 103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company

Contestants,

vs.

City of Bend,

Contestee.

The city of Bend filed a claim alleging a right of appropriation of 25 cubic feet per second of water from Deschutes River for municipal purposes, with priority of August 15, 1900. The above contest came to trial, and from the evidence submitted, it appears that the city of Bend, in its corporate capacity, or otherwise, has never initiated or acquired a water right as claimed, and therefore the City's claim is denied.

29.

Contest #13.

- 7. North Canal Company
 - 42. Jefferson Water Conservancy District 62. West Side Reclamation District

Contestants,

vs.

Steidl & Tweet,

Contestees.

Contestees claim a right to the use of 250 second feet of water from Deschutes River under priority of 1903 for the development of power. The power plant is located at the North Canal Dam in the $SE_4^1NE_4^1$ of Section 29, T. 17 S. R. 12 E., W.M. It appears that in the year 1903 steps were taken by Mr. Steidl to develop a power plant on the Deschutes River a short distance below the present location of the North Canal Dam. However, no actual physical work on the ground was done at that time. The work done prior to 1906 consisted only of preliminary investigations, surveys and soundings to determine the best location for such a plant. Apparently no notice of appropriation was posted or recorded. Actual construction was commenced about September 15, 1906, a dam and headgate being constructed and a water-wheel installed for the purpose of developing power. No power was developed until after an agreement was made in 1912 with the Central Oregon Irrigation Company, whereby Steidl & Tweet secured the right to use the North Canal dam and canal for power purposes in exchange for the right of way for the dam. Since that time a power plant has been constructed and the water has apparently been used to the extent claimed. Considering the kind and amount of work during the earlier period, the right is not entitled to relate back for priority to the time of the first investigations, as claimed, but was in fact initiated September 15, 1906, when the first actual construction work was commenced. It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Contestees be, and they are, hereby allowed a right dating from 1906 for 250 second feet of water for power purposes, and that in accordance with said agreement with the Central Oregon Irrigation Company, this right shall be subsequent in time and inferior in right to the rights of the Central Oregon Irrigation Company, Central Oregon Irrigation District and North Canal Company, as determined herein.

Second feet being claimed for municipal purposes and 1 second foot for the irrigation of 40 acres of land. The evidence shows that actual construction work was commenced in 1903 under this appropriation and was thereafter prosecuted from time to time up to 1915, at which time water was furnished to the town site of Lytle, and the Riverside and Wiestoria Additions to the City of Bend; also that the 40 acres of land has been irrigated as claimed. It is therefore further ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Contestees be, and they are, hereby allowed a water right for $3\frac{1}{2}$ second feet of water, dating from October 10, 1903, for municipal purposes, and a water right for 1 second foot of water, dating from October 10, 1903, for irrigation purposes, As to Contestee's claim to the use of water for municipal purposes, to the extent of $3\frac{1}{2}$ second feet, it appears that Contestee has made a conditional agreement transferring an interest in said right to the Bend Water, Light & Power Company, as follows:

It is hereby stipulated by and between the Bend Water, Light & Power Company and Steidl & Tweet that the $4\frac{1}{2}$ second feet claimed in the above entitled proceedings by the Bend Water, Light & Power Company is identical with the $4\frac{1}{2}$ second feet claimed by Steidl & Tweet, and it is further stipulated and agreed that the Bend Water, Light & Power Company shall secure full right, title and interest in and to any or all of said waters up to an amount of $3\frac{1}{2}$ second feet as the same is applied to a beneficial use on Lytle, Riverside and Orokola Additions for municipal purposes.

It is further stipulated and agreed that said Steidl & Tweet shall have the right to use on said Additions any of the waters remaining of the $3\frac{1}{2}$ second feet not applied to beneficial use by the Bend Water, Light & Power Company; provided, however, that said Steidl & Tweet shall not utilize any of the said $3\frac{1}{2}$ second feet of water unless the Bend Water, Light & Power Company shall fail, neglect or refuse to deliver water to any part of these said additions, to which said Additions these waters are appurtenant.

It is further stipulated and agreed that the said Steidl & Tweet shall have the right to use one (1) second foot our of the $4\frac{1}{2}$ second feet above referred to, on eighty (80) acres described in their claim filed in these proceedings, and lying West of Deschutes River.

In view of the foregoing stipulations the contestant, Steidl & Tweet, agrees to dismiss the above entitled contest, and the contestee agrees to file a withdrawal of its separate claim to said $4\frac{1}{2}$ second feet of said waters and with reference to said $4\frac{1}{2}$ second feet, hereby agrees to rely solely upon its contractual relations with said Steidl & Tweet as hereinabove defined.

(Signed) Steidl & Tweet by John Steidl

H. H. De Armond
Att'y. for Steidl & Tweet

(Signed) Bend Water, Light & Power Company,
By T. H. Foley,
Vice President.

R. S. Hamilton & Henry S. Gray Attys. for Bend W., L.&P. Co.

This right shall be allowed in the name of Steidl & Tweet under the date of priority of October 10, 1903, but shall be subject to use and enjoyment by Bend Water, Light & Power Company (now Deschutes Power & Light Company) as provided by said stipulation.

30.

Contest #14.

21. North Canal Company

47. Jefferson Water Conservancy District

67. West Side Reclamation District

Contestants,

vs.

Brooks-Scanlon Lumber Company,

Contestee.

Contest #15.

20. North Canal Company

46. Jefferson Water Conservancy District

66. West Side Reclamation District

147. Central Oregon Irrigation District,

Contestants,

vs.

Shevlin-Hixon Company,

Contestee.

Contestees are two large lumber manufacturing plants located at Bend, the Brooks-Scanlon plant being on the east side of Deschutes River, and the Shalin-Hixon Company's plant being just across the river, both using the same log pond. The actual consumption of water by these plants, according to the record, is about 3 second feet each, which is used in the generation of steam and for domestic and sanitary purposes in the mills and yards. It appears that about 15 second feet is pumped from the stream and used for log washing and steam condensing purposes, but this water is returned to the stream without substantial diminution. A larger quantity of water is required for maintenance of the log pond, and at times during winter months a quantity up to 200 second feet or more is used to prevent the accumulation of ice

submitted by these lumber companies in support of their claims, and a stipulation was entered into between said companies and 14 other principal claimants (including the four who contested the claims of the lumber compa nies), in which stipulation such other claimants in substance acknowledged the right of the lumber mills to the use of the stream to the extent and for the purposes as above mentioned, but did not fix the priority of such right or its relation to the rights of the other stipulators. The statements of the lumber mills are somewhat indefinite as to the basis of their claim to the rights of appropriation asserted. It appears that both companies began the use of the water of the stream after February 24, 1909, the date of enactment of the Water Code, which provided an exclusive method of initiating water rights, that of filing an application with the State Engineer and securing a permit to appropriate the water, -- and that these companies made no such filings. However, on February 28, 1913. all unappropriated water in Deschutes River and its tributaries was withdrawn from appropriation under the cooperative act (L. 1913, Chap. 87). The Order of the State Water Board dated November 26, 1921, making allotments of portions of such withdrawn water to certain applicants indicated that as a matter of public policy a certain quantity of water would be withheld from allotment to irrigation projects, to take care of uses of benefit to the general public, such as for fish ladders and domestic, power, municipal and industrial requirements along the stream, including the needs of the lumber mills, the order reading in part as follows:

which would interfere with movement of logs. Some testimony and other evidence was

"That the State Water Board hereby adopts as a basis for future development on the Deschutes River, under the withdrawals of land and water made in accordance with Chapter 87, Laws of Oregon for 1913, and the contracts executed pursuant thereto, the plan set forth in the report of the Deschutes River Board to the Federal Power Commission dated August 31, 1921; and hereby declares that all allotments of water now or hereafter made under the withdrawal of February 28, 1913, shall not aggregate a greater amount of water than is found by said report to be available for storage and use; provided, that the State Water Board hereby reserves for such disposition as future observations and developments render proper and desirable, the 200 second feet of the continuous flow of Deschutes River past Bend, and the 18,000 acre feet of water as set apart in the said report to be used during the non-irrigation season for domestic and other uses."

This reservation will no doubt be found to adequately provide for all such requirements, as above mentioned, at most times. The reservation will not operate as against earlier rights, with priority before February 25, 1913, and during the irrigation season at times, such early rights may deplete the flow of the stream to such extent as to deprive the lumber mills or other industries of the water necessary for their operation. In that event it would appear that a sufficient part of the flow covered by earlier rights might be purchased to make up the deficiency.

In order to fix the rights of the lumber plants more definitely than in the above general reservation, the following provisions are made herein:

- 1. That 200 second feet of continuous flow past Bend, out of the unappropriated waters of Deschutes River withdrawn February 28, 1913, has been and is reserved from diversion or storage for irrigation, and devoted to industrial, domestic and municipal uses, as recommended by the Deschutes Board.
- 2. That the Shevlin-Hixon Company and Brooks-Scanlon Lumber Company are industrial concerns justly entitled to share in the benefits of the reserved flow, and should be and are awarded and adjudged the following rights:
- (a) Each of said companies shall have the right to take from the Deschutes River and consume in the generation of steam and other plant uses such quantity of water as may be reasonably necessary, not to exceed in either case three cubic feet per second.
- (b) Each of said companies shall have the right to take from the stream, use and return to the main channel without substantial diminution, such quantity of water as may be reasonably necessary for other plant purposes, not exceeding in either case fifteen cubic feet per second.
- (c) The right to have the reserved flow controlled so as to provide a sufficient quantity of water to maintain the log pond used by said companies at substantially its present level, and to prevent such accumulation of ice in said pond as would stop the movement of logs to the mills; and to that end, and to supply the water needed for plant purposes as provided in the foregoing paragraphs "a" and "b", a continuous flow of not less than fifty cubic feet per second past Bend shall be maintained at all seasons of the year; and in addition thereto the State Engineer shall have authority, and is directed, from time to time during periods of cold weather, to release from storage and permit to flow into and through said pond, such quantities of water, in addition to said continuous flow of fifty cubic feet per second, as may be reasonably necessary to carry broken ice over the spillways of the dam, and/or provide sufficient current through said pond to prevent the accumulation of ice therein to such extent as to stop or obstruct seriously the handling of logs; but that such additional releases shall at no time exceed a quantity which, added to the continuous flow of fifty cubic feet per second, will equal the normal flow of the stream under like conditions prior to the construction of storage reservoirs, or exceed 200 cubic feet per second.
- Scanlon Lumber Company under Paragraph 2 above shall continue only so long as used in connection with the manufacture of lumber at the present location of the plants of said companies. At such time in the future as such manufacturing operations are discontinued, said water rights shall be considered as abandoned, and the water thereunder shall revert to the public and be subject to re-allotment by the State Engineer.

Contest #16.

- 1. North Canal Company
- 25. Walker Basin Irrigation Company
- 32. Jefferson Water Conservancy District
- 33. Jefferson Water Conservancy District
- 34. Jefferson Water Conservancy District
- 35. Jefferson Water Conservancy District
- 52. West Side Reclamation District
- 53. West Side Reclamation District
- 54. West Side Reclamation District
- 55. West Side Reclamation District
- 83. Odin Falls Land Company
- 96. City of Bend
- 97. City of Bend
- 111.
- Crook County Improvement District #1 Crook County Improvement District #1 112.
- 113. Powell Butte Irrigation District
- 114. 115. Crook County Improvement District #1
- Powell Butte Irrigation District
- 116. Powell Butte Irrigation District
- 146. John Steidl & Thomas Tweet

Contestants,

vs.

Bend Water, Light & Power Company,

Contestee.

The name of this Contestee has been changed to Deschutes Power & Light Company. Under claim No. 2-A, as originally filed, Contestee claimed the right to the use of 1406 second feet for power purposes. This claim was later reduced to 1325 second feet. The date of priority claimed for this appropriation is December 30, 1905, which is the date of filing a notice of appropriation by the Pilot Butte Development Company, which notice was posted on the stream December 21, 1905. It appears that prior to 1905 some investigations had been made upon the Deschutes River in the vicinity where the plant is now located to determine conditions relative to the construction of a power plant. In 1903 or 1904, Mr. Whistler, an engineer, was employed, and the filing seems to have been made as a result of Mr. Whistler's studies and recommendations. No actual construction work was begun until 1909. In fact the power dam appears to have been started on May 12, 1909. The equipment has been added to from time to time until now the total water consumption of the turbines is 1325 second feet. It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this Contestee be and it is hereby allowed a right for this quantity of water with priority as claimed.

Exceptions were filed with the Court to the failure of the State Engineer to allow the claims of Contestee for the following quantities of water:

- 40 second feet for carrying debris over the sluiceway
- 10 second feet for carrying off ice
- 20 second feet for the operation of a fish ladder

As to the claim for water for carrying off debris, it appears that no such use of water was in contemplation by Contestee or its predecessors in interest at the time of posting the notice of appropriation and that to allow 40 second feet of water for that purpose would be a wasteful application of water and should not be permitted.

As to the claim of Contestee for 20 second feet of water for the operation of a fish ladder required to be installed by the State Fish & Game Commission, it appears that this use was not within the contemplated uses of Contestee's predecessor in interest when it posted its notices of appropriation in 1905. It appears that if the State is to compel Contestee to maintain a fish ladder at its dam, it should furnish the necessary supply of water therefor from its withdrawal of unappropriated water which was made in 1913, as hereinafter referred to in Paragraph 66.

As to the claim of Contestee for 10 second feet of water for carrying off ice, it appears that while perhaps this use was not in contemplation at the time of posting of the notice, yet it is a necessary and beneficial use of the water which has been made from the time the appropriation was first commenced, and also that such use will be made at a time of the year when it will not interfere with other uses. It also appears that the necessity for water for this purpose is confined to a period from about November 20th to about February 20th of each winter season.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the claim for 40 second feet for carrying off debris, and the claim for 20 second feet for operating a fish ladder, be and the same are hereby denied; and that Contestee be and it is hereby allowed a right for 10 second feet of water for carrying off ice during the period of each winter from November 20th to February 20th, with a date of priority as of the time of posting the notice of appropriation for power and other purposes, December 21, 1905.

Under claim No. 2-B, Contestee claims the right to the use of 50 second feet of water from Tumalo Creek for power purposes under date of priority of November 24, 1919, based on Permit No. 4384 issued by the State Engineer. Under claim No. 2-C, Contestee claims a right to the use of 25 second feet of water from Deschutes River for municipal purposes in the city of Bend, the water to be diverted from the forebay of the Company's power plant at Bend. This claim is based on application for permit to appropriate water, No. 9184, filed with the State Engineer on September 20, 1923. These rights of Contestee are limited by the terms of the permits, and the time in which construction work shall be completed and the water applied to the proposed use shall be in accordance with the requirements of the State Engineer.

Contestee, Bend Water, Light & Power Company, filed three other claims for water rights from Deschutes River for municipal purposes for the City of Bend. One of these claims, Claim 2-F, covering the right to water diverted at the Steidl & Tweet power plant for use in the Lytle town site, and in the Riverside and Wiestoria Additions to the City of Bend, was withdrawn by stipulation, the rights of Contestee

being provided for by contract with Steidl & Tweet. (See Paragraph 29.)

The town of Bend was dedicated by the Pilot Butte Development Company May 31, 1904, and was incorporated, as near as can be ascertained from the record. in the year 1905. The first work towards furnishing a water supply for the community appears to have been in the year 1904 when water was served to a few residents through an open ditch, commonly called the Town Lateral, which diverted water from the Pilot Butte Canal at about the southern boundary line of the $SE_4^1SE_4^1$, Section 32, T. 16 S. R. 12 E., W.M. This lateral had a capacity of about 5 second feet. In the same year an attempt was made to utilize the water from a branch of this open ditch for power purposes to operate a ram which was located on the bank of the Deschutes River near the present location of the power dam of the Bend Water, Light & Power Company. This was a two-way ram, and was to be operated by the water from the ditch which was undesirable for domestic purposes, so that it would lift the water from the river for domestic use. This system, however, was not a success. Therefore, the next year, 1905, a steam pump was installed at about the same location as that of the ram, whereby water was supplied under pressure through a pipe system. This was the first system of serving water to the town which could properly be termed a municipal water system.

The water supply from the open ditch, however, was still used more or less for domestic purposes for a number of years. Later on as the sources of contamination became more numerous, the ditch water was used principally for the irrigation of lawns and gardens. About 1915 the open ditch was abandoned, and in its place a pipe line was constructed, having its head about a mile and a half farther up on the Pilot Butte Canal near the junction of the Pilot Butte Canal and the Central Oregon Canal. This pipe line had about the same carrying capacity as the open ditch. Water was supplied to the town through this pipe line by gravity. At times water was pumped into the head of the ditch by an auxiliary pump located on the river bank in a westerly direction from the head of the pipe line, which would be in the SW4NE4 of Section 7, T. 18 S. R. 12 E., W.M.

It appears that the capacity of the pumping system lower down on the river was increased from time to time during this period of years to keep pace with the growth of population of the city. The city had a very rapid growth, the population being 536 in 1910, 5415 in 1920 and about 8,000 at the time of the hearing in 1924.

In the year 1923 the danger from contamination of the water of the river had become so great that a filtering plant was constructed at a cost of \$87,000, and all the water now used by the city is filtered in this plant, the use of water from the gravity pipe line having been discontinued, although the connection of the line to the water system is still retained for emergency use. The water system, as at present constructed, represents an investment of about \$300,000. The filtration plant was designed

to include 12 units, 10 of which have been installed, the maximum capacity of which is approximately 10 cubic feet per second. The testimony shows that this quantity of water was actually diverted through the system on one occasion when a fire occurred in the irrigation season.

It is alleged in the claim filed by Contestee that its rights for municipal purposes should relate back to a filing made by the Pilot Butte Development Company under a notice posted on October 31, 1900. This is the same notice on which the Central Oregon Irrigation District and others rely for water rights for the irrigation of lands in Carey Act Segregation Lists 6 and 19. Contestee has shown that the Pilot Butte Development Company, in assigning its rights under this notice to the Deschutes Irrigation and Power Company on May 14, 1904, reserved the right to 25 cubic feet per second for use by A. M. Drake, who owned the controlling interest in the Company. The purpose for which this reservation was made has not been shown, although the reservation itself indicates that it was proposed to use the water in the area now occupied by the City of Bend, presumably for irrigation purposes, there being nothing said about municipal use. The oral testimony shows that in the year 1904, Mr. Drake conveyed a portion of his interest in this reservation to the Bend Water, Light & Power Company, although no documentary evidence of such a transfer was produced at the trial, and it was alleged that the papers relating to this matter were among some early records of the Company which had been lost or destroyed. It is claimed, but not conclusively shown by the evidence, that the quantity of water to which a right was acquired from Drake was $9\frac{1}{2}$ second feet. It appears that the Company has, by subsequeht use, acquired a right to that quantity. Considering the present size of the City, and the fact that in addition to the daily consumption a considerable supply must be available for emergency use, it appears that this quantity is not excessive for present needs.

The priority of the right so acquired will date from the first step taken which would constitute notice to the world that such a right was being initiated. Under the circumstances as presented by the record, it appears that the right cannot relate back to the 1900 notice of appropriation, and the priority will therefore date from the beginning of construction of the municipal water system, which was in the year 1905, when the steam pumping plant was installed. This right is prior to the power right of Contestee initiated in December of the same year. It appears that since the State Engineer entered his order of determination June 21, 1926, this right has been sold to the City of Bend, and by said City in turn sold to the Deschutes County Municipal Improvement District. The State Engineer by an order dated September 10, 1926, approved the change of use of the water under such right and made the following provision:

"The Deschutes County Municipal Improvement District is hereby given the right to use said 9 second feet of water for the irrigation of lands within said district during the irrigation season from April 1 to November 1, and to store said water in its reservoir at Crescent Lake during the non-irrigation season so that the water so stored may be released at such later date as the district sees fit to use the same for the irrigation of lands within the district. The storage, diversion and use of said 92 second feet of water by said district shall be subject to all the provisions and limitations contained in Paragraph 33 of said order of determination of June 21, 1926."

This provision is hereby adopted and affirmed, and this water right shall be tabulated under the name of Deschutes County Municipal Improvement District, with the priority as recognized by the State Engineer.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that said rights be and they are hereby determined in accordance with the findings above set forth.

32.

Contest #17.

- 138. Crook County Improvement District #1
- 31. Jefferson Water Conservancy District
- 51. West Side Reclamation District
- 103. Columbia Deschutes Power Company 139. Powell Butte Irrigation District

Contestants,

VS.

Arnold Irrigation Company,

Contestee.

The claim of the Arnold Irrigation Company is based upon two notices , of appropriation, one filed with the County Clerk of Crook County on February 1, 1905, and the other April 25, 1905, the first for 1000 miner's inches or 25 second feet, and the second for 5000 miner's inches or 125 second feet, of water from the Deschutes River. Due diligence appears to have been used in the beginning of construction and completing the same, and the maximum safe carrying capacity of the Arnold Ditch at the present time appears to be approximately 150 second feet. The claim filed states that the Company has sold stock for the irrigation of an area of approximately 9392 acres. Of this area, approximately 2700 acres have been irrigated. The right is therefore not completed or vested but is inchoate and may be perfected only by the actual application of the water to beneficial use in the irrigation of the lands within a reasonable time and will finally vest only to the extent of the quantity of water so applied to beneficial use. It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the Arnold Irrigation Company be and it is hereby allowed an inchoate right with priorities as above set forth, and is hereby allowed until October 1, 1933, in which to apply the water to beneficial use upon the remainder of the 9392 acres of land under the project

not yet irrigated, or such further time as may be allowed by the State Engineer for good cause shown, as provided in Paragraph 65 hereof. The right as finally perfected shall be limited to the quantity of water applied to beneficial use upon the acreage reclaimed and irrigated within such time. The water right after it becomes vested, shall be appurtenant to the several tracts of land to which the water has been applied, and the water shall not be delivered to the Company in gross but only in such quantity as may be put to beneficial use upon the lands under irrigation at any particular time and to which the right is appurtenant. The water rights under this appropriation shall be limited to 5 acre feet per acre per season at the point of diversion, and 3 acre feet per acre per season delivered on the land; to be diverted at a rate of not to exceed 1/40 of a second foot per acre during the period of maximum use from May 23d to August 20th of each year, and at a rate of not to exceed 1/80 of a second foot per acre during the rest of the irrigation season, which is hereby fixed as the period from april 1st to November 1st of each year. The transmission losses on this project are hereby fixed at an average of 40% of the quantity diverted; provided, that in any event, irrespective of transmission losses to any particular land, all water users on this project shall be entitled to a net delivery of 3 acre feet per acre per season, measured at the point where the user's lateral diverts from the Company's main canal or lateral.

33.

Contest #18.

- 38. Jefferson Water Conservancy District
- 58. West Side Reclamation District
- 80. Odin Falls Land Company
- 87. Bend Water, Light & Power Compa ny
- 102. Deschutes Falls Power Company
- 103. Columbia Deschutes Power Company,

Contestants.

vs.

Deschutes County Municipal Improvement District,

Contestee.

The contests of Columbia-Deschutes Power Company and Deschutes Falls

Power Company were dismissed upon stipulation filed after the hearing before the State

Engineer. (See Paragraph 45.)

Contestee is a quasi-municipal corporation organized under the irrigation district laws of Oregon, and was formerly called the Tumalo Irrigation District. Claim was filed for a right for the irrigation of 14,884 acres of land, 7808 acres of which already has a partial water supply from Tumalo Creek and from Crater Creek. The rights on Tumalo Creek have been adjudicated in an order of determination by the State Water Board confirmed by the Circuit Court of Crook County. In order to make

up the deficiency in water supply caused by the failure of the Tumalo Reservoir and to provide for additional lands, the District in 1921 secured an allotment of water under the State withdrawal made in 1913, for storage of winter flow in Crescent Lake. The District also purchased all claim of the Walker Basin Irrigation Company to prior storage rights in Crescent Lake, based on a notice of appropriation filed May 10, 1902, and also on permits secured from the State Engineer with priority of March 20, 1911 (Permits R 102 and 624). The District, then, in filing claim in this proceeding, had three sources of claim: the 1902 filing, the 1911 permits and the allotment from the 1913 withdrawal. The claim filed asserts a priority of 1902, but makes mention of the later permits and allotment.

The State Engineer found that no rights were acquired in the 1902 claim in the following language:

"It appears that no storage rights have been perfected under the 1902 appropriation notice. The notice itself was very indefinite as to the location of the storage to be developed, or as to whether any material storage at all was contemplated. No actual work towards the utilization of winter flow was ever done by the Walker Basin Irrigation Company or any of its predecessors, and such storage rights, if any were initiated, were lost by non-use. The assignment from said Company to the District, therefore, carried with it no rights under the 1902 notice."

The exceptions to this finding are not well taken and are denied. No rights were acquired by this District prior to the Water Code of 1909. It appears, however, that the District has inchoate water rights under the filing made with the State Engineer in 1911 under Permits R 102 and 624. The rights under these filings have been kept in good standing by the construction work done by the District and by the storage of water in Crescent Lake reservoir and use thereof upon the lands in the District. It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the District's inchoate rights under said filings shall be such as may be completed and perfected in accordance with the terms thereof and with the rules and regulations of the State Engineer, and shall be limited to the quantity of water applied to beneficial use within the time fixed by the State Engineer; provided, that the rights of the District shall be limited to the storage of 35,000 acre feet of water in any twelve-months period beginning October 1st and ending September 30th of the next succeeding year. The water right after it becomes vested, shall be appurtenant to the several tracts of land to which the water has been applied. The water shall not be delivered to the District in gross but only in such quantity as may be put to beneficial use upon the lands under irrigation at any particular time and to which the right is appurtenant. It appears that the rights under said permits should be limited to 3 acre feet per acre per season on the land, and that a 40% transmission loss is a reasonable allowance for this District. The water diverted from Deschutes River under this right is therefore limited to 5 acre feet per acre per season to be diverted at a rate of not

to exceed 1/40 of a second foot per acre during the period of maximum use from May 23d to August 20th of each year, and at a rate of not to exceed 1/80 of a second foot per acre during the rest of the irrigation season, which is hereby fixed as the period from April 1st to November 1st of each year. The transmission losses on this project are hereby fixed at an average of 40% of the quantity diverted; provided, that in any event, irrespective of transmission losses to any particular land, all water users in this District shall be entitled to a net delivery of 3 acre feet per acre per season, measured at the point where the user's lateral diverts from the District's main canal or lateral; provided, that in case of lands also receiving a supplemental supply from another source, the above limitations shall be reduced by the quantity so received, so that the total quantity delivered on the land from all sources shall not exceed such limitations.

This claimant has entered into stipulations with the Bend Water, Light & Power Company and the Odin Falls Land Company, as follows:

The Bend, a corporat	Water, Light & Power Company,)	
	Contestant,	
	vs.	STIPULATION
	County Municipal Improvement) an Irrigation District, Contestee.	

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties hereto, by their respective attorneys of record herein, as follows:

- l. That the rights of the Bend Water, Light & Power Company to the use of the waters of Deschutes River for municipal, power and other kindred purposes are prior and superior to any and every claim of Deschutes County Municipal Improvement District to said waters, or any part thereof, and that as between said claimants such priority in favor of said The Bend Water, Light & Power Company shall be so determined and adjudicated by the State Engineer in these proceedings, and by any and every appellate court which may thereafter consider said matters.
- 2. That the above entitled contest is hereby withdrawn and dismissed.
- 3. That for the period of approximately two and one-half years from the date hereof, to-wit, until June 1, 1927, the said Deschutes County Municipal Improvement District may store in Crescent Lake sufficient water for its needs during said period (not to exceed, however, 35,000 acre feet of water in any one year).
- 4. That, so far as practicable, such storage of water shall be effected at such times during said period as will cause as little interference as possible with the operation of the power plant of the Bend Water, Light & Power Company; the necessity and propriety of such storage at all times during said period to be left to the judgment of the State Engineer and his official representatives.
- 5. That the Deschutes County Municipal Improvement District during said period shall not sell or dispose of any of such stored waters, or use the same for any purpose other than the specific needs of its own irrigation project and for the domestic use of the settlers thereon; nor shall said District permit the use of any other water under its control which would have the effect of increasing said District's requirements for stored water.

- 6. That the Bend Water, Light & Power Company hereby waives any any all claim for damages for interference with its power operations heretofore caused to it, or to be caused to it during said period, by reason of such storage of water in Grescent Lake.
- 7. That this agreement shall be binding upon the successors and assigns of the parties hereto.

Executed in triplicate this 10th day of December, 1924.

(SIGNED) R. S. Hamilton and Henry S. Gray Attorneys for The Bend Water, Light & Power Company, Contestant.

(SIGNED) N. G. Wallace
Attorney for Deschutes County Municipal Improvement District, Contestee.

Odin Falls Land Company,
Contestant,

vs.

STIPULATION

Deschutes County Municipal Improvement District,

Contestee.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the above named contestant and contestee as follows:

The contestee agrees to store no water in Crescent Lake Reservoir after June 1, 1927, which will deprive the Contestant of the quantity of water to which it is entitled as determined in these proceedings, and to store no water in said reservoir prior to June 1, 1927, which will prevent the use by Contestant of the water necessary for use by it during the irrigation seasons; the question of the amount of water necessary for such use to be, in case of dispute, left to determination by the State Engineer.

In consideration of the foregoing the Contestant agrees that its above contest may be dismissed.

(SIGNED) Percy A. Cupper. H. C. Shaw Attorneys for Contestant.

(SIGNED) N. G. Wallace
Attorney for Contestee.

* * * * *

The terms of the above stipulations shall be observed by the water master in the distribution of water to said parties.

Contest #19.

9. North Canal Company

102. Deschutes Falls Power Company

103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company

Contestants,

vs.

Walker Basin Irrigation Company,

Contestee.

The contests of Deschutes Falls Power Company and Columbia-Deschutes

Power Company were disposed of by stipulation. (See Paragraph 45.)

As shown by the evidence herein, a notice was posted by the Oregon Development Company on the 30th day of April, 1902, and filed for record May 10, 1902, for the appropriation of the waters of Crescent Creek, a tributary of the Little Deschutes River. This Company was the predecessor of the Deschutes Land Company, Morson Land Company and Walker Basin Irrigation Company, all of which, successively in the order named, were engaged in an attempt to effect the reclamation of lands in Oregon Segregation List No. 11 under the Carey Act. The area proposed to be irrigated comprised some 31,000 acres, but this was decreased from time to time, and in 1921 the east unit of the project was relinquished and all claim to water rights therefor sold to the Tumalo Irrigation District. The claim as filed in this proceeding covers lands remaining in the west unit, aggregating 9646.3 acres. It appears that surveys for the project were started in August 1901 but that very little actual.work was done until about 1910. However, an unusually large number of legal difficulties and other obstacles appear to have been encountered during the first period of development of this project, and after 1910 actual construction work on the system was carried on much more rapidly. Since 1919 the Company has been in a position to serve all the lands for which a water right is claimed. Everything considered, it is believed that sufficient diligence and good faith has been shown by Contestee and its predecessors so that the right can still be perfected if reasonable diligence is used in prosecuting the work necessary for completion of the project. It was claimed by Contestee that its right should date from the date of the appointment of its selecting agent for the Carey Act lands or from the date of entering into a contract between the Company and the State, instead of from the date of posting of the notice of appropriation. However, it does not appear from any of the statutes having to do with the reclamation of lands under the Carey Act that the State assumed any obligation to initiate a water right or provide a water supply for a Carey Act project but that the burden devolved upon the reclamation company at every stage of the proceeding to acquire this water right for the lands it undertook

to reclaim. It further appears that there was nothing in the initial procedure between the reclamation company, the state and the federal government which would in itself constitute notice to the public or to subsequent appropriators of an intention to appropriate any particular water for the irrigation of lands within the proposed project. There must be either an actual physical demonstration on the ground, or the posting of a notice, as evidence of such an intention. The courts have recognized these two methods of initiating water rights, and as it appears that Contestee has conformed to the latter method, it is entitled to have the priority of its right date back by the doctrine of relation to the time of posting the notice, which was April 30, 1902, provided the right is prosecuted and perfected with reasonable diligence. Most of the lands in the project are still uncleared, being covered with a dense growth of jack pine timber, only about 175 acres having been cleared and irrigated up to the present time. It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Contestee be, and it is hereby, allowed an inchoate right with a priority of April 30, 1902, and is allowed until the 1st day of October, 1933, in which to apply the water to a beneficial use upon the lands, or within such further time as may be allowed by the State Engineer for good cause shown, as provided by Paragraph 65 hereof.

The appropriation as so perfected shall be limited to the quantity of water actually applied to beneficial use within such time, and shall be appurtenant to the several tracts of land to which the water shall have been applied. The water under such rights shall not be delivered to the Company in gross, but only in such quantity as may be put to beneficial use upon the lands under irrigation at any particular time and to which the water right is appurtenant. The quantity of water fixed by the contract with the Desert Land Board is 2.15 acre feet per acre per season delivered on the land, with an allowance for transmission losses of 30% of the quantity diverted. The water rights for lands under this project shall be limited to 3.07 acre feet per acre per season at the point of diversion and 2.15 acre feet per acre per season delivered on the land; to be diverted at a rate of not to exceed 1/40 of a second foot per acre during the period of maximum use from May 23d to August 20th of each year, and at a rate of not to exceed 1/80 of a second foot per acre during the rest of the irrigation season, which is hereby fixed as the period April 1st to November 1st of each year. Transmission losses on this project are hereby fixed at an average of 30% of the quantity diverted; provided, that in any event, irrespective of transmission losses to any particular land, all water users on the project shall be entitled to a net delivery of 2.15 acre feet per acre per season, measured at the point where the user's lateral diverts from the Company's main canal or lateral.

Contest #20.

103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company,

Contestant,

vs.

Deschutes Reclamation & Irrigation Company,

Contestee.

This contest was disposed of by stipulation. (See Paragraph 45.) Contestee claims a right from Deschutes River for the irrigation of 6638 acres of land through its canal (commonly called the Swalley Ditch), the date of priority claimed being September 1, 1899, based upon a notice of appropriation posted on that date and filed for record one day later. This notice declared an intention of appropriating 125 second feet of water, and it appears that the Swalley Ditch as it has actually been constructed, has ample capacity to carry this quantity. Construction work began on the system shortly after the notice was posted, and development on the project has kept well ahead of the demand for water. The area irrigated up to the present time is about 2175 acres. It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Contestee be, and it is hereby, allowed an inchoate right with priority of September 1, 1899, and is allowed until October 1, 1933 in which to reclaim and irrigate the remainder of the 6635 acres in the project, or within such further time as may be allowed by the State Engineer on good cause shown, as provided by Paragraph 65 hereof. The right shall be limited to the extent the water is beneficially applied to the land within such time, and the priority of the right as so perfected shall relate back to the date of posting of the notice, September 1, 1899. It appears that an allowance of 3 acre feet per acre per season delivered on the land is sufficient for this project, and that about 40% of the quantity diverted will be lost in transmission. Water rights for the lands in this project will be limited to 5 acre feet per acre per season, measured at the point of diversion, and 3 acre feet per acre per season measured at the point where the user's lateral diverts from the Company's main canal or lateral; to be diverted at a rate of not to exceed 1/40 of a second foot per acre during the period of maximum use from May 23d to August 20th of each year, and at a rate of not to exceed 1/80 of a second foot per acre during the rest of the irrigation season, which is hereby fixed as the period from April 1st to November 1st of each year. The transmission losses on this project are hereby fixed at an average of 40% of the quantity diverted; provided, that in any event, irrespective of transmission losses to any particular land, all water users on this project shall be entitled to a net delivery of 3 acre feet per acre per season, measured at the point where the user's lateral diverts from the Company's main canal or lateral.

It is further provided that the total quantity of water diverted under the appropriation of this Company shall in no event exceed that set forth in the original notice of appropriation, 125 second feet.

36.

Contest #21.

- 6. North Canal Company
- 40. Jefferson Water Conservancy District
- 60. West Side Reclamation District
- 102. Deschutes Falls Power Company
- 103. Columbia Deschutes Power Company 130. Crook County Improvement District #1
- 131. Powell Butte Irrigation District
- 145. South Unit Improvement District

Contestants,

vs.

Odin Falls Land Company,

Cohtestee.

The contests of Deschutes Falls Power Company and Columbia Deschutes Power Company were disposed of by stipulation set forth in Paragraph 45 hereof.

Contestee claims a water right for power and irrigation purposes at Odin Falls, under two notices of appropriation, one for 50 cubic feet per second, dated January 7, 1909, posted January 7, 1909, and filed for record with the County Clerk January 14, 1909, and the other for 1800 cubic feet per second, dated January 25, 1909, posted February 8, 1909, and filed for record February 9, 1909. Claim is made for 450 second feet of water, 50 second feet of which is for irrigation purposes and the remainder for power.

It appears that work was started soon after the posting of the notices, some canal work being done in 1909 and 1910. But not until 1915 had the power works been installed, by which some 65 acres of land were irrigated, with a 90 foot pumping lift. This construction was of a more or less temporary nature, but in 1920 a more permanent installation was made, with which the Company is in a position to irrigate 1057 acres of land under a 90 foot lift. Only 145 acres have as yet been irrigated, but it appears that Contestee has been sufficiently diligent in prosecuting work on this part of its project to preserve its right, providing the work is continued and - the land placed under irrigation within a reasonable time. It is therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Contestee be, and it is hereby, allowed an inchoate right for the irrigation of 1057 acres, and is allowed until October 1, 1933 in which to apply the water to beneficial use upon said lands, or such further time as may be allowed by the State Engineer for good cause shown, as provided by Paragraph 65 hereof. The right as perfected within such time shall relate back for priority to the date of posting of



the notice, January 7, 1909, for a quantity of water sufficient to irrigate the lands which have been so reclaimed, under the following limitations as to the duty of water: The water rights for lands under this appropriation shall be limited to 3.75 acre feet per acre per season measured at the point of diversion, and 3 acre feet per acre per season delivered on the land; to be diverted at a rate of not to exceed 1/40 of a second foot per acre during the period of maximum use from May 23d to August 20th of each year, and at a rate of not to exceed 1/80 of a second foot per acre during the rest of the irrigation season, which is hereby fixed as the period from April 1st to November 1st of each year. The transmission losses on this project are hereby fixed at an average of 20% of the quantity diverted; provided, that in any event, irrespective of transmission losses to any particular land, all water users on this project shall be entitled to a net delivery of 3 acre feet per acre per season, measured at the point where the user's lateral diverts from the Company's main canal or lateral.

After the State Engineer's order of determination in this matter was filed with the Court, this claimant applied for and was granted permission to file an amended claim. Contestee, in such amended claim and in its exceptions, has asserted certain rights in relation to the rights of the Cline Falls Power Company above the location of Contestee's appropriation. It is urged that in the event of failure of the Cline Falls Power Company to exercise its rights, or of the discontinuance of such rights for a consideration for the purpose of abandoning the same in favor of appropriators whose rights are subsequent to those of the Power Company but prior to those of Contestee, Contestee's rights under its 1909 appropriation would be adversely affected, for the reason that it has heretofore derived a benefit from the water used by the Cline Falls Power Company for power purposes under its 1892 appropriation and then allowed to flow down to Contestee's place of use. Some claim is also made to a right as against the Cline Falls Power Company by adverse possession. After an examination of many authorities, the Court is of the opinion that these points are not well taken. It appears that Contestee has no other or greater right to the waters of this stream than that to which it is entitled by virtue of its priority of the year 1909. It also appears that a claim of title by adverse use cannot be sustained by a lower user against an upper user merely because the upper user permits the water to flow by at a time when he does not need it. It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the claims of Contestee made in these respects be, and they are hereby denied.

Contestee also claimed and was awarded by the State Engineer a right for 150 second feet of water for use in operating a turbine to lift the water actually used for irrigation purposes from the stream to the lands. The amount allowed for

actual irrigation was 26.17 second feet. Other claimants have filed exceptions to this finding and it is contended that it is a waste of water to permit 150 second feet of water to be used for the purpose of raising 26.17 second feet to the head of the irrigation ditch. It will be observed that while the law (Section 5798 O. L.) permits the right to employ wheels, pumps, hydraulic engines or other machinery (which includes turbines) for the purpose of raising water to the level required for the use of such water in irrigating any land, providing that the use of such water shall not conflict with the better or prior right of any other person, this section must be construed and read with Section 5799, which gives direction for the use of water when a shortage takes place. It is noted in that section that when a shortage takes place those using water for agricultural purposes shall have the preference over those using the same for manufacturing purposes. The use of this 150 second feet of water for the purpose of lifting cannot be said to be one for power purposes. It does not result in power except to expend itself to make the lift of the water to be used for irrigation. This would seem to be an extremely wasteful use of water. The right of this claimant to use the body of the stream to develop power which is exhausted in the lifting of water to the point of diversion cannot be claimed as a part of an appropriation for irrigation purposes. The law is well settled that the rights of an appropriator for irrigation purposes are limited to the quantity of water actually required for the purpose of irrigation and that no appropriator can appropriate the body of the stream and withhold it from use by others merely because it may be less expensive to him than to divert the water in other ways. It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Contestee's claim for 150 second feet of water for lifting purposes be and the same is hereby denied.

However, it appears that Contestee and its predecessors have expended considerable sums of money in developing its present system and while this is a wasteful system and will not be permitted as a permanent right, it would be unjust and inequitable to deprive Contestee of the use of this system until it is given sufficient time to install other and more up-to-date methods of diverting its irrigation water which will eliminate waste. In other words, Contestee should be permitted to use its present system until such time as it may conveniently adopt a system for the more economical use of the water. The period of approximately 5 years, or until October 1, 1933, is hereby fixed as a reasonable time within which Contestee shall make such change in its means of diverting water for the 1057 acres of land in this unit of its project. After that date, Contestee, and its successors and assigns, are hereby enjoined from using 150 second feet of water for lifting purposes. It is possible that after a new method of diversion under the irrigation right is adopted, the allowance hereinbefore made for transmission losses will not be adequate. This question shall

be determined by the State Engineer and his findings submitted to the Court for review at the time of his determination of the inchoate rights of this Company, as provided by Paragraph 65 hereof.

Contestee also claims a right to an additional quantity of water for the irrigation of about 1,000 acres of land under a lift of 160 feet from the river, and for such quantity as may be necessary to operate a turbine for lifting from the river to the land the quantity needed for irrigation. But it appears that Contestee had done practically nothing towards carrying out its plans for this unit of the project. It has no canals, no ditches, and it has installed no machinery or other works for the irrigation of the lands under this higher lift. In view of the fact that this condition prevails at this time after a lapse of 18 years since the initiation of the right, and that no sufficient reasons for such delay have existed, it appears that due diligence has not been exercised in developing this part of the project. It is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Contestee's claim for water for the higher lift be and the same is hereby denied.

37.

Contest #22.

71. West Side Reclamation District 103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company

Contestants,

vs.

Powell Butte Irrigation District,

Contestee.

Contest #23.

72. West Side Reclamation District 103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company

Contestants,

vs.

South Unit Improvement District,

Contestee.

Contest #24.

103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company 148. Powell Butte Irrigation District

Contestants,

vs.

West Side Reclamation District,

Contestee.

The contest of Columbia-Deschutes Power Company against the above Contestees was disposed of by the stipulation set forth in Paragraph 45 hereof.

These Contestees are all quasi-municipal corporations organized under the irrigation district laws of Oregon with a view of reclaiming and irrigating by the remaining unappropriated waters of Deschutes River the irrigable lands within their boundaries, and are applicants for allotments of water from the withdrawal made by the State in 1913 under the cooperative act (Chap. 87, L. 1913). The possibility of their development depends upon the construction of storage works, and upon whether a sufficient water supply is found to be available after prior rights are provided for. Paragraph 8 of the allotment order of the State Water Board dated November 26, 1921, reads as follows:

"That no further or other allotments will be made at this time, it being deemed expedient that insofar as practicable a substantial part of the waters available under said withdrawal shall be withheld, and disposed of from time to time as conditions may warrant or render expedient during the course of the development or construction of any of the units, or at any time thereafter.?

The rights of these Contestees shall be subject to such disposition as may be made in the future by the State Engineer under the general policy expressed in said order.

38.

Contest #25.

103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company 149. Powell Butte Irrigation District

Contestants,

vs.

Jefferson Water Conservancy District,

Contestee.

The contest of Powell Butte Irrigation District was withdrawn, and the contest of Columbia-Deschutes Power Company was disposed of by the stipulation set forth in Paragraph 45 hereof.

Contestee is a quasi-municipal corporation organized under the irrigation district laws of Oregon, and by order of the State Water Board, November 26, 1921, was allowed a part of the waters of Deschutes River covered by the 1913 withdrawal, the portion of the order which relates especially to this District (which is successor to North Unit Irrigation District) reading as follows:

"That there is hereby alloted to the North Unit Irrigation District out of the waters of Deschutes River withdrawn February 28, 1913, the amount of water which may be beneficially applied to the irrigable lands in said District, not to exceed 317,500 acre feet per year, with right of storage in Benham Falls Reservoir, together with such additional amount as may be necessary to

compensate for seepage and evaporation in said reservoir and between said reservoir and the point of diversion from Deschutes River; provided, that in no event shall the amount so stored for the use of said North Unit Irrigation District exceed 400,000 acre feet per year."

The plans of the District contemplate storage in a reservoir to be constructed at Benham Falls, and the irrigation of about 100,000 acres of land lying north of Crooked River and east of Deschutes River. Construction of the project has not yet been started, but continual attempts have been made to have the Securities Commission of the State of Oregon agree to certify the District's bonds, and attempts are now being made to induce Congress to appropriate money from the reclamation fund for the construction of this project. The rights of the District shall not be modified in this proceeding.

39.

Contest #26.

25. Walker Basin Irrigation Company

102. Deschutes Falls Power Company

103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company

Contestants.

vs.

Crook County Improvement District No. 1,

Contestee.

Contest #27.

25. Walker Basin Irrigation Company

48. Jefferson Water Conservancy District 49. Jefferson Water Conservancy District 68. West Side Reclamation District

69. West Side Reclamation District

79. Odin Falls Land Company 85. Bend Water, Light & Power Company 102. Deschutes Falls Power Company

103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company

105. Central Oregon Irrigation District 140. Powell Butte Irrigation District 141. Powell Butte Irrigation District

142. South Unit Improvement District

Contestants,

vs.

North Canal Company,

Contestee.

Contest #28.

25. Walker Basin Irrigation Company

56. West Side Reclamation District

78. Odin Falls Land Company

86. Bend Water, Light & Power Company 102. Deschutes Falls Power Company

103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company

132. Powell Butte Irrigation District

133. Crook County Improvement District No. 1

36. Jefferson Water Conservancy District

Contestants,

404

Central Oregon Irrigation Company,

Contest #29.

- 23. North Canal Company
- 25. Walker Basin Irrigation Company
- 77. Odin Falls Land Company 84. Bend Water, Light & Power Company 99. Charles Boyd
- 103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company

Contestants,

vs.

Central Oregon Irrigation District,

Contestee.

Contest #30.

25. Walker Basin Irrigation Company 103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company

Contestants.

vs.

Chinook Land Company,

Contestee.

Contest #31.

25. Walker Basin Irrigation Company 103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company

Contestants,

W. E. Van Allen,

Contestee.

All of the Contestees above named allege, among other things, that they have succeeded in part to rights initiated in the year 1900 by two companies which existed in Central Oregon at that time, and which were organized for the development of irrigation works in that locality on a large scale.

The mean flow of the Deschutes River at Bend, including the flow of canals above, during the critical months of the irrigation season, was as follows:

1921	1922	1923	1924
July - 1,850	July- 1,820	July - 1,290	July - 1,170
Aug 1,680	Aug 1,420	Aug 1,480	Aug 1,120

During the same period the minimum flow for 1921 was 1,570; 1922, 1,280; 1923, 1,170; 1924, 1,040 and 1925, 1,355.

These claimants in this proceeding, those rights are to be supplied with water from this point of measurement, allege the right to divert and use the following quantities of water:

CLA IMANT .	DATE OF PRIORITY	AMOUNT OF WATER CLAIMED
Cline Falls Power Company	Jan. 28, 1892	285 sec. ft.
Deschutes Reclamation & Irrigation Co.	Sept. 1, 1599	125 sec. ft.
Central Oregon Irrigation District	Oct. 12, 1900	985 s ec. ft.
Central Oregon Irrigation Company	Oct. 12, 1900	
North Canal Company	Oct. 12, 1900	372 sec. ft. (approx.)
Bend Water, Light & Power Company	Oct. 31, 1900	9.5 sec. ft.
Crook County Improvement District #1	Oct. 31, 1900	42.67 sec. ft.
Walker Basin Irrigation Company	Feb. 28, 1902	153 sec. ft.
Arnold Irrigation Company	(Jan. 26, 1905)	25 sec. ft.
	(Apr. 25, 1905)	125 sec. ft.
Steidl & Tweet	Sept. 1906	4.5 sec. ft.
		No. 7
TOTAL:		2,126.67 sec. ft.

It appears that the Oregon Irrigation Company posted and filed two notices of appropriation on October 15, 1900, each covering the appropriation of 2500 second feet of the water of Deschutes River in the vicinity of what is now Bend, Oregon, and on October 16, this same Company posted a third notice covering the appropriation of 2500 second feet at a point about one-half mile above.

The first notices contemplated the construction of a "North Canal" which was to flow northeasterly and north to Crooked River and extending eastward to the old river bed. The second notice provided for the construction of the "Narrows Canal" which was also to run northeasterly and northerly to Crooked River, with a line running easterly to the old river bed. The third notice was posted at the head of the proposed Emigrant Ford Canal which also was to run northerly to Crooked River and easterly to the old river bed. Very little evidence was presented relative to the early intentions of this Company, all claimants evidently electing to rely on other appropriations. No construction work was undertaken by the Oregon Irrigation Company and its rights, if any, were extinguished when the Company was absorbed later by the Deschutes Irrigation & Power Company.

The Pilot Butte Development Company, the second Company operating in the year 1900, filed its first notices of appropriation on October 31st of that year. One was filed near the head of what is now the Central Oregon Canal and covered the appropriation of 50,000 miner's inches or 1250 cubic feet per second. The notice set forth: "The name of the canal or ditch or flume shall be the Pilot Butte Canal. The point at which its headgate is proposed to be constructed is on the east bank of the Deschutes River near the center of the NET of Section 13, Township 18 South, Range 11 East; and the course of the canal shall be in a general northerly direction with the canyon of said Deschutes River for a mile or so and thence in a northerly or easterly direction towards Pilot Butte with laterals and branches toward the Old River

Bed and towards Farewell Bend and emptying any surplus waters as feeders of the Long Butte Canal into said Long Butte Canal near Pilot Butte on the north and again on the northeast through the said Old River Bed. The size of the flume shall be 25 feet wide and 8 feet deep, or in two or more flumes of equivalent capacity and the size of the canal sufficient to receive and conduct the waters from said flume."

On the same day this Company posted a notice of appropriation at a point N. 39 degrees 43' E. 667 feet from the SW corner of the SEANWA of Section 32, T. 17 S. R. 12 E. This notice set out that water would be diverted at the point where it was posted by a flume and canal running in a northeasterly and northerly direction towards Crooked River and the junction of Hay Creek and Trout Creek in Crook County with diverging lines and laterals towards the north and west and also towards the east, making use of natural depressions when feasible and particularly the "Old River Bed", so-called. "The size of the flume shall be 50 feet wide and 8 feet deep, or in two or more flumes of equivalent capacity and a canal of size sufficient to conduct the waters from said flume." The quantity of water to be appropriated was 100,000 cubic inches of water by miner's measurement under 6 inch pressure. This notice was posted at a point about one mile or more above the location of the present North Canal Dam and intake of the North Canal.

These two notices of appropriation were prepared and posted by L. D. Wiest, who was then chief engineer for the Pilot Butte Development Company. In his testimony Mr. Wiest stated that the two filings were made with a view of permitting the Company to get the most practical route of the two, and that if the diversion of the river from the point where the lower notice was posted had been found more practicable, then the Pilot Butte Canal would have been constructed from that point instead of the point finally selected, which was in Section 13, Township 18 S. R. 11 E. W. M.

In 1901, the Oregon Irrigation Company made application to the State Land Board for a contract to reclaim certain lands, a part of which was included in what was afterwards designated as Segregation Lists Nos. 6 and 19. The application was protested by the Pilot Butte Development Company, and on January 21, 1902, at the request of Mr. Hutchinson, president of the Oregon Irrigation Company, all papers and maps filed with the State Land Board were withdrawn.

On December 22, 1903, the Oregon Irrigation Company made a second application for a contract to reclaim Carey Act lands, and the State Land Board appointed a surveyor and engineer and designated Mr. Hutchinson as selecting agent. This application also was protested by the Pilot Butte Development Company. The State Land Board's engineer made a favorable report on the application, and the State Land Board, on May 9, 1905, forwarded the application to the land office at The Dalles, Oregon.

About this time the Deschutes Irrigation & Power Company was organized, and on February 12, 1904, the Deschutes Irrigation & Power Company acquired all of the

rights and interests of the Oregon Irrigation Company. On March 14, 1904, the Deschutes Irrigation & Power Company also acquired all the rights and interests of the Pilot Butte Development Company.

The Pilot Butte Development Company made application to the State Land Board on September 11, 1901, for a contract to reclaim lands included in what was later designated as Carey Act Segregation List #6, and on December 2, 1901, a preliminary contract was executed. After making its application to have the lands of Segregation List No. 6 segregated, the Company continued its survey and made preparation for beginning construction as soon as the application was approved. After securing such approval, the Company, not having commenced construction with 6 months from the date of posting the first notice, posted a second notice at the same point of diversion on February 7, 1903, and within six months after that date construction work was commenced.

The first work consisted of the construction of the Central Oregon Canal Flume extending from the point of diversion on Deschutes River about 6600 feet down the canyon. This flume was completed in January or February, 1904, and had a capacity of 70 cubic feet per second.

After acquiring the rights of the Oregon Irrigation Company and the Pilot Butte Development Company, the Deschutes Irrigation & Power Company in July, 1904, began construction work on a much larger scale. On November 12th of the same year, the enlargement of the flume was begun, and was completed in August, 1905. The enlarged flume was 16 feet wide, 5 feet deep, and had a capacity of 742 cubic feet per second. From the lower end of the flume, at a point 6600 feet below the point of diversion, a divide was constructed and a lateral of 440 second feet capacity was built in an easterly direction a distance of about 14 miles, and running thence northerly around the west side of Powell Butte. This lateral was called the Central Oregon Canal. From the same divide, a lateral having a capacity of 302 second feet was built in a northerly direction through the present towns of Bend and Redmond to Crooked River. This canal was called the Pilot Butte Canal. From 1904 to 1909 the work of completing these laterals, the distribution system, and the colonization of the Carey Act lands, was carried on diligently.

When the project was first undertaken, the contracts provided for the delivery of one second foot to each 160 acres of land. Experience proved that this quantity was insufficient for the proper irrigation of lands in this locality, and it also developed that the seepage losses in the canals, which had been estimated at 30% of the quantity diverted, were greater than were expected.

On October 10, 1905, the Desert Land Board said in its minutes:
"It is the opinion of the Board at this time that one second foot of

water is sufficient to irrigate and reclaim 100 acres of land in this segregation and this amount is hereby fixed and determined as the proper and reasonable duty for this project for the purpose only of establishing a basis for the guidance of the Governor and the State Engineer in certifying the list for patent." It was also provided that "if hereafter it is found by experience from the irrigation of these lands that the duty of water hereby fixed is not the correct quantity of water for the proper irrigation of the land in said list, it is subject to change at any time."

The rules of the Desert Land Board adopted October 31, 1905, required the delivery of 1.8 acre feet per acre in a 90-day period from May 23d to August 20th. The contract between the State and the Deschutes Irrigation & Power Company, executed on June 17, 1907, provided for the reclamation of about 140,000 acres of land under of which approximately 85,000 acres were irrigable. Segregation Lists Nos. 6 and 19 \(\sqrt{\text{Under this contract the Company agreed to deliver the quantity of water required by the rules of the Desert Land Board and also agreed to construct the North Canal Dam and the North Canal, which was necessary to provide the additional water required.

The Deschutes Irrigation & Power Company posted a notice of appropriation of 1000 second feet at the present location of the North Canal Dam on December 2, 1907, and the same notice was again posted on June 2, 1908, and again on December 3, 1908, construction work not having been commenced within six months from the date of posting previous notices.

The Company was re-organized as the Central Oregon Irrigation Company, to which all rights were conveyed on October 25, 1910. Financing had become more difficult, and it appears that the latter Company was unable to secure funds with which to construct the North Canal Dam and system in accordance with its plans. The capacity of its system was insufficient to furnish the quantity of water required for the reclamation of the lands which the Government had been requested to patent to the State under the Carey Act, and the Company attempted to raise \$150,000 by subscription. \$146,500 of this amount was actually raised and the construction of the North Canal Dam and the North Canal was then begun in May 1909, and discontinued in 1912. The dam and headworks were completed and 1.41 miles of the canal were constructed through a heavy rock cut to a connection with the Pilot Butte lateral.

No further extension of the North Canal has been made up to the present time, but it has been used to deliver water to the Pilot Butte lateral, and that part of the Pilot Butte lateral above its junction with the North Canal has been used as a minor lateral serving a few acres above and around the town of Bend. The completion of the North Canal Dam and 1.4 miles of the main canal marks the termination of the construction work extending the Central Oregon Irrigation Company's system, except later enlargements hereinafter mentioned. The work of the settlers on the project in

improving and preparing their lands for irrigation continued, and the Company continued to operate and maintain the system.

In the year 1917, the Central Oregon Irrigation District was organized for the purpose of taking over and operating the irrigation system in lieu of the water users' association as provided for in the Company's contract with the State of Oregon of June 17, 1907.

In a decree of the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Deschutes, dated July 9, 1921, in which H. H. Dietrich and the Central Oregon Irrigation District were plaintiffs and the Central Oregon Irrigation Company and the Desert Land Board of Oregon and its members, were defendants, it was provided, among other things, that the Central Oregon Irrigation Company "shall and is hereby commanded to turn over to the Central Oregon Irrigation District, on the first day of August, 1921, all the right, title and interest of the Central Oregon Irrigation Company in and to said Central Oregon Irrigation system, including such portion of its North Canal and North Canal Dam as are necessary to divert and carry the amount of water specified as sufficient water to raise ordinary agricultural crops during the irrigation season from April 1st to November 1st of each year and during the period of maximum use from May 23d to August 20th of each year a sufficient quantity to cover each acre of irrigable land to a depth of 1.3 feet delivered on the land, and at all other times throughout the year sufficient water for stock and domestic purposes."

Under this decree the right of the Central Oregon Irrigation Company was reserved to collect payments due on outstanding contracts, and to sell "excess acres", which were defined to be "all irrigable lands within each legal subdivision under present existing contracts for water rights and release of lien, for the irrigation of which irrigable lands the owner thereof has not purchased or contracted to purchase water, and the number of such excess acres within all of the lands now under contract and mentioned in this decree is determined by this decree to be not in excess of 2500 acres." The decree also provided that all unreclaimed lands or portions thereof contained in Segregation Lists Nos. 6 and 19, "shall not be reclaimed through the use of the Central Oregon or Pilot Butte canals or their laterals or any portion thereof, and all water rights for water to be used on or appropriated for said unreclaimed lands shall be and are hereby expressly decreed to be subsequent in time and inferior in right to the amount of water and the right to divert and appropriate the same from the direct flow of Deschutes River" for use on the lands which had been contracted for sale under the Central Oregon Irrigation system.

The effect of the Dietrich Decree was to turn over to the settlers the ownership and operation of the original project as then constructed including a portion of the North Canal and North Canal dam as more particularly described in said decree and deed based thereon, and to fix the appropriation for their lands as prior to the appropriation of water for any lands to be reclaimed by the Company or its successors

CENTRAL OREGON IRRIGATION DISTRICT

The Court accordingly finds that the Central Oregon Irrigation Company and its predecessors in interest acted with due diligence from the time of posting the notice of appropriation at the head of the Central Oregon Canal on October 31, 1900, to the time of completion of the Central Oregon and Pilot Butte canals in 1905 to a capacity of 742 second feet. It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED, that the water right for the lands served by the irrigation district, including the so-called right for the irrigation of 2500 excess acres, shall relate back to and be of the priority of said date. The extent of the appropriation, under date of October 31, 1900, shall be limited to the capacity of the irrigation system constructed to divert water from the point where the notice was posted, and which is hereby found to be 742 cubic feet per second. It is further found that the Company, in diverting through the North Canal certain portions of the water formerly diverted at the point of the original posting through the Central Oregon and Pilot Butte canals, did not injure other vested rights on the stream, and the District will therefore be entitled to divert the quantity of water which it is entitled to use, at the head of the Central Oregon canal, or at the head of the North Canal, in accordance with its needs.

The Central Oregon Irrigation District claims a right to use 3.7 acre feet per acre measured on the land during the seven months period extending from April to October, inclusive, with a loss in the main canal and distribution system of 45%. It would be necessary to divert 6.73 acre feet per acre during the entire irrigation season in order to deliver the quantity claimed. The contracts for the sale of water under this project provided for the delivery of "sufficient water to raise ordinary agricultural crops during the irrigation season from April 1st to November 1st of each year and during the period of maximum use from May 23d to August 20th (ninety days) of each year, sufficient water to cover every acre of irrigable land to a depth of 1.8 feet delivered on the land, and also at other times throughout the year sufficient water for stock and domestic purposes."

There is a conflict between the testimony given by witnesses called by the District and the reports of the State Engineer and his assistants filed herein relative to transmission losses, which together are inadequate from which to accurately determine transmission losses. However, considering the various measurements made at different intervals, and the testimony, it appears that the transmission losses are approximately 25% in the main canals and 15% in the laterals, under conditions where reasonably careful methods for the prevention of waste have been used.

It appears that the irrigation season for this project should be fixed as the period from April 1st to November 1st of each year, and the period of maximum use should be fixed from May 23d to August 20th of each year. During the latter period the maximum quantity of water diverted should not exceed one-fortieth of a second foot per acre, and during the rest of the season the maximum quantity should not exceed oneeightieth of a second foot per acre. It further appears that three acre feet of water per acre per season delivered on the land will be a sufficient quantity to meet the requirements of the lands under this project, and sufficient to raise ordinary agricultural crops. It appears that with an approximate average transmission loss of 40%, this would require a diversion duty of five acre feet per acre per season. The duty of water for lands in this district is therefore fixed at three acre feet per acre per season on the land, and at five acre feet per acre per season at the point of diversion; to be diverted at a rate of not to exceed one-fortieth of a second foot per acre during the period of maximum use from May 23d to August 20th, and at a rate of not to exceed one-eightieth of a second foot per acre during the rest of the irrigation season, which is hereby fixed as the period from April 1st to November 1st of each year. The transmission losses on this project are hereby fixed at an average of 40% of the quantity diverted; provided, that in any event, irrespective of transmission losses to any particular land, all water users in this District shall be entitled to a net delivery of three acre feet per acre per season, measured at the point where the user's lateral diverts from the District's main canal or lateral.

It appears that the appropriation made October 31, 1900, which is hereby limited to the diversion of 742 second feet, will be insufficient to provide the above prescribed quantities of water for all the lands irrigated or to be irrigated in said District, and that an additional quantity will be required. It is therefore further ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the priority of the water right for such additional quantity be, and the same is hereby fixed as of December 2, 1907, that being the first date that public notice was given of intention to make a new diversion and new appropriation by posting a notice at the present location of the North Canal dam, and by constructing said North Canal dam and the North Canal leading therefrom. The Central Oregon Irrigation District is hereby allowed until October 1, 1933, or until such further time as may be given by the State Engineer for good cause shown, as provided in Paragraph 65 hereof, in which to completely apply the water to beneficial use upon the lands for which a right is claimed. To the extent perfected within such time, the water right shall be deemed to have become vested and shall relate back to the dates of priority herein fixed; provided, that unless otherwise herein ordered and determined, in case of water shortage, all water diverted by the Central Oregon Irrigation District shall be distributed pro-rata, to the lands served through the irrigation district's system so that each tract served shall receive the same quantity per acre as every other tract.

It appears that 484.5 acres of the 2500 'excess acres" as referred to in the Dietrich decree had, subsequent thereto and prior to the filing of the claim of the Central Oregon Irrigation District in this proceeding, been sold to settlers in said District who were owners of subdivisions of which certain excess acres formed a portion. It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the water right for such 454.5 acres be and the same is hereby allowed a priority equal in every respect to the right for the 45,483 acres of land covered by prior contracts.

CENTRAL OREGON IRRIGATION COMPANY

The Central Oregon Irrigation Company has filed proof of claim for a water right from the direct flow of the Deschutes River for the irrigation of 2015.5 acres of land under date of priority of October 31, 1900. The land for which a right is claimed is in the Central Oregon project, and is what is commonly known as "excess acres", consisting of irrigable portions of 40 acre tracts in excess of that covered by contracts entered into by the Carey Act settlers with the Irrigation Company. Under the decree of the Circuit Court in the Dietrich case, dated July 9, 1921, the defendant, Central Oregon Irrigation Company, was given the right in Paragraph 7-b thereof to enter into additional contracts for the sale of such "excess acres", within the limit of 2500 acres. Up to the beginning of this proceeding the Company had sold 484.5 acres of such land, which is included in the claim filed by the Central Oregon Irrigation District, and the balance, being still unsold, was included in this Company's claim. It further appears that these excess acre water rights represent a part of the original appropriation of water formerly diverted at the point of the original posting of the 1900 notice through the Central Oregon and Pilot Butte canals but now diverted (or to be diverted) through the North Canal. The irrigation system as constructed has a capacity sufficient to serve this land. By such construction work, commencing in 1903 and continued with diligence thereafter, the Company is entitled to, and it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that it shall have, an inchoate right to the water for this land, similar to the right acquired for the 45,483 acres of land covered by prior contracts and served by the same irrigation system. Under the provisions of Chapter 142, Laws of Oregon for 1925, the rights appurtenant to excess acreage may, under certain conditions, be sold and transferred to other lands. It appears that since the State Engineer's findings and order of determination in this adjudication were filed with the Court, all of the excess acre water rights for the 2015.5 acres as above mentioned, have been transferred and sold by this Company to the Central Oregon Irrigation District. As all of such lands have not yet been placed under irrigation, or the water transferred to and used upon other lands, the water right is not complete.

The Central Oregon Irrigation District is hereby allowed until October 1, 1933, or such further time as may be allowed by the State Engineer for good cause shown, as provided in Paragraph 65 hereof, in which to designate the particular acreage to which such rights are to be appurtenant, and to completely apply the water to beneficial use thereon. To the extent of the acreage irrigated within said time, within a total limitation of 2,015.5 acres, the right shall relate back for priority to the date of posting the notice, October 31, 1900. Under Paragraph 3 of said Dietrich decree, the water right for this land was made inferior in right to the water right for the 45,483 acres of land covered by prior contracts. But as all of such excess acreage right is now in the ownership of the District, this provision is inoperative. Therefore, the right for such excess acreage shall be equal in every respect to the right for the 45,483 acres under prior contracts, whether sold for use on subdivisions of which excess acreage forms a portion, or on other land under the system of said District, either within or without the boundaries thereof. But if any of such excess acreage should be severed and sold to another district, for use on lands not under the system of the Central Oregon Irrigation District, then in that event the above mentioned provision of the Dietrich decree shall become operative, and such excess acreage right so sold shall be inferior in right to the water right for the 45,483 acres of land under prior contracts. The quantity of water which may be diverted at the North Canal dam under this right shall be governed by the same limitations as hereinbefore fixed for other lands in the Central Oregon Irrigation District.

CROOK COUNTY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 1.

The contests of the Deschutes Falls Power Company and the ColumbiaDeschutes Power Company against this Company were disposed of by stipulation. (See
Paragraph 45.)

The Crook County Improvement District No. 1 is a quasi-municipal corporation organized in 1919 under the irrigation district laws of Oregon, and was originally named the Lone Pine Irrigation District. The District comprises an area of about 3700 acres of land of which 2520 acres are classified as irrigable. It is situated north of Crooked River about ten miles below Prineville. Water for use of the District is diverted at the North Canal dam near Bend and carried through the North Canal to the Pilot Butte Canal of the Central Oregon District and from there through said Pilot Butte Canal and lateral K to the south bank of the Deschutes River, where it is received into the system of and controlled by the Crook County Improvement District No. 1, and carried by means of that system across Crooked River in a syphon onto the District's lands.

Water rights for the District were purchased from the North Canal Company, which Company as successor of the District decree to cancel the water right of the unsold patented land of Segregation Lists Nos. 6 and 19 of the Central Oregon project and to sell its equivalent elsewhere. The water rights for 3202 irrigable acres which had theretofore been certified as reclaimed, and for which patent had been issued to the State, were assigned to the District, and the reclamation lien of the Company on the lands was relinquished so that they could be re-conveyed by the State to the United States Government. The District then entered into a contract with the North Canal Company providing for the enlargement of the Pilot Butte Canal of the Central Oregon Irrigation District to an extent sufficient to deliver 32 second feet at a point on the south bank of Crooked River, during the 90-day period of maximum use between May 1st and September 15th, and 21 second feet during the remainder of the irrigation season extending from April 15th to October 15th.

The District also entered into a contract with the Central Oregon Irrigation District providing for the delivery of this water through the latter District's canal. The construction work was begun in the fall of the year of 1922 and completed in July, 1923, and water was used for the irrigation of approximately 564.3 acres of land during that year. It appears that at the time the contract was entered into providing for the enlargement of the Pilot Butte Canal and the construction of the Irrigation District's system, there was a water right appurtenant to the Carey Act lands which had been patented to the State as having been reclaimed by reason of the construction of the Central Oregon Irrigation District's system. It also appears that the irrigable lands of the Crook County Improvement District No.1 were of a better quality than those lands which had been patented, and that the transfer of the water right appurtenant to the Carey Act lands to the District lands did not injure other rights, and resulted in a more beneficial use of the water.

It also appears that the water right appurtenant to the area cancelled was sufficient to supply water for the 2520 acres of land in the District after taking into consideration the difference in water requirements and canal losses. The water right for the 3202 acres of patented lands is a part of that right initiated by the Power Deschutes Irrigation & Company by posting notice December 2, 1907 at the location of the North Canal dam. The Dietrich decree provides that the water right appurtenant to unsold patented land shall be inferior to the rights appurtenant to lands sold to Carey Act settlers, theretofore.

It is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this right is a part Power of the appropriation made by the Deschutes Irrigation & / Company of date of December 2, 1907, at the North Canal Dam, and will be given that date of priority, with the

provision that it is inferior to the rights of the Central Oregon Irrigation District of the same date of priority.

As the water has not been applied to beneficial use on all of the lands, the Crook County Improvement District No. 1 is hereby allowed until October 1, 1933, or such further time as may be given by the State Engineer on good cause shown, as provided by Paragraph 65 hereof, in which to complete the irrigation of the 2520 acres. The right shall be limited to the irrigation of the acreage reclaimed and irrigated before that date, but if the quantity appropriated is found insufficient to properly reclaim and irrigate the entire project, the water may be applied to a less area, provided that the total quantity diverted from Deschutes River shall not exceed 5.5 acre feet per acre. As provided by the conveyance of the water right from the North Canal Company, the District's rights are prior and superior to any rights herein confirmed to the North Canal Company, except the right for the irrigation of 591 acres of land (patented and unsold at the time of the Dietrich decree) the water right for which is similar to that appurtenant to and transferred from the unsold lands.

In case the direct flow of the Deschutes River is insufficient to supply the quantity of water required by the Irrigation District under its date of priority as herein confirmed, the shortage shall be made up by water stored in Crane Prairie. The water rights of the North Canal Company as confirmed herein for the storage of water in said Crane Prairie and use therefrom shall be subject to this prior claim of the Crook County Improvement District No. 1. The transmission loss in the Pilot Butte Canal, or difference between the quantity diverted by the North Canal Company at the North Canal Dam for this District and the quantity delivered at Crooked River, is hereby fixed at 40% of the quantity diverted; provided, that if the North Canal Company is unable to confine its said transmission losses to 40%, it shall deliver sufficient water from its own appropriation, either from the direct flow of Deschutes River or water stored in Crane Prairie Reservoir, to make up the shortage and insure the delivery to said District of the quantities it is obligated to deliver under its contract with said District. The rights of the Crook County Improvement District No. 1 shall therefore be limited to the diversion at the North Canal Dam of 53.3 cubic feet per second during the 90-day period of maximum use between May 1st and September 15th, and to the diversion of 35 cubic feet per second during the remainder of the irrigation season extending from April 15th to October 15th; provided, that the total, quantity of water diverted at the North Canal dam for lands in this district in any irrigation season shall not exceed 5.5 acre feet per acre of land actually under irrigation.

NORTH CANAL COMPANY

The contests of the Deschutes Falls Power Company and the Columbia-Deschutes

Power Company were disposed of by stipulation. (See Paragraph 45.) The contests of the

Jefferson Water Conservancy District, West Side Reclamation District, Powell Butte Irrigation District and South Unit Improvement District were also disposed of by stipulation which provided that in the relinquishment filed by the North Canal Company with the State Engineer of the State of Oregon, the term "third parties" indicated all parties other than parties holding under allotment, or having applied for allotment, of the waters of the Deschutes River withdrawn from appropriation by the State Engineer February 28, 1913.

Contestee North Canal Company has filed three statements and proofs of claim in this proceeding. Under Claim No. 15-z, it alleges the right to use from the direct flow of the Deschutes River, sufficient water for the irrigation of 591 acres of land, being a part of the lands referred to in Paragraph 7-c of the Dietrich decree. At the time the Company enlarged the Pilot Butte Canal for the Crook County Improvement District No. 1, beginning in the fall of 1922 and spring of 1923, additional capacity was provided for carrying water to the 591 acres included in this claim. It is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the rights for this land shall have the same date of priority as lands in the Crook County Improvement District No. 1, namely, December 2, 1907, but that the rights shall be inferior to the rights of the Crook County Improvement District No. 1, as provided in the contract between the District and the Company. Contestee is hereby allowed until October 1, 1933, or until such further time as may be prescribed by the State Engineer, as provided in Paragraph 65 hereof, in which to completely apply the water to the proposed use. The quantity of water which Contestee is entitled to divert from the Deschutes River at the North Canal Dam under this right shall be governed by the same limitations as hereinbefore fixed for lands in the Central Oregon Irrigation District. In case the direct flow of the Deschutes River is insufficient to furnish the quantity required, the deficiency shall be made up from storage in Crane Prairie Reservoir.

The Company, under Claim No. 15-x, claims a right from the direct flow of Deschutes River for the irrigation of 20,000 acres of land under priority of October 31, 1900, the land being a part of the unpatented acreage remaining in Carey Act Segregation Lists Nos. 6 and 19. The Company under Claim 15-y also alleges a right to store 90,000 acre feet of water from the West Fork of the Beschutes River in Crane Prairie Reservoir.

Soon after the transfer of the Central Oregon Irrigation Company's system to the Central Oregon Irrigation District, the Central Oregon Irrigation Company conveyed its remaining rights, including its interest in the North Canal Bam and North Canal, to the North Canal Company, and this Company entered into a new contract with the State of Oregon providing for the complete reclamation of 20,000 acres of land in Segregation Lists Nos. 6 and 19.

On account of an investigation of the Deschutes River having been made cooperatively by the United States Reclamation Service and the office of the State Engineer, the unappropriated waters of the Deschutes River were withdrawn under the authority of Section 5807, Oregon Laws.

The Company applied to the State Water Board for an allotment of a portion of the withdrawn water for storage in Crane Prairie Reservoir, and the State Water Board, by an order entered on November 26, 1921, did allot to said North Canal Company use of 90,000 acre feet per annum of the withdrawn waters of Deschutes River, or so much thereof as was necessary to supply 4.17 acre feet per acre at the diversion point from the Deschutes River for use on 20,000 acres of land in Carey Act Segregation Lists Nos. 6, 19 and 29. The order provided that the allotment shall be decreased by such amount of water as such Company may have or acquire through any other rights for the irrigation of said lands and the allotment was made contingent upon the filling by the North Canal Company of a relinquishment of all other claims that the Company then had or might thereafter acquire except by allotment from the State to storage rights to the waters of the Deschutes River or its tributaries. The terms of the allotment were duly accepted by the North Canal Company.

The first notice of the Deschutes Irrigation & Power Company's intention to appropriate water from the Deschutes River where the North Canal dam is now located, was posted on December 2, 1907. Construction work was begun in May, 1909, and continued to December, 1912. The Company from that date to the present time has performed no actual construction work on the North Canal dam or the North Canal and distribution system, with the exception of the enlargement which was made for the Crook County Improvement District No. 1.

After securing its allotment of water for storage in Crane Prairie Reservoir, the Company, during the season of 1922, constructed a dam for the purpose of testing the reservoir and thereafter tests of the seepage losses in the reservoir were made up to the capacity of approximately 48,000 acre feet. The Company has secured extensions of time on its contracts with the Desert Land Board from time to time, and has released portions of its segregation and transferred such water rights as were alleged to be appurtenant thereto to other lands not a part of its project.

The Court finds that the Company's water rights under these claims were first initiated with the posting of the first notice of appropriation at the site of the North Canal dam on December 2, 1907. Considering all of the facts and circumstances in the case, as given in evidence, it is believed that sufficient diligence has been exercised by this Company and its predecessors in interest since the filing of 1907, to entitle it to a priority right as of that date. But it appears that the time for completion of construction work should be definitely fixed, and that the extent of the appropriation should be limited to the present capacity of the North Canal less the quantity of water required to serve other users through said canal as herein confirmed.

Therefore, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the rights of this Company shall be limited to the excess capacity of the North Canal when completed after other rights herein confirmed have been supplied, not exceeding the diversion of 333 cubic feet per second, under the date of appropriation of December 2, 1907, for use on the 20,000 acres of land as described in its contract with the State; provided, that construction work is continued diligently and completed on or before March 1, 1930. The North Canal Company is hereby allowed until October 1, 1933, or such further time as may be allowed by the State Engineer for good cause shown, as provided by Paragraph 65 hereof, in which to completely apply the water to beneficial use upon the land. To the extent perfected within such time, the water right shall be deemed to have become vested and shall relate back to the date of priority herein fixed. The right herein confirmed is subsequent and inferior to other rights herein confirmed as of the same date of priority. The water rights under this appropriation shall be limited to three acre feet per acre per season delivered on the land, and to five acre feet per acre per season at the point of diversion, to be diverted at a rate of not to exceed 1/40 of a second foot per acre during the period of maximum use, from May 23d to August 20th of each year, and at a rate of not to exceed 1/50 of a second foot per acre during the remainder of the irrigation season, which is hereby fixed as the period from April 1st to November 1st of each year. The transmission losses under this project are hereby fixed at an average of 40% of the quantity diverted; provided, that in any event, irrespective of transmission losses to any particular land, all water users under the system shall be entitled to a net delivery of three acre feet per acre per season, measured at the point where the user's lateral diverts from the Company's main canal or lateral.

The order of allotment of the State Water Board, dated November 26, 1921, as follows, is hereby confirmed:

"That there is hereby alloted to the North Canal Company out of the waters of Deschutes River withdrawn February 28, 1913, for storage in Crane Prairie Reservoir site, the amount of water which can be beneficially used on the irrigable lands in Carey Act Segregation Lists 6, 19 and 29, or so much thereof for which contracts for reclamation shall hereafter be executed between the Desert Land Board and said North Canal Company, not in any event to exceed 20,000 acres; provided, that said amount of water shall not exceed 4.17 acre feet per acre at the diversion point on Deschutes River, together with such additional amount as may be necessary to compensate for seepage in Crane Prairie Reservoir and between said reservoir and the point of diversion, and in no event shall the storage in Crane Prairie Reservoir under this allotment exceed 90,000 acre feet per year; provided further, that such allotment shall be decreased by such amount of water as said Company may have or acquire through any other rights for the irrigation of said lands; and that this allotment is contingent, and only becomes operative, upon the filing by said North Canal Company of a relinquishment of all other claims now or hereafter acquired (except by allotment from the State Water Board) to storage rights to the waters of Deschutes River and its tributaries."

On December 23, 1921, the North Canal Company filed its written acceptance of the terms and conditions of this allotment, and filed with the State Engineer Applica-

tions Nos. 9314 and 9315 for permits to appropriate water. It is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the North Canal Company's storage rights as thereby initiated shall be limited to such rights as may hereafter be perfected in accordance with the terms and limitations of the permits issued by the State Engineer under said applications, or such other permits as may be hereafter issued. The priority of the water right under such permits shall be February 28, 1913, being the date the water was withdrawn from appropriation by the State Engineer.

CHINOOK LAND COMPANY and W. E. VAN ALLEN

The contests in which the Chinook Land Company and W. E. Van Allen were Contestees, were set down for hearing but no testimony was taken thereon, it being agreed that the testimony taken in the contest involving the Central Oregon Irrigation District, Central Oregon Irrigation Company, North Canal Company, and others, should be used in determining the rights of these Contestees. The lands of these Contestees, as well as those of claimants James P. Bates, Maggie M. Bates, E. V. Kuykendall, E. S. McGuire and Walter Danielski, are entitled to a water right for the irrigation of their lands of the same character and date of priority as those of other users of water in the Central Oregon Irrigation District. The rights of these claimants are a part of one general appropriation made by the predecessors of the Irrigation District. (See Paragraph 70 hereof.)

40.

Contest #32.

- North Canal Company
 North Canal Company
- 43. Jefferson Water Conservancy District
- 63. West Side Reclamation District

Contestants,

vs.

Grant Smith & Company,

Contestee.

The claim of Contestee in this case is based upon Application Nos. 3951 and 8952, filed with the State Engineer May 5, 1923, for permits for the use of the waters of Deschutes River at two points, for power development. One proposed place of use at Sherar Falls, and the claim of Contestee at this location conflicts with the claim of Deschutes Falls Power Company. The other proposed point of development is at Moody, hear the mouth of the Deschutes, this claim conflicting with the claims of both the Columbia-Deschutes Power Company and Malcolm A. Moody. No testimony was offered in the contest, by either the Contestants or the Contestee. The applications of Contestee are merely preliminary filings, and have not been approved by the State Engineer. It appears that they should remain on file in that condition, subject to such future disposition as may be made thereof by the State Engineer, and that no modification of Contestee's rights thereunder should be made in this proceeding.

41.

Contest #33.

24. North Canal Company

82. Odin Falls Land Company

107. Central Oregon Irrigation District,

Contestants,

vs.

Office of Indian Affairs, U. S. Dept. of Interior, Contestee.

The above Contestants filed notices of contest against the statements of claim filed by officials of the United StatesGovernment on behalf of the Indians of the Warmsprings Indian Reservation. All parties were duly notified of the time and place fixed for hearing such contest. Appearance was made by Contestants, but not by Contestee, but no testimony was offered by either of the parties. It appears that the Contestee, although filing certain general statements, which might be construed as an allegation of rights to the waters involved, has expressly and repeatedly declared that it did not propose to become a party to the adjudication proceeding, and that the rights of the Indians were not subject to the operation of the laws of this State. In response to the official notice of the proceeding, and to later notices and letters of the State Engineer, statements were filed by the Commissioner of Indian Affairs and by the Superintendent of the Warmsprings Indian Reservation, indicating a desire on the part of such officials to cooperate with the State in any way possible, and to define as specifically as possible the rights which might be set up by the Indians for the use of water within the Reservation. Such statements allege, in effect, that by virtue of the treaty of June 25, 1855, (12 U.S. Stats, at large 963) between the United States and the Indians, all waters which might be used within the Reservation were reserved and excepted from appropriation under the State laws, and that the right of the Indians is paramount, to the use of such waters, to the extent reasonably necessary for irrigation, stock, domestic and other useful purposes, present and future. The"claim" filed by C. W. Rastall, Superintendent of the Reservation, on November 8, 1923, contains the following statement: "Appearance by the Superintendent of the Warmsprings Indian Reservation in behalf of the Government and Warmsprings Indians is not an admittance as to the jurisdiction of the State in this matter, but reservation is hereby made for the Government or representative of the Warmsprings Indians to demur at

any future time as to the jurisdiction of the State in the above matter." On December 18, 1923, a letter was received from Chas. H. Burke, Commissioner of Indian Affairs, reading in part as follows: "After going into this matter in the field it is not deemed practicable to state just what quantity of the water from the Deschutes River will be needed in the future for the Indians. At the present time 2,000 acres of land are susceptible of irrigation from this river and its tributaries. This, of course, would not restrict the Indians from the use of additional water in the future should their needs require." The Contestants, with knowledge of the position taken by these officials, filed their contests. In response thereto, the Commissioner addressed a letter to the attorney for Odin Falls Land Company, one of the Contestants; as follows, under date of May 14, 1924: "Copies of Contest in the matter of determination of the water rights to the waters of the Deschutes River, a tributary of the Columbia River, purporting to be between Odin Falls Land Company, Contestant, and United States Department of the Interior, Hubert Work, Secretary of the Interior, and Charles H. Burke, Commissioner of Indian Affairs, Contestees, have been received and contents noted. A copy of letter of even date addressed to Mr. R. W. Hagood, President of the North Canal Company in matter of similar purport is enclosed for your information. The cases therein cited will clearly place before you the rights of the United States in matters affecting water rights of Indians on reservations set aside for tribes of Indians. In addition to the information contained therein, it may be said that the United States is not a party to this adjudication and its rights and the rights of the Indians are not affected thereby." In order to give the contesting parties full opportunity to be heard, however, the contests were set down for hearing. As stated above, Contestee made no appearance, but addressed a letter to the State Engineer, as follows:

"A copy of document signed by you on September 6, 1924, entitled "Notice of Hearing" in the case of North Canal Company et als, involving waters of the Deschutes River and its tributaries, has been received.

"You have previously been advised that the rights of the United States and of the Indians of the Warmsprings Reservation are not subject to State control and that the United States was not a party to the proposed adjudication suit. Congress is the only body that could make these rights subject to State jurisdiction, and in the instant case no such authority has been sanctioned. In the absence of such authority, therefore, an administrative official cannot bind the United States with respect to these matters. Should any action be attempted that may interfere with the rights in question, it will be necessary for the Government to institute proper proceedings in the Federal Court to protect the interests involved."

It appears that the position taken by these officials of the Government is correct, and that the rights of the Indians in said Reservation are not subject to adjudication in this proceeding. This contest is accordingly dismissed, without prejudice to the rights of any of the parties thereto.

Contest #34.

- 41. Jefferson Water Conservancy District
- 61. West Side Reclamation District
- 127. Crook County Improvement District #1
- 129. Powell Butte Irrigation District

Contestants,

vs.

Pacific Power & Light Company,

Contestee.

No testimony was offered in this contest, a stipulation being entered into providing that the relative rights of the parties might be determined upon the documentary evidence and claims as filed. It appears that the rights of Contestee depend upon Application No. 7079 filed with the State Engineer February 17, 1920, for the development of power at a point on the Deschutes River in Section 6. T. 2 S. R. 16 E.W.M. This application has not been approved and permit issued, the applicant not having been ready to proceed with development. If this application is approved the priority of the right will be subsequent to the rights of Contestants, providing such rights are perfected upon the basis of their respective present filings. Such right as Contestee has under Application No. 7079 will not be modified in this proceeding, but shall be subject to such disposition as may hereafter be made thereof by the State Engineer.

43.

Contest #35.

- 26. Walker Basin Irrigation Company
- 50. Jefferson Water Conservancy District 70. West Side Reclamation District
- 103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company
- 117. South Unit Improvement District
- 118. Crook County Improvement District 119. Powell Butte Irrigation District Crook County Improvement District #1
 - Contestants.

٧s.

Cline Falls Power Company,

Contestee.

Contestee Cline Falls Power Company claims a right from the direct flow of Deschutes River for the irrigation of about 800 acres of land and for the operation of a turbine to lift the quantity actually needed for irrigation from the stream to the land, such lift being 101.2 feet. Contestee also claims rights for power purposes for generating electricity and for water to maintain a fish ladder at its dam. The priority claimed for all of these rights is February 2, 1892, based upon a notice of

appropriation filed on that date and upon construction work alleged to have been done subsequent thereto.

Very little evidence was submitted showing the early history of this appropriation and the work done thereunder, but it appears that up to the present time less than one-half of the 500 acres for which a right is claimed has actually been irrigated. The State Engineer in his findings and order of determination, found that the maximum quantity of water which had been diverted for actual irrigation purposes was 14.17 second feet, and that said quantity would be ample for all of the lands, and fixed said quantity as the maximum limit of the appropriation for irrigation use. It is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this finding of the State Engineer be and the same is hereby affirmed. As this irrigation right has not been completed but is still inchoate. Contestee is hereby allowed until October 1, 1933, or such further time as may be allowed by the State Engineer on good cause shown, as provided by Paragraph 65 hereof, in which to place the remainder of the lands under irrigation. The right shall be limited to the acreage reclaimed and irrigated within such time. The right, as perfected, shall relate back for priority to the date of the notice of appropriation, February 2, 1892, for the quantity of water necessary to irrigate the lands which have been so reclaimed under the following limitations as to the duty of water: The water rights for lands under this appropriation shall be limited to 3.75 acre feet per acre per season measured at the point of diversion, and 3 acre feet per acre per season delivered on the land, to be diverted at a rate of not to exceed 1/40 of a second foot per acre during the period of maximum use from May 23 to August 20th of each year, and at a rate of not to exceed 1/80 of a second foot per acre during the rest of the irrigation season, which is hereby fixed as the period from April 1st to November 1st of each year. The transmission losses on this project are hereby fixed at an average of 20% of the quantity diverted; provided, that in any event, irrespective of transmission losses to any particular land, all water users on this project shall be entitled to a net delivery of 3 acre feet per acre per season, measured at the point where the user's lateral diverts from the Company's main canal or lateral.

Contestee was awarded by the State Engineer a right for 90 second feet of water for operating a turbine to lift the 14.17 second feet used for irrigation from the stream to the land. Other claimants have filed objections to this allowance, alleging that the Contestee at the time of its notice of appropriation did not have in contemplation the irrigation of its land by using a much larger quantity for lifting purposes, and also that such use of water for lifting purposes is not a beneficial use. The findings of the Court set forth in Paragraph 36 hereof as to the claim for water for lifting purposes by the Odin Falls Land Company are applicable in all respects

to this case, and reference is hereby made to that portion of these findings and decree for the purpose of showing the findings and conclusions of the Court as to the claim of the Cline Falls Power Company for the same purposes. It appears that this Company by its notice of appropriation in no way indicated that it intended to use the water for these purposes. It also appears, as set forth in the findings in Paragraph 36, that such use of water is not an economical or a reasonable use but is a wasteful system of irrigation and should not be permitted as a permanent right. Contestee has not acquired any right of priority as against other users by its use of water for these purposes. It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the claim for lifting purposes be and the same is hereby denied.

However, as noted in Paragraph 36 with respect to the similar claim of the Odin Falls Land Company, it would be unjust to deprive the claimant of the use of such a system without allowing a reasonable time for the installation of other and more up-to-date methods of diverting the irrigation water to the land. Contestee shall therefore be permitted to use its present system until October 1, 1933, within which time the change shall be made. After that date, Contestee and its successors and assigns are hereby enjoined from using the 90 second feet of water as allowed by the State Engineer, or any quantity, for lifting purposes. It is possible that after a new method of diversion under the irrigation right is adopted, the allowance hereinbefore made for transmission losses will not be adequate. This question shall be determined by the State Engineer and his findings submitted to the Court for review at the time of his determination of the inchoate rights of this Company, as provided by Paragraph 65 hereof.

Contestee has further claimed the right to the use of water for operating its power plant in the generation of electricity. It appears that such a plant has been constructed and in operation for a number of years, such electrical current being transmitted to and used for commercial purposes in the towns of Redmond, Prineville, Madras and Culver under lease to W. C. Sivyer, as an auxiliary to the plant of the Deschutes Power Company on Crooked River. The quantity used for this purpose, as found by the State Engineer, is 90 second feet. It is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this finding be and the same is affirmed, and a right shall be tabulated where the name of the Cline Falls Power Company for this quantity of water with date of priority of February 2, 1892.

Claim was also made by Contestee for 20 second feet of water for maintaining a fishway or fish ladder over its dam, as required by the State Fish & Game Commission. It appears that this use was not in contemplation at the time of the notice of appropriation in 1892, and in fact no such requirement has been made by the State until recent years. If the State is to compel Contestee to maintain a fish

ladder, it is not equitable that the quantity of water necessary for its operation should be taken out of Contestee's appropriation for power purposes, but the State should furnish the necessary supply of water from its withdrawal of unappropriated water which was made in 1913, as hereinafter referred to in Paragraph 66. It is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Contestee's claim for this purpose be and the same is denied.

44.

Contest #36.

- 27. Walker Basin Irrigation Company
- 76. Odin Falls Land Company
- 91. North Canal Company
- 92. North Canal Company
- 95. Wamic Water Ditch Co., W. J. Knox, Tygh Valley High Line Ditch Co.,
 - F. M. Driver and Lost & Boulder Ditch Co.
- 103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company
- 106. Central Oregon Irrigation District
- 108. Crook County Improvement District No. 1
- 109. Suttles Lake Irrigation District
- 110. Ochoco Irrigation District

Contestants,

vs.

Malcolm A. Moody,

Contestee.

In accordance with a stipulation entered into after the order of determination of the State Engineer in this proceeding was filed with the Court, there was filed with the County Clerk of Deschutes County on October 25, 1927, and made a part of the record herein, certified copies of the will of Malcolm A. Moody and deeds of conveyance showing transfer of title to all lands and real property of said Malcolm A. Moody riparian to the Deschutes River as listed or mentioned in his several claims filed in this proceeding, to the Columbia-Deschutes Power Company. Said Stipulation is as follows:

"It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between ANNE M. LANG and ELIZABETH L. LANG, as executrixes and devisees named in the will of MALCOLM A. MOODY, deceased, duly substituted in the stead of the said Malcolm A. Moody in this proceeding, on the one part, and the COLUMBIA DESCHUTES POWER COMPANY, on the other part, as follows:

"1. That the fee simple ownership of all the lands and real properties of the said Malcolm A. Moody riparian to the Deschutes River, mentioned or listed in any of his several claims to the waters of the Deschutes River or in the proofs or evidence submitted in connection therewith, together with such rights as are appurtenant to any of said lands to use water for irrigation, has passed to and is now vested in the Columbia Deschutes Power Company; and that the findings and decree herein may be made to recogniz e and show such transfer of ownership and privileges.

"2. That the Columbia Deschutes Power Company may file as evidence herein, at any time before the final decree, the deeds of conveyance, showing said transfers.

"3. That the contest filed by the said Malcolm A. Moody against the claim of the Columbia Deschutes Power Company to the use of the waters of the Deschutes River for power purposes be and the same is hereby withdrawn, and that an order of the court may now be made dismissing the same.

"Dated at Bend, Oregon, this 8th day of March, 1927.

"ANNE M. LANG AND ELIZABETH L. LANG,

By Percy A. Cupper
Their Attorney.

"COLUMBIA DESCHUTES POWER COMPANY.

By Veazie & Veazie
Its Attorney.

It appears that Malcolm A. Moody claimed a power right on Deschutes River not as a riparian owner but as an appropriator and that the State Engineer found that said claimant had not exercised due diligence as such appropriator and denied the power right claimed. A claim was also made by said Malcolm A. Moody for a right for the irrigation of a small acreage of land, which claim was allowed by the State Engineer. These findings of the State Engineer will not be disturbed by the Court and are hereby affirmed. Such findings are as follows:

"Contestee Malcolm A. Moody filed three claims, one for the irrigation of 126 acres of land and two for power purposes. Contestee's holdings are situated just above the mouth of Deschutes River, the development of power for commercial purposes being contemplated at two sites on the stream, about a mile apart. The upper dam site, known as Rimrock #1, is located in the NE4NW4 of Section 2, T. 1 N. R. 15 E., the lower site, known as Rimrock #2, is located in the SW4SE4 of Section 26, T. 2 N. R. 15 East. The contests of all the Contestants, except Columbia-Deschutes Power Company, have been disposed of by stipulation, wherein Contestee acknowledges the superiority of the rights of such Contestants. The contest of Columbia-Deschutes Power Company involves only the upper power site of Contestee. There was some intimation in Mr. Moody's power claims as filed, that he claimed as a riparian proprietor. Subsequent statements, however, in the stipulations and briefs filed, indicate that he relied entirely upon the doctrine of appropriation. Contestant Columbia-Deschutes Power Company claims a right for the development of power as a riparian proprietor, the proposed site for its dam being in the S2 SW4 of Section 35, T. 2 N. R. 15 E. This is about one-fourth mile below Contestee's upper dam site (Rimrock #1). These claims are therefore in direct conflict, it being a physical impossibility to develop power at both places. (Contestant's riparian claim is disposed of in Paragraph 45, immediately following.) The principal question with regard to the Moody power claims, is as to whether there has been sufficient diligence since the rights were initiated, to keep them in good standing. The priorities claimed are based upon work done subsequent to notices of appropriation,

Son Apple

which were posted at the upper dam site. The first of such notices was posted January 18, 1906, and claimed 5000 second feet of water. Contestee now asserts a right to 3500 second feet. No notices appear to have been posted at the lower site, although there has been work done at both locations, and the two seem to comprise one general scheme of development. Practically no actual construction work has been done on the project, but rather large expenditures have been made on preliminary work, such as gage readings of stream flow, engineering investigations and reports, surveys, digging test pits, clearing and stripping dam sites, etc. Considerable money has been expended in litigation involving the project, and in acquiring the lands now owned by Contestee. Considering the magnitude of the project, however, and the length of time which has elapsed since the initiation of the right, without actual construction work having been commenced, it appears that there has not been sufficient diligence to entitle the claimant to retain the right with the priority claimed. No showing has been made evidencing an intention to construct the project in the near future. The lack of good faith on the part of Contestee in the development of this project is further shown by his failure to file the statements and pay the fees required annually of such power claimants by Chapter 213, Laws of Oregon for 1915. All things considered, it appears that the right has been lost through lack of diligence. The claims of Contestee for power purposes are therefore denied.

"As to the claim of Contestee for irrigation purposes, it appears that 27.9 acres of land have actually been irrigated out of the 126 acres for which a right is claimed. It appears that this right was initiated in 1860, and that Contestee has had more than a reasonable length of time for the completion thereof. Said right shall be limited to the acreage which has actually been irrigated.

"In accordance with the stipulations filed herein, the rights of said Contestee shall be subsequent in time and inferior in right to the rights of the following Contestants, as allowed herein, notwithstanding the priorities of such rights as hereinafter tabulated: Walker Basin Irrigation Company, Odin Falls Land Company, North Canal Company, Columbia-Deschutes Power Company, Central Oregon Irrigation District, Crook County Improvement District No. 1, Suttles Lake Irrigation District and Ochoco Irrigation District."

It is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the rights of said claimants be and the same are hereby determined in accordance with the foregoing findings.

Contest #37.

- 2. North Canal Company 29. Walker Basin Irrica Walker Basin Irrigation Company
- 37. Jefferson Water Conservancy District
- 57. West Side Reclamation District
- Odin Falls Land Company 73.
- 89. Deschutes Reclamation & Irrigation Company
- 90. Malcolm A. Moody
- Wamic Water Ditch Co., W. J. Knox, Tygh Valley 93. High Line Ditch Co., F. M. Driver and Lost & Boulder Ditch Company
- 100. Wapinitia Irrigation Company
- 104. Central Oregon Irrigation District
- 120. Crock County Improvement District No. 1
- 121. Powell Butte Irrigation District
- 122. Ochoco Irrigation District
- 123. Suttles Lake Irrigation District
- 143. South Unit Improvement District

Contestants.

vs.

Columbia-Deschutes Power Company,

Contestee.

Contestee, Columbia-Deschutes Power Company, claims a right to 8000 second feet of the waters of Deschutes River for power purposes to be developed at a power site near the mouth of the river in Section 35, T. 2 N. R. 15 E., W.M. The normal average flow of the river available at this point amounts to considerably less than this quantity. The contests filed against this claim have all been disposed of by stipulation whereby Contestee has waived and relinquished any priority or superiority of right that it may have as against any of the said contesting parties, subject to certain qualifications and limitations as more fully appear in such stipulations. Contestee has also filed a waiver and relinquishment of rights as against other claimants. Said stipulations and waivers are as follows:

"WHEREAS, sundry contests and conflicting claims are now pending in the above entitled proceedings between Deschutes Falls Power Company and Columbia-Deschutes Power Company, each asserting rights to the flow of said stream at locations on the lower portion thereof, who are hereafter designated as the lower claimants, on the one part, and North Canal Company, Walker Basin Irrigation Company, Crook County Improvement District No. 1, Central Oregon Irrigation District, Deschutes Reclamation & Irrigation Company, Odin Falls Land Company, Suttles Lake Irrigation District, Powell Butte Irrigation District, Jefferson Water Conservancy District, South Unit Improvement District, West Side Reclamation District, Deschutes County Municipal Improvement District, and Ochoco Irrigation District, each asserting rights to portions of the flow of said stream or some of its tributaries at points of diversion above the mouth of Crooked River, hereinafter designated as the upper claimants, on the other part; and the said parties desiring to adjust and settle amicably their said several conflicting claims and contests:

"NOW, THEREFORE, THIS WITNESSETH, That it is stipulated and agreed by and between said lower claimants on the one part, each stipulating in respect to each several contest or conflicting claim in which it respectively is involved as against any of the upper claimants, and the said upper claimants, each likewise stipulating in respect to each several contest or conflicting claim in which it respectively is involved as against either of the lower claimants as follows, to-wit:

"1. The lower claimants waive and relinquish as between themselves respectively, and the respective upper claimants, all claims made herein by the lower claimants to the extent that the same are in conflict with the claims made by the upper claimants to the use of the natural flow (apart from storage) of the said Deschutes River or any of its tributaries; and the said lower claimants hereby consent that the said respective

claims of the upper claimants as filed herein to the use of the natural flow of the said stream may be allowed.

- "2. The lower claimants consent that storage rights and privileges prior and superior to any rights of the lower claimants, or either of them, may be allowed, awarded and decreed to the respective upper claimants seeking or asserting the same, but not to exceed the limits herein specified, and such upper claimants so claiming such storage rights and privileges consent, subject, however, to the reservation of Paragraph Seven hereof, that the water taken and stored shall be limited to the said amounts, to-wit:
- (a) 400,000 acre feet per annum to be stored in Benham Falls Reservoir for the Jefferson Water Conservancy District.
- (b) 90,000 acre feet per annum for the North Canal Company to be stored in Crane Prairie Reservoir.
- (c) 70,000 acre feet additional to be stored in Benham Falls Reservoir, or elsewhere, for allotments hereafter to be made by the State of Oregon to meet future needs of any of the upper claimants or any other allotees to whom the state may award the same, or who may become entitled thereto, which extra storage shall not be made, however, until same is alloted and is required for use.
- (d) The storage as claimed and prayed for in their claims herein filed by the Powell Butte Irrigation District, South Unit Improvement District, Crook County Improvement District No. 1, and West Side Reclamation District to the extent that the same may be supplied by any award or allotment out of the storage above conceded.
- (e) Not to exceed 35,000 acre feet per annum in Crescent Lake for Deschutes County Municipal Improvement District, together with the right to store and use the waters of Tumalo Creek and Crater Creek as claimed by said district.
- (f) Not to exceed 17,500 acre feet per annum in Blue Lake and Suttles Lake by the Suttles Lake Irrigation District.
- (g) The right to store and use the waters of Ochoco Creek and its tributaries as claimed by the Ochoco Irrigation District.
- "3. In consideration of the concessions above made by the lower claimants, the upper claimants consent that the claim of the Deschutes Falls Power Company under the alleged appropriations of its predecessors in title, may be allowed, subject to the prior rights to the natural flow of the upper river and to storage rights in favor of the upper claimants as the same may be decreed in these proceedings pursuant to the above stipulations.
- "4. No stipulation is made herein, pro or con, by the upper claimants respecting the allowance of the claim of the Columbia Deschutes Power Company, save that their contests shall be dismissed as hereinafter provided.
- Power Company and of the Columbia Deschutes Power Company are allowed herein by the court or not, the stipulations herein made shall be deemed a limitation by contract between the parties hereto and their successors in interest of the amount of water to be stored and used through storage on said upper river; and said limitations above fixed shall apply between each of the lower claimants and their successors in interest and the upper claimants and their successors, not only in respect to such rights as may be awarded to the lower claimants under their claims now involved in these proceedings, but also in respect to any future or other appropriations which either of them, or its successor owning the same power sites or either of them, may make or acquire for the purpose of using the water of the lower river for power purposes.
- "6. All pending contests brought by either of the lower claimants against any of the upper claimants and all pending contests brought by any of the upper claimants against either of the lower claimants shall be forthwith dismissed.
- "7. This stipulation shall not affect any contest or conflicting claims pending between the several upper claimants as among themselves.

"IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said parties have caused this stipulation to be signed by their respective attorneys or agents thereto duly authorized, this 15th day of April, 1925.

DESCHUTES FALLS POWER COMPANY By Veazie & Veazie.

COLUMBIA DESCHUTES POWER COMPANY By Veazie & Veazie.

NORTH CANAL COMPANY
By R. W. Hagood, President.

WALKER BASIN IRRIGATION COMPANY By B. S. Huntington.

CROOK COUNTY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT #1 By N. G. Wallace, Attorney.

CENTRAL OREGON IRRIGATION DISTRICT By H. H. DeArmond

DESCRIPTES RECLAMATION & IRRIGATION CO. By C. T. Benson, Attorney.

ODIN FALLS LAND COMPANY By H. C. Shaw.

SUTTLES LAKE IRRIGATION DISTRICT By N. G. Wallace, Attorney.

POWELL BUTTE IRRIGATION DISTRICT By N. G. Wallace, Attorney.

JEFFERSON WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT By N. G. Wallace, Attorney. John K. Kollock, Attorney.

SOUTH UNIT IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT By N. G. Wallace, Attorney.

WEST SIDE RECLAMATION DISTRICT By Kollock & White, Attorneys.

DESCHUTES COUNTY MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT DIST. By N. G. Wallace, Attorney

OCHOCO IRRIGATION DISTRICT By N. G. Wallace, Attorney.

. WAPINITIA IRRIGATION COMPANY,

Contestant,

vs.

COLUMBIA-DESCHUTES POWER COMPANY, Contestee.

and

STIPULATION

WAPINITIA IRRIGATION COMPANY,

Contestant,

vs.

DESCHUTES FALLS POWER COMPANY,
Contestee.

"It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the above named Contestant and the above named Contestees, that for the purpose of determining the said contests and the relative dates of priority of the water rights of the said Contestant and the said Contestees, the facts as set forth and alleged in the respective proofs of claims filed herein by the Contestant and the Contestees shall be deemed to be established; but conclusions and matters of law therein alleged are not admitted; and the respective rights of the parties shall be determined on the basis of the facts as thus stipulated.

"Dated this 27th day of October, 1924.

WAPINITIA IRRIGATION COMPANY by Harry G. Hay

Its Attorney.

COLUMBIA-DESCHUTES POWER COMPANY by Veazie & Veazie

Its Attorneys.

DESCHUTES FALLS POWER COMPANY by Veazie & Veazie

Its Attorneys.

WAMIC WATER DITCH COMPANY, W. J. KNOX,

TYGH VALLEY HIGH LINE DITCH COMPANY,

F. M. DRIVER AND LOST & BOULDER DITCH

COMPANY,

Contestants,

vs.

STIPULATION

COLUMBIA DESCHUTES POWER COMPANY,

Contestee.

"IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the Contestants and the Contestee as follows:

I.

"That the rights of the contestants W. J. Knox and F. M. Driver and the Wamic Water Ditch Company, and those of the Lost & Boulder Ditch Company under its 1901 and 1907 rights, are superior to those of the contestee.

II.

"That the rights of the Tygh Valley High Line Ditch Company under its Engineer's permits and the rights of the Lost & Boulder Ditch Company under its inchoate right of 1911 and their priorities thereunder shall be such as may be awarded and adjudged to them when they make final proof.

III.

"That contestants shall not be deemed to have waived any rights to which they have already been entitled in the White River adjudication by entering into this Stipulation.

"WHEREFORE, the contestants and contestee agree that an order may be entered dismissing the above entitled contest, and that the contestee's claims may be allowed as set forth in its Statement and Proof of Claim filed herein, and contestee agrees that the State Engineer may enter in the above entitled proceedings such order as may be necessary to protect the contestants in accordance with the stipulation herein contained.

"Dated this 15th day of October, 1924.

WAMIC WATER DITCH COMPANY

by FrancisV.Galloway, Attorney.

W. J. KNOX

by FrancisWGalloway, Attorney.
TYGH VALLEY HIGH LINE DITCH COMPANY

by Francis Walloway, Attorney.

F. M. DRIVER

by Francis V. Galloway, Attorney.

LOST & BOULDER DITCH COMPANY

by Francis V. Galloway, Attorney.

Contestants.

COLUMBIA DESCHUTES POWER COMPANY by Veazie & Veazie, Attorneys.

Contestee.

* * *

"It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between Anne M. Lang and Elizabeth L. Lang, as executrixes and devisees named in the will of Malcolm A. Moody, deceased, duly substituted in the stead of the said Malcolm A. Moody in this proceeding, on the one part, and the Columbia Deschutes Power Company, on the other part, as follows:

"1. That the fee simple ownership of all the lands and real properties of the said Malcolm A. Moody riparian to the Deschutes River-, mentioned or listed in any of his several claims to the waters of the Deschutes River or in the proofs or evidence submitted in connection therewith, together with such rights as are appurtenant to any of said lands to use water for irrigation, has passed to and is now vested in the Columbia Deschutes Power Company; and that the findings and decree herein may be made to recognize and show such transfer of ownership and privileges.

"2. That the Columbia Deschutes Power Company may file as evidence herein, at any time before the final decree, the deeds of conveyance, showing said transfers.

"3. That the contest filed by the said Malcolm A. Moody against the claim of the Columbia Deschutes Power Company to the use of the waters of the Deschutes River for power purposes be and the same is hereby withdrawn, and that an order of the court may now be made dismissing the same.

"Dated at Bend, Oregon, this 8th day of March, 1927.

ANNE M. LANG AND ELIZABETH L. LANG,

by Percy A. Cupper
Their Attorney

COLUMBIA DESCHUTES POWER COMPANY.

by Veazie & Veazie

Its Attorneys.

* * *

"Comes now Deschutes Falls Power Company and Columbia-Deschutes Power Company, claimants herein to the use of the waters of the Deschutes River for power purposes, and show to the Court as follows:

"l. These claimants have dismissed all contests by them brought against any other claimants to the use of the waters of said stream or any of its tributaries; and the dismissal of said contests is hereby confirmed.

"2. Said Deschutes Falls Power Company and Columbia-Deschutes Power Company, and each of them, do hereby renounce and waive any and all claims of priority and/or other adverse rights against any and all persons who have filed claims in this proceeding or in the adjudication proceedings in respect to White River, Crooked River or Trout Creek, or any of their tributaries, to the use of water for irrigation purposes; saving to these claimants, however, and each of them, such respective rights and advantages as they are entitled to under the several stipulations on file herein as between these claimants, or either of them, and other individual claimants with whom they have entered into stipulations; and saving to the Columbia-Deschutes Power Company the right to the use of the water for irrigation appertaining to the lands purchased by the said Columbia-Deschutes Power Company from the devisees of Malcolm A. Moody, with the priority date awarded therefor; and saving to the Deschutes Falls Power Company, its right to the diversion of water from a spring tributary to the Deschutes River for domestic use, in accordance with the findings of the State Engineer herein.

"3. Saving to these claimants, Deschutes Falls Power Company and Columbia-Deschutes Power Company the benefit of provisions contained in the stipulations which are on file herein, and which are set forth at pages 56 and 57 of the State Engineer's findings herein.

"Said Deschutes Falls Power Company and Columbia-Deschutes Power Company, and each of them, further waive and relinquish any and all adverse claim of priority and/or of adverse right as against any future appropriators diverting or desiring to divert water from the Deschutes River for irrigation purposes above the mouth of Crooked River, or from any of the tributaries of the said Deschutes River flowing into said river above the mouth of Crooked River.

"Dated at Bend, Oregon, this 9th day of March, 1927.

DESCHUTES FALLS POWER COMPANY, by Veazie & Veazie Its Attorneys.

COLUMBIA-DESCHUTES POWER COMPANY, by Vezzie & Vezzie

Its Attorneys.

Contestee bases its claim for 8000 second feet of water purely on ownership of lands riparian to the stream. It appears that Contestee owns lands for a distance of about 10 miles along the stream in Townships 2 N. R. 15 E., 1 N. R. 15 E., 1 N. R. 16 E. and 1 S. R. 16 E. These lands now form a continuous strip along the stream, with the acquired Moody lands. The Deschutes River has a fall of about 140 feet in this distance and Contestee proposes to develop power for commercial purposes by a dam erected at the lowest part of its properties. Two other proposed dam sites are located in the immediate vicinity of that of Contestee, one of them being immediately below and the other immediately above that proposed to be used by Contestee. The lands on which these other sites are located were owned by Contestant, Malcolm: A. Moody, at the time claims were first filed, in this proceeding, but since the matter has been submitted to this Court, all of such lands have been sold to Contestee, and thus any conflicting interests between the two claimants have been eliminated. The Moody claims have already been referred to in Paragraph 44 hereof.

No construction work has been done or use made of the water by Contestee Columbia-Deschutes Power Company. It appears that Contestee makes no claim under the doctrine of prior appropriation but claims simply as a riparian owner. The only question that arises then for this Court to determine is: Has Contestee a vested right to the use of 8000 second feet of the waters of Deschutes River purely as a riparian owner? It certainly has not under the Code, because of various enactments relative to such claims. Paragraph 2 of Section 5717, Oregon Laws, provides:

"Actual application of water to beneficial use prior to the passage of this act by or under authority of any riparian proprietor, or by or under authority of his or its predecessors in interest, shall be deemed to create in such riparian proprietor a vested right to the extent of the actual application to beneficial use."

If the water was put to a beneficial use by a riparian owner prior to the Code enactment, to the extent it was so used, a right is recognized. Contestee does not claim that a use was made as described in the statute enactment. It indeed claims no right in that way.

At the present time at least, if not at all times, riparian rights do not exist, or have they been recognized, in this state, at least not to the extent claimed by Contestee. The common law doctrine of riparian rights has been materially modified, if not repudiated, by our court of last resort when called upon to apply that doctrine as Contestee would have it applied to its claim.

The common law doctrine, as applied to the use of water in this state under claim of riparian ownership, as to the effect of such doctrine both before and after the enactment of the law of 1909, has been fully and exhaustively discussed in Re Hood River, 114 Or. 112. It will be appropriate here to quote from that opinion:

"A riparian right is not and cannot be declared in advance to be for a definite quantity of water, and a power company is not entitled to a decree to that effect.

"A power company has no right to a continuous flow of water as riparian owner, where its land belonged to the state at time of passage of Section 5715, 0. L., declaring all waters within the state to belong to the public, but is only entitled to water appropriated under statutes.

"Sections 5715-5717, defining vested rights of riparian owners, and permitting appropriation, is not invalid as impairing vested rights or taking property without due process.

"Common law does not consist of fixed rules, but is best product of human reason applied to premises of ordinary and extraordinary conditions of life, as from time to time they are brought before courts.

"It is one of the established principles of common law that precedents must yield to reason of different or modified conditions.

"Common law rule as to continuous flow of a stream, or riparian doctrine, may be changed by statute, except as such change may affect some vested right."

This case fully and effectively disposes of Contestee's claim founded upon the doctrine of riparian ownership. The principles enunciated in the majority opinion of that case clearly indicate that the common law doctrine of riparian ownership does not obtain in this State. This Court ought to follow the law therein promulgated, unless better or more cogent reasons be urged that were not considered by the appellate court in that case, that would impel this Court in this case, under the facts, to declare a contrary ruling. No reasons and no facts have been advanced to warrant a departure by this Court from the major opinion in the case just cited.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the findings of the State Engineer denying claimant's claim for water purely as a riparian owner be and the same are hereby affirmed.

46.

Contest #38.

- 3. North Canal Company
- 39. Jefferson Water Conservancy District
- 59. West Side Reclamation District
- 74. Odin Falls Land Company
- 94. Wamic Water Ditch Company, W. J. Knox, Tygh Valley High Line Ditch Company, F. M. Driver and Lost & Boulder Ditch Co.
- 124. Crook County Improvement District No. 1.
- 125. Ochoco Irrigation District
- 126. Suttles Lake Irrigation District
- 128. Powell Butte Irrigation District
- 144. South Unit Improvement District

Contestants,

vs.

Deschutes Falls Power Company,

Contestee.

Contestee Deschutes Falls Power Company is a Nevada Corporation, authorized to do business in Oregon, and has filed a claim for a right to the use of 5,000 second feet of the waters of Deschutes River for the development of power at what is known as the Sherars Bridge power site, about 2 miles below the mouth of White River.

The contests filed by all of the above named Contestants have been disposed of by stipulation and by waivers filed by Contestee which are set forth in full in Paragraph 45 hereof, excepting one, which is as follows:

WAMIC WATER DITCH COMPANY, W. J. KNOX, TYGH VALLEY HIGH LINE DITCH COMPANY, F. M. DRIVER, AND LOST & BOULDER DITCH COMPANY,

Contestants,

ν.

STIPULATION

DESCHUTES FALLS POWER COMPANY, Contestee.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the contestants and the contestee

I.

That the rights of the contestants W. J. Knox and F. M. Driver and the Wamic Water Ditch Company, and those of the Lost & Boulder Ditch Company under its 1901 and 1907 rights, are superior to those of the contestee.

That the rights of the Tygh Valley High Line Ditch Company under its Engineer's Permits and the rights of Lost & Boulder Ditch Company under its inchoate right of 1911 and their priorities thereunder shall be such as may be awarded and adjudged to them when they make final proof.

That contestants shall not be deemed to have waived any rights to which they have already been entitled in the White River adjudication by entering into this Stipulation.

WHEREFORE, the contestants and contestee agree that an order may be entered dismissing the above entitled contest, and that the contestee's claims may be allowed as set forth in its Statement and Proof of Claim filed herein, and contestee agrees that the State Engineer may enter in the above entitled proceedings such order as may be necessary to protect the contestants in accordance with the Stipulation herein contained.

Dated this 15th day of October, 1924.

WAMIC WATER DITCH COMPANY

By Francis V. Galloway, Attorney. W. J. KNOX

By Francis V. Galloway, Attorney. TYGH VALLEY HIGH LINE DITCH COMPANY

By Francis V. Galloway, Attorney. F. M. DRIVER

By Francis V. Galloway, Attorney. LOST & BOULDER DITCH COMPANY

By Francis V. Galloway, Attorney.

Contestants.

DESCHUTES FALLS POWER COMPANY By Veazie & Veazie, Attorneys.

Contestee.

DESCHUTES RIVER -75-

The priority claimed by Contestee is based upon certain notices of appropriation filed by the Interior Development Company, a corporation, to the rights of which Contestee has succeeded. The earliest of such notices was posted January 8, 1906 and recorded January 10, 1906, subsequently an amended notice having been posted and recorded as set forth in the statement attached to Contestee's claim filed herein, the last being a notice posted on the 7th day of December, 1908, and recorded on the 9th day of December, 1908, as set forth in Paragraph XII of said claim, a copy thereof being attached thereto, marked "Exhibit C."

It appears that within six months from the date of posting of the first of said notices, the Interior Development Company commenced the actual construction of its proposed works and prosecuted its construction work without intermission up to July, 1914, except as the same has been interrupted by unavoidable casualties. Said claimant, Deschutes Falls Power Company, and its predecessors, have shown convincing evidence of their good faith and of their intention to construct a power plant and to put the water sought to be appropriated to beneficial use by the large amounts of money they have expended in the acquisition of lands necessary for the construction of the project and in engineering expense looking to the construction of the project and in litigation which has been found necessary to obtain, establish and protect the necessary rights in connection therewith, as well as by the expenditures made in actual construction work.

It therefore appears that the proposed appropriation initiated by the Interior Development Company by its notice posted January 8, 1906, still remains valid and effective. And it is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Contestee Deschutes Falls Power Company, as successor in interest to the Interior Development Company, shall have the right, subject to the conditions and qualifications hereinafter stated, to proceed with and complete the appropriation up to the extent of 5,000 second feet of the waters of Deschutes River to be diverted and used in the production of hydro-electric power and returned again to the river, subject only to such diminution as may be necessarily incidental thereto, which said right of appropriation is subject to the following qualifications and conditions:

- 1. To the terms and conditions of the stipulation on file herein, copy of which is set forth in Paragraph 45 hereof, entered into between the said Deschutes Falls Power Company and the Columbia Deschutes Power Company on the one part, and the North Canal Company and other claimants on the other part, which stipulation is recognized and made binding between the parties thereto.
- 2. To the terms of the stipulation entered into as of the 15th day of October, 1924, between Wamic Water Ditch Company, W. J. Knox, Tygh Valley High Line Ditch Company, F. M. Driver and Lost & Boulder Ditch Company, Contestants, against Des-

chutes Falls Power Company, on file herein, to-wit: That the rights of Contestants W. J. Knox and F. M. Driver and the Wamic Water Ditch Company, and those of the Lost & Boulder Ditch Company, under its 1901 and 1907 rights, are superior to that of the Deschutes Falls Power Company; that the rights of the Tygh Valley High Line Ditch Company under its Engineer's permits, and the rights of Lost & Boulder Ditch Company under its inchoate right of 1911 and their priorities thereto, are such as may be awarded and adjudged to them when they make final proof; and that said Contestants shall not be deemed to have waived any rights to which they have already been entitled in the White River adjudication by entering into said stipulation.

- 3. To the terms of the stipulation dated the 27th day of October, 1924, entered into between the Wapinitia Irrigation Company and the Deschutes Falls Power Company, by which it is provided that as between the said two parties, for the purpose of determining the relative date of priority of their respective water rights, the facts as alleged and set forth in their respective proofs of claim herein shall be deemed to be established.
- 4. To the terms and conditions of the waiver herein filed by Deschutes Falls Power Company, whereby said Company renounces and waives any and all claims of priority and/or other adverse rights against any and all persons who have filed claims in this proceeding or in the adjudication proceedings in respect to White River, Crooked River or Trout Creek, or any of their tributaries, to the use of water for irrigation purposes; saving, however, to the said Deschutes Falls Power Company the rights and advantages to which it is entitled under the stipulations aforesaid as between it and other individual claimants with whom it has entered into said stipulations, and the right to the diversion of water from a spring tributary to the Deschutes River for domestic use at Sherars Bridge, Oregon, in accordance with the findings of the State Engineer herein.
- 5. Subject also to the further terms and conditions of said waiver herein filed by the Deschutes Falls Power Company whereby, saving the benefit of the provisions contained in the stipulation which is on file herein, and which is set forth in Paragraph 45 hereof, said Deschutes Falls Power Company has further waived and relinquished any and all claim of priority and/or of adverse right as against any future appropriators diverting or desiring to divert water from the Deschutes River for irrigation purposes above the mouth of Crooked River, or from any of the tributaries of said Deschutes River flowing into said river above the mouth of Crooked River.
- 6. Subject also to the condition that the said Deschutes Falls Power Company, within ninety days after the rendition of this decree, provided no appeal affecting same has been taken, or if such appeal is taken, then within thirty days after entry of final decree in its favor, shall make its returns and pay all power

license fees due from it under Section 5803, Oregon Laws, for the time it has held and slaimed said water power rights herein and adjudged to it, and covering the years 1921 to 1927, inclusive, with twenty-five per cent penalties, and any later years for which same may meantime have accrued.

And provided further that the said Deschutes Falls Power Company, within one year from the date when the decree in its favor herein becomes final as above
provided, shall apply to the Federal Power Commission for such licenses or permit from
said Federal Power Commission as it may be necessary for said Company to obtain in
order to construct said project; and that the time of beginning of construction of the
project and of the completion thereof shall be such as may be fixed by said Federal
Power Commission by its permits and its orders made in connection therewith; but in
case it shall turn out that a federal power permit is not necessary to said project,
and such application therefor is not made within one year as above provided, then
said Deschutes Falls Power Company, its successors or assigns, shall apply to the
Court within said one year period to fix the time for beginning and completion of
construction of said project.

47.

Contest #39.

103. Columbia-Deschutes Power Company,

Contestant,

vs.

Wapinitia Irrigation Company,

Contestee.

Contestee Wapinitia Irrigation Company filed a petition to intervene in this proceeding (together with its claim) on February 23, 1924, after the time fixed for the filing of claims had expired, but as this was prior to the opening of such claims to public inspection, the Company's claim was accepted. At the hearing of contests no testimony was offered by either party to the above contest, it being stipulated that the rights of said parties should be determined upon the facts as set forth in their respective claims. Contestee asserts a right to the waters of Beaver Creek, a tributary of Warmsprings River, and of Bear, Cedar and Beaver Butte Creeks, which are tributary to Beaver Creek. The priority claimed is based upon notices of appropriation posted by Clear Lake Irrigation & Lumber Company November 25, 1907, and filed for record November 29, 1907. No diversion or use of the water has yet been made under these filings. It is claimed, however, that this water is a reserve supply to be used to supplement the water supply obtained from White River and tributaries for the irrigation of lands under the system of said Company now constructed and in use. It is contemplated that as the project increases in area the

Company will be unable to supply the demand from its white kiver appropriation, at which time ditches will be constructed to carry the waters of Beaver Creek and tributaries over the divide into the present system. It appears that the use of the waters of said streams was a part of the general scheme for the reclamation of the lands under said project, and that the work done on said project should inure to the benefit of Contestee's rights under said filings. Such rights are inchoate, but if completed within a reasonable time will relate back for priority to the date of posting said notices, November 25, 1907. The water rights on White River and its tributaries have been determined by decree of the Circuit Court for Wasco County dated November 30, 1923, the Wapinitia Irrigation Company having been allowed therein an inchoate right to be completed before November 30, 1928. Said Company is therefore allowed until November 30, 1928, in which to apply the waters of Beaver Creek and tributaries to beneficial use, or such further time as may be allowed by the State Engineer for good cause shown, as provided by Paragraph 65 hereof. The right shall be limited to the quantity of water applied to beneficial use within said time.

48.

Columbia Valley Power Company

The above Company filed a claim in this proceeding for rights to the use of 3500 second feet of the waters of Deschutes River for the development of power at two proposed power sites, known as the Pelton and Metolius sites, immediately below the mouth of Crooked River. A stipulation was filed between the Power Company and Jefferson Water Conservancy District. The Power Company's claim was contested by Odin Falls Land Company (Contest No. 75), which was also settled by stipulation. The claim of the Power Company is based upon notices posted at the respective dam sites early in 1908. The construction of the project necessitated the use of Government lands, and in 1923 the Company applied to the Federal Power Commission and was granted a preliminary permit for such use. On September 14, 1923, an order was entered by the State Engineer under the provisions of Subdivision 6 of Section 5717 Oregon Laws, fixing the time within which the water to be appropriated should be applied to beneficial use, and providing that such time should be coincident with the time fixed by the Power Commission in its final license, if and when issued to the Company. Surveys, investigations and other preliminary work were completed to the satisfaction of the Commission, and a license was issued to the Company by the Commission on June 25, 1924, under which the Company is given until June 15, 1929, to complete construction at the Pelton site, and until June 15, 1934, to complete construction at the Metolius site. The Power Company has waived all claim of priority as against appropriators above using water for irrigation, and as its claim was not contested, the rights will be allowed as

pho at a

claimed. The Company is hereby allowed until June 15, 1934, or such further time as may be given by the State Engineer for good cause shown, in which to completely apply the water to beneficial use under said rights, and the final rights of said Company will be limited to the quantity so applied to use within such time. In order to carry out the provisions of said order of September 14, 1923, and of the stipulations filed, it is further provided as follows: Said rights shall be subordinate and inferior to the subsequently initiated rights to the use of water and withdrawals by the State for the irrigation of lands within the Deschutes River watershed and storage and domestic purposes incident thereto, provided that the diversion from the Deschutes River of such water shall be at or above Odin Falls, and that not to exceed 150,000 acres of land, including all lands included within the present boundaries of the Jefferson Water Conservancy District, to be irrigated from such diversions may be north of Crooked River and Metolius River, and provided further, that not to exceed 75,000 acres additional including the lands of the Odin Falls Land Company for which water rights are allowed in these proceedings, may be irrigated from tributaries joining the Deschutes River between Odin Falls and the location of the most southerly of the proposed power plants of the Columbia Valley Power Company near the mouth of the Metolius River or from the Deschutes River itself between these points.

49.

Eastern Irrigation, Power & Lumber Company

A petition to intervene in this proceeding was filed by the Eastern Irrigation, Power & Lumber Company on November 17, 1925, together with a claim for rights to water from White River and Clear Creek, a tributary of White River, and from Beaver Creek and other tributaries of Warmsprings River. Said petition alleges that said claimant was not served with notice, and had no knowledge of the proceeding until July, 1925.

The rights of the various users of the waters of White River and its tributaries, as between themselves, have been determined by decree of the Circuit Court for Wasco County dated November 30, 1923, and the Court is without authority to consider the petition of said Company insofar as it relates to water rights on White River and its tributaries.

After due consideration of said petition with respect to the claim for rights from other tributaries of Deschutes River, it is found that such rights as might have been initiated, through notices of appropriation or otherwise, by said Company or a predecessor thereof, have not been prosecuted with reasonable diligence, or at all, and said rights have been lost by abandonment. The petition is therefore denied.

Pringle Falls Electric Power & Water Company

Transfer Te

This Company filed a claim asserting a right to 1080 second feet of the waters of West Fork of Deschutes River for the development of power near Pringle Falls. The priority of the right is based upon a notice posted December 15, 1906. This water right was involved in the case of Pringle Falls Electric Power & Water Company vs. Patterson, et al, decided by the Circuit Court for Crook County May 31, 1912, and affirmed (substantially) by the Supreme Court July 1, 1913 (65 Or. 474). The water under this right has not been applied to beneficial use, and in view of the length of time which has elapsed since its initiation, the diligence and good faith of the appropriator might be questioned. However, as there is apparently no conflict with other rights (except the North Canal Company's storage right at Crane Prairie), and no contests were filed against it, the claim will be allowed. The Company is hereby allowed until October 1, 1933, or such further time as may be allowed by the State Engineer for good cause shown, as provided by Paragraph 65 hereof, in which to apply the water to beneficial use, and the right as so perfected shall be limited to the quantity actually beneficially used within said time.

The rights of this Company and North Canal Company have been settled by agreement as follows:

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into this ninth day of October, 1923, by and between the NORTH CANAL COMPANY, an Oregon Corporation, party of the first part, and PRINGLE FALLS ELECTRIC POWER & WATER COMPANY, an Oregon Corporation, the party of the second part, WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the party of the first part is the owner of certain storage rights at Crane Prairie, situated on the West Fork of the Deschutes River, in the State of Oregon, and for the purpose of providing a reservoir for the storage of water for irrigation, has constructed a dam on said river at a point in Sections 16 and 17, Township 21 South, Range 8 East of the Willamette Meridian; and

WHEREAS, the party of the second part is the owner of a power site at Pringle Falls, situated on said stream below said Crane Prairie reservoir, together with certain water rights in said stream, and proposes to construct a power plant for the purpose of developing and utilizing water power; and

WHEREAS, it appears that the rights of the party of the second part are superior to those of the party of the first part and the storage of water in Crane Prairie reservoir by the party of the first part may affect the normal flow of the stream so as to impair the rights of the said party of the second part; and

WHEREAS, the parties hereto are desirous of making a satisfactory adjustment of said conflict of rights and thus make possible a greater use of the waters of said stream for both power and irrigation development:

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the provisions and conditions herein contained, it is mutually agreed as follows:

First. That the party of the second part has a superior right to the use of the normal low water flow of said West Fork of the Deschutes River, which for the purposes of this agreement is fixed at 650 second feet.

Second. For the purpose of permiting the storage of water in the Crane Prairie reservoir for irrigation purposes, the party of the second part hereby waives any and all right to the use of the flow of said stream over and above the said 650 second feet and concedes to the party of the first part and its successors and assigns the right to impound in said Crane Prairie reservoir such flow of the said stream as there may be over and above the said 650 second feet.



IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each of the parties hereto have, by a resolution of its Board of Directors, authorized this agreement to be subscribed in its corporate name by its president and its corporate seal affixed in attestation by its secretary, in duplicate, the day and year first hereinabove written.

(Corporate seal of North Canal Company)

Attest: Fern Hobbs, Secretary.

(Corporate seal of P.F.E.P. & W. Company)

Attest: Fern Hobbs, Secretary.

NORTH CANAL COMPANY by Oswald West, President.

PRINGLE FALLS ELECTRIC POWER & WATER COMPANY

by A. J. Derby, President.

In the distribution of water on Deschutes River and its tributaries, the provisions of the above agreement shall be duly observed by the Water Master.

51.

Individual claims under Tumalo District

A number of individuals claiming water rights under the irrigation system of Deschutes County Municipal Improvement District (formerly Tumalo District) have filed individual claims herein, for rights to the water stored in Crescent Lake by the District, and to the waters of Tumalo and Crater Creeks. The two latter streams comprise the original supply for the project, and the water rights of Tumalo Creek and its tributaries have been determined in a separate proceeding. The rights of these individual claimants are a part of the appropriation of water made by the District or its predecessors in interest, which is covered by Paragraph 33 hereof.

52.

H. P. Hoey Estate, Intervention Petition

That on the 29th day of March, 1926, there was filed in the office of the State Engineer a petition in intervention by J. H. Carnahan, as ancillary administrator of the estate of Henry P. Hoey, deceased, said petition asserting rights to the water of Big Marsh Creek, a tributary of Crescent Creek, below Crescent Lake, for the irrigation of 140 acres of land in Section 20, Township 24 South, Range 7 East, W.M., with priority of 1905, and alleging that neither the petitioner nor his predecessor, Hemry P. Hoey, had notice or knowledge of this proceeding. With the petition was filed a claim in regular form, together with the statutory fees. This petition of intervention was accepted and filed in the Engineer's office, subject to the right of any parties in this proceeding to file exceptions or contests against it after the proceedings have come before the Circuit Court.

Later, and on the 7th day of March, 1927, said J. H. Carnahan, as administrator of the estate of said Henry P. Hoey, deceased, after leave of the Court first had and obtained, filed a motion herein requesting that he be permitted to file an amended proof of claim, and that it be attached to and made a part of the proof of

claim filed in his petition for intervention. On the said 7th day of March, 1927, an order was made in open court, all parties to the proceeding being present. This Court, among other things, made an order that said amended proof of claim be filed, and it was further ordered and decreed that said amended application and proof of claim, together with the petition of intervention, be set down for hearing at 9:30 o'clock in the forenoon of the 8th day of March, 1927, in the court room for this Court, in Bend, Deschutes County, Oregon, and it was further ordered that at such time and place any objections or exceptions filed by any and all interested parties would be heard against the allowance to the filing of such amended application and proof of claim and petition in intervention, or against the allowance of the relief requested therein. At the same time and place there was filed herein a stipulation between the Odin Falls Land Company and said J. H. Carnahan, administrator of said estate, stipulating that the findings and determination of the State Engineer, as shown in Paragraph 52 of said findings and decree, and awarding a water right as of September 1, 1905, might be amended by giving said 140 acres a priority date as of September 1, 1898; and it was further stipulated that the affiants named in the affidavits attached to the claim would each respectively testify in open court as to the matters set forth in said affidavits.

At said time and place set for said hearing no one appeared to contest the filing of said claim in intervention, or said amended proof of claim, nor did anyone appear to contest the granting of the relief prayed for therein.

It is conclusively shown in the petition in intervention, the amended proof of claim, the affidavits attached thereto, and the evidence in this case, under the authorities applicable thereto, that the claimant is entitled to the rights set forth and claimed in this proceeding. It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the said J. H. Carnahan, as administrator of the estate of H. P. Hoey, deceased, will be allowed a right dating from September 1, 1898, for 140 acres irrigated from the waters of said Big Marsh Creek, a tributary of Crescent Lake, below Crescent Lake, for the irrigation of said lands above described.

The tabulation set forth in page 114 of the Findings and Order of Determination will accordingly be changed in accordance with the findings above made.

53.

Transfer from Arnold Company to Bend Golf Club

Petitions have been filed with the State Engineer by the Brooks-Scanlon Lumber Company and the Bend Golf Club for a transfer of water rights covered by stock certificates of the Arnold Irrigation Company, in order to provide water rights for lands to be converted into a golf course by said club. All the lands involved are

under the irrigation system of the Arnold Company, but have not been irrigated, and the water rights therefor are included in the inchoate rights of the Company as referred to in Paragraph 32 hereof. The transfer of rights as petitioned for has been approved by the Arnold Company and will apparently cause no injury to other rights. The description of lands under the inchoate rights of the Arnold Company as covered by the original claim has therefore been changed so that rights may attach to the following acreage (including rights already alloted thereto): 2 acres in SELNEL, 36 acres in SELNEL, 4 acres in NELSWL, 36 acres in NWLSEL, 14 acres in NELSWL, 36 acres in SWLWEL, 38 acres in SWLWEL, 4 acres in SELSWL, 36 acres in NWLSEL, 14 acres in NELSWL, 36 acres in SWLWEL, 38 acres in SWLSEL, and 5 acres in SELSWL, all in Section 17, T. 18 S. R. 12 E.W.M. The acreage from which rights have been transferred in order to effect such change is as follows: 16 acres in NELSWL, 2 acres in SWLWEL, 2 acres in SELWLL, Section 17, 10 acres in SELSWL, Section 21; 10 acres in SELWLL, Section 22; 10 acres in NWLWEL, 8 acres in SWLWWL, Section 27; 10 acres in NELWLL, 5 acres in SELWLL, Section 28, all in T. 13 S. R. 12 E.W.M.

54.

Claim of L. D. Wiest

The State Engineer in his findings recognized the right of this claimant to one second foot of water for the irrigation of 70 acres of land, with priority of October 31, 1900, but failed to make a provision as to the place of measurement other than the general provision that it should be measured at the point of diversion from the stream. It appears that this claimant under and by virtue of an agreement with the Pilot Butte Development Company, dated October 14, 1907, is entitled to one second foot of water to be measured at the point of intake from the Pilot Butte Canal or flume. Such right is hereby recognized, and it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the findings of the State Engineer be and the same are modified so that said L. D. Wiest shall have a perpetual water right dating from October 31, 1900, for one second foot of water from said Pilot Butte Canal, to be measured at the head of said claimant's intake and ditch as now constructed, or as may be hereafter constructed, at the point most convenient to him.

55.

Claim of Erskine Wood

This claimant filed exceptions to the findings and order of determination of the State Engineer for the reason that the same made no allowance to said claimant of any water for irrigation from the small nameless creek flowing into Metolius River, and made no allowance of any right of said claimant to water from Metolius River for irrigation of his lands on both sides thereof.

It appears that claimant Erskine Wood is the owner of an irregular

tract of land along both sides of the Metolius River, in Sections 11 and 14, in Township 12 South, Range 9 East, W. M., being Homestead Entry No. 91 in the Deschutes National Forest, survey of which is on file in the United States Surveyor's office at Portland, Oregon, and ten acres adjoining said homestead entry on south, said ten acres being described as follows, to-wit: The North Half of the North Half of the North Half of the Northwest Quarter (Nanantal Natural Natural

The rights claimed by riparian ownership, both from Metolius River and the small nameless tributary thereof, cannot be recognized, because the common law doctrine of riparian rights in this state has been so modified or repudiated that such rights as asserted by claimant may not be maintained. It is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the claims by riparian ownership be and the same are therefore denied, except that claimant is hereby allowed the use of the water surrounding said islands as described in the tabulation, for the watering of stock and for domestic purposes.

Since and prior to January 17, 1919, claimant has been and still is using water from the small nameless tributary of the Metolius River on the westerly side thereof, about one mile south of the claimant's land. Water therefrom has been conveyed to claimant's land by a ditch built by his predecessor, C. W. Allen, and has been used for stock and domestic purposes and to irrigate about 20 acres of land.

On January 17, 1919, the said claimant Erskine Wood filed with the State Engineer an application for a permit to appropriate the waters of the said nameless creek for the purpose of irrigating his said tract of land, including the 20 acres then irrigated, and the said application was allowed by the State Engineer and permit No. 3990 was issued, and the claimant has made final proof satisfactory to the State Engineer that the said 20 acres has been since said date, and is now, irrigated, and final water right certificate No. 7389 has been issued covering such right. It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that claimant shall have such right as he may be entitled to under said permit and certificate; provided, that such right shall be further limited to 3 acre feet per acre per season, measured at the headgate or intake where claimant's ditch diverts water from the stream.

Claim of B. F. Madsen

This claimant has excepted to the findings and order of determination of the State Engineer for the reason that the water right as allowed under his proof of Claim No. 81, although properly tabulated as to the priority and extent of the right, is listed under the name of Belshe & Meloy. It appears said tabulation in that respect is erroneous, and it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that same is and it is hereby corrected in the tabulation hereinafter contained. The findings of the State Engineer as to this right are in all respects affirmed. Said right shall be limited to 3 acre feet per acre per season, measured at the headgate or intake where claimant's ditch diverts water from the stream. The irrigation season and rate of diversion shall be governed by Paragraph 68 hereof.

57.

Claim of John Bruns

Claimant John Bruns has filed his petition of intervention praying for an order permitting him to intervene in this proceeding and establish his date of priority to the waters of Lake Creek, a tributary of the Metolius River, for the irrigation of 110 acres of land in Section 16, T. 13 S. R. 9 E., W.M.

Pursuant to an order made and entered by this Court permitting the claim in intervention and ordering that all rights affected be notified by registered mail, claimant did proceed to notify all such rights by registered mail and the registered receipts have been placed on file herein.

From an examination of the petition of claimant and from the affidavits on file herein, it now appears that claimant, by and through his predecessors in interest, appropriated water for the irrigation of said 110 acres of land, in the year 1888, and that such use has continued to this time, and that claimant is entitled to a water right accordingly.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that claimant shall be allowed a right to the waters of Lake Creek, a tributary of Metolius River, with date of priority of 1888, for the irrigation of 110 acres of land described as follows:

80 acres in N2NE4; 20 acres in E2SW4NE4 and 10 acres in SW4SW4NE4, all in Section 16,

T. 13 S. R. 9 E., W.M.; also sufficient water for domestic and stock purposes between irrigating seasons. The right for irrigation shall be limited to 3 acre feet per acre per season, to be measured at the intake where claimant's ditch diverts water from said Lake Creek. The irrigation season and rate of diversion shall be governed by Paragraph 68 hereof.

Claim of Henry L. Corbett

Claimant Henry L. Corbett has filed his petition of intervention praying for an order permitting him to intervene in this proceeding and establish his date of priority to the waters of Jack Creek, a tributary of the Metolius River, for the irrigation of 60 acres of land in Section 27, T. 12 S. R. 9 E., W.M.

Pursuant to an order made and entered by this Court permitting the claim in intervention and ordering that all rights affected be notified by registered mail, claimant did proceed to notify all such rights by registered mail and the registered receipts have been placed on file herein.

From an examination of the petition of claimant and from the affidavits on file herein, it appears that claimant, by and through his predecessors in interest, appropriated water for the irrigation of said 60 acres of land, in the year 1888, and that such use has continued to this time, and that claimant is entitled to a water right accordingly.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that claimant shall be allowed a right to the waters of Jack Creek, a tributary of Metolius River, with date of priority of 1888, for the irrigation of 60 acres of land described as follows: 35 acres in SW4SW4 and 25 acres in SE4SW4, Section 27, T. 12 S. R. 9 E., W.M.; also sufficient water for domestic and stock purposes between irrigating seasons. The right for irrigation shall be limited to 3 acre feet per acre per season, to be measured at the intake where claimant's ditch diverts water from said Jack Creek. The irrigation season and rate of diversion shall be governed by the provisions of Paragraph 68 hereof.

59.

Claim of Martin Hansen

Claimant, Martin Hansen, filed exceptions to the findings and order of determination of the State Engineer for the reason that the same fixed the date of priority as of 1906 instead of 1888, and further excepts to the beginning and ending of the irrigation season as determined by the State Engineer, and prays that the same be changed so as to extend from May 1st to September 15th of each year.

From an examination of the records and files herein it appears that claimant, by and through his predecessors, commenced the irrigating of 145 acres of land in the year 1888, by means of a small ditch from Lake Creek, a tributary of the Metolius River, and it further appears from the information before the Court and conditions of which the Court takes judicial knowledge, that the irrigation season for all rights on the Metolius River above Jefferson Creek should be fixed as beginning

on May 1st and ending September 15th, and that the duty of water should be 3 acre feet per acre to be measured at the head of claimant's ditch.

Claimant is therefore allowed a right with priority of 1888, for the 145 acres of land as described in the tabulation; also sufficient water for domestic and stock purposes between irrigating seasons. The right for irrigation shall be limited to 3 acre feet per acre per season, to be measured at the intake where claimant's ditch diverts water from the stream. The irrigation season and rate of diversion shall be governed by the provisions of Paragraph 68 hereof.

60.

Claim of Clarence M. Hansen

Claimant, Cla rence M. Hansen, filed exceptions to the findings and order of determination of the State Engineer for the reason that the same fixed the date of priority as of 1906 instead of 1888, and further excepts to the beginning and ending of the irrigation season as determined by the State Engineer, and prays that the same be changed so as to extend from May 1st to September 15th of each year.

From an examination of the records and files herein, it now appears that claimant, by and through his predecessors, commenced the irrigating of 38 acres of land in the year 1888, by means of a small ditch from Lake Creek, a tributary of the Metolius River, and it further appears from the information before the Court and conditions of which the Court takes judicial knowledge, that the irrigation season for all rights on the Metolius River above Jefferson Creek should be fixed as beginning on May 1st and ending September 15th, and that the duty of water should be 3 acre feet per acre, to be measured at the head of claimant's ditch.

Claimant is therefore allowed a right with priority of 1888, for the 38 acres of land described in the tabulation; also sufficient water for domestic and stock purposes between irrigating seasons. The right for irrigation shall be limited to 3 acre feet per acre per season, to be measured at the intake where claimant's ditch diverts water from the stream. The irrigation season and rate of diversion shall be governed by the provisions of Paragraph 68 hereof.

61.

Claim of Joe Hansen

Claimant Joe Hansen filed exceptions to the findings and order of determination of the State Engineer for the reason that the same fixed the date of priority as of 1906 instead of 1888, and further excepts to the beginning and ending of the irrigation season as determined by the State Engineer, and prays that the same be changed so as to extend from May 1st to September 15th of each year.

From an examination of the records and files herein, it appears that claimant, by and through his predecessors, commenced the irrigating of 39 acres of land in the year 1888, by means of a small ditch from Lake Creek, a tributary of the Metolius River, and it further appears from the information before the Court and conditions of which the Court takes judicial knowledge, that the irrigation season for all rights on the Metolius River above Jefferson Creek should be fixed as beginning on May 1st and ending September 15th, and that the duty of water should be 3 acre feet per acre to be measured at the head of claimant's ditch.

Claimant is therefore allowed a right with priority of 1888, for the 39 acres of land described in the tabulation; also sufficient water for domestic and stock purposes between irrigating seasons. The right for irrigation shall be limited to 3 acre feet per acre per season, to be measured at the intake where claimant's ditch diverts water from the stream. The irrigation season and rate of diversion shall be governed by the provisions of Paragraph 68 hereof.

62.

Claims of James P. and Maggie M. Bates

These claimants have filed exceptions to the findings and order of determination of the State Engineer, such exceptions having to do mainly with the duty of water fixed as applying to claimant's lands, and with the failure of the State Engineer to recognize the rights of these claimants individually and not merely as a part of the general appropriation of the Central Oregon Irrigation District.

It appears that the findings and decree entered herein by this Court as to the duty of water will practically conform to the proposed provisions urged by these claimants. And after a careful consideration of the exceptions and a thorough examination of the authorities, and after a full hearing of the arguments and briefs presented, the Court is of the opinion that the water rights to be adjudicated to these claimants should not be of a different character than the adjudication of water rights to other settlers under the Central Oregon Irrigation District. The rights of these claimants shall be governed by the provisions made herein with respect to said District, and the general findings herein. The exceptions of these claimants, so far as they may be inconsistent with this decree, are denied.

63.

General Description of Deschutes Drainage Area

Deschutes River rises in the northern part of Klamath County, Oregon.

Its drainage basin lies immediately east of the Cascade Range, which the river parallels in its northerly course of nearly 200 miles to its confluence with the Columbia River.

The arid and treeless portion of Central Oregon merges on the west into the less arid basin of the Deschutes, comprising about 9000 square miles, in which the annual rainfall varies from about 10 inches along the main stream to as high as 100 inches at the crest of the Cascades, 30 miles westward. The upper portions of the drainage area, particularly to the south and west, are rough and mountainous and well forested. The agricultural lands consist largely of high table lands cut by deep canyons through which the river and its tributaries flow, and small areas of tillable land bordering the streams. The river is swift-flowing, especially in the lower portion where for a distance of over 100 miles it flows in a deep canyon. This portion of the stream is fed by large springs and important tributaries, and this fact, together with its unusual uniformity of flow makes the stream valuable for power development. Irrigation is essential for any material agricultural development in this region, although dry farming has been successful in some localities. The summer flow in the upper basin has practically all been appropriated for irrigation and other uses. Storage of winterfiow is possible in the upper portion of the basin. On the lower portion of the stream diversions for irrigation on a large scale are not feasible on account of the great elevation of the lands above the stream channels. The waters of the stream have as yet been only partially utilized, for power, but there is a minimum flow of approximately 3500 second feet available for this purpose when the entire flow of the river above is being diverted for irrigation.

64.

All adjudications on Deschutes merged into one

That before the commencement of this proceeding, separate proceedings has been conducted, whereby the water rights on the following tributaries of Deschutes River had been determined: White River, Trout Creek, Crooked River, Squae Creek, Snow Creek and Three Creek, Tumalo Creek and Paulina Lake and Creek.

That the notice of public inspection served upon parties who had filed claims in this proceeding as described in Paragraph 8 hereof, was also served by publication and by registered mail upon all parties theretofore allowed rights on such tributary streams (or their successors in interest insofar as they could be ascertained), thereby making them parties to this proceeding. That in accordance with Section 5752, Oregon Laws, the final decrees entered in said separate proceedings, as well as the claims theretofore filed in this proceeding, were held open to public inspection at the times and places specified in said notice. That certain contests were initiated by parties having water rights on such tributary streams, against claimants on the remainder of the stream system, which contests have been disposed of in this order of determination. That the parties to said former proceedings shall therefore be bound

by the order or decree entered in this proceeding, and the parties to this proceeding shall be bound by the orders or decrees entered in said former proceedings.

That in the distribution of water under the respective decrees entered in said former adjudication proceedings and under the order of determination or decree of the Court in this proceeding, the rights covered by all such orders or decrees shall be administered as one stream system, the same as if they had all been determined in one proceeding. But nothing herein contained shall be construed as modifying or amending in any way the provisions of the orders of determination or decrees entered in such former adjudication proceeding.

65.

Inchoate rights

Certain claimants herein initiated rights of appropriation as described in preceding paragraphs, but have not perfected such rights. Such parties are entitled to a reasonable time in which to completely apply the water to a beneficial use. Such rights shall be perfected within the time which has been herein fixed in each case, or within an extension of such time which shall be allowed by the State Engineer for good cause shown. Provided, however, at the time an application for extension is made to the State Engineer, the party applying for such extension shall notify all interested parties. Upon the expiration of the time allowed for the completion of such inchoate rights, surveys of the land involved shall be made by the State Engineer for the purpose of determining the extent to which such lands have been reclaimed and irrigated, and a supplemental order of determination shall be entered and filed with the Circuit Court limiting the rights of such parties to the quantity of water having been actually applied to beneficial use within the time allowed. Such supplemental order shall be reviewed by the Court under proceedings the same as had upon the State Engineer's original findings and order of determination. The extent to which such rights shall be deemed to be perfected shall be determined on the basis of the acreage which has actually been cleared and properly prepared for irrigation, and to which water has been beneficially applied in the production of crops. To the extent that such appropriations have not been completed and perfected within the time allowed, each of such claimants shall be deemed to have waived and abandoned the right to any appropriation thereunder.

66.

Fish ladders

That a number of the claimants herein have claimed rights to water for the operation of fish ladders which have been installed at the request of the Oregon State Fish & Game Commission. It would appear that none of such claimants have initiated or acquired rights for this purpose, and that they cannot justly be required by the State

to provide water out of their own appropriations, for the operation of fish ladders. All claims of this character have therefore been denied. Waters for uses such as this have been reserved by the State under the order of the State Water Board of November 26, 1921, referred to in Paragraph 30 hereof.

67.

Water wheels

That several parties have filed claims herein, alleging rights to a sufficient portion of the flow of Deschutes River to operate water wheels used in elevating the water for the irrigation of small areas of land. It appears that the quantity of water necessary for the operation of such wheels is very large in proportion to the quantity which they are capable of diverting from the stream for irrigation use. The use of water for this purpose is a wasteful use, and is contrary to public interest in the conservation of water. It is not a right, but only a privilege, to be permitted only when it does not interfere with the use of water for other purposes, in which event some other means must be devised for delivering the water to the land.

68.

Duty of water

Special provisions have been made with respect to the duty of water on practically all of the larger projects involved herein, and the Court deems it necessary to provide a special duty and irrigation season in the case of certain rights on Metolius River and also water rights on Willow Creek. The general duty fixed by the State Engineer to apply in all cases where special provisions have not been made is hereby adopted by the Court with modifications, as follows:

The rights to the use of the waters of the Deschutes River and its tributaries, as herein determined, shall entitle the owners thereof to the use of the
quantity of water which may be applied to beneficial use, and except in those cases
where special provisions are made as to the irrigation season or the quantity of water
to be diverted, such rights shall be subject to the following limitations:

The use of water for irrigation purposes shall be confined to an irrigation season consisting of the period from April 1st to November 1st of each year, during which period the water diverted at the head of any user's ditch, canal, flume or pipe line where same taps the natural stream shall not exceed the rate of 1/40 of a second foot per acre of land irrigated during the period of maximum use from May 23d to August 20th of each year, and at a rate of not to exceed 1/80 of a second foot per acre during the rest of the irrigation season; or a total during each irrigation season of 4 acre feet per acre. The basis for determining the quantity of water to which an

appropriator whose rights are involved in this proceeding may be entitled at any time shall be the acreage actually prepared for irrigation and to which water may be beneficially applied in the production of crops.

Willow Creek - A special limitation will be made as to diversions from Willow Creek and its tributaries, as the water supply on that stream has been considerably shorter than elsewhere. The ditches are all short and the transmission losses comparatively small. Practically all the claimants on Willow Creek have in their claims estimated that 2 acre feet per acre would be sufficient for the proper irrigation of the lands. Accordingly, rights on Willow Creek and its tributaries shall be limited to 2 acre feet per acre during each season, to be measured at the headgate or intake where each water user's ditch diverts from said stream or its tributaries. Such quantity may be diverted at a rate not to exceed 1/60 of a second foot per acre.

Metolius River above its junction with Jefferson Creek - All rights to the use of water on Metolius River and its tributaries, above its junction with Jefferson Creek, are relatively small and the irrigated areas lie along the streams from which they are watered. The ditches are in all cases short and the losses between the point of diversion and the place of delivery are comparatively small. The irrigation season is also shorter in this locality than elsewhere on Deschutes River and its tributaries. Therefore, the use of water for irrigation purposes from Metolius River and its tributaries above its junction with Jefferson Creek, shall be confined to an irrigation season from May 1st to September 15th of each year, during which period the water diverted shall not exceed 3 acre feet per acre of land irrigated, to be measured at the intake or headgate where each water user's ditch diverts water from the stream. Such quantity may be diverted at a rate not to exceed 1/60 of a second foot per acre.

69.

Stock and domestic use

That all parties herein allowed a right to the use of water for irrigation shall be entitled to use such water for stock and domestic purposes. It appears that the water users under some of the ditches involved herein secure water for domestic and stock purposes almost entirely through their irrigation ditches. During the irrigation season (April 1st to November 1st) such water shall be taken out of the quantity diverted primarily for irrigation purposes, and no additional amount shall be allowed for such uses during that period of the year. Outside of the irrigation season, however, water must be diverted especially for stock and domestic use. During cold weather it is customary in some localities to run this water through the ditches only about one-fifth of the time, in large heads, to fill up the cisterns

and stock ponds and get the water out of the ditches as quickly as possible to prevent freezing and avoid damage to the irrigation works. The claimants herein shall therefore be allowed a right to divert a certain quantity of water in continuous flow for stock and domestic purposes during the non-irrigation season, with the privilege of increasing the head to cope with cold weather conditions, provided, that the total quantity diverted during any such season shall not be greater than if diverted at the continuous rate of flow. That outside of the irrigation season, therefore, the rights to the use of water for stock and domestic purposes as herein confirmed, entitle the owners of such rights to divert and use such a quantity of water as is reasonably necessary for stock and domestic purposes, and to prevent the water in the ditches or channels used for such purposes from being completely frozen; provided, that the amount diverted for stock use shall not exceed one-tenth of one cubic foot per second for each one hundred head of stock, and the amount diverted for domestic use shall not exceed one-tenth of one cubic foot per second for each family; provided further, that when water for these uses is diverted periodically rather than by a steady rate of flow, the head may be proportionately increased; and provided further, that the quantity of water diverted during the non-irrigation season for stock and domestic use by the Central Oregon Irrigation District shall not exceed that which is furnished by a continuous flow of 100 second feet; that the quantity of water diverted by the Arnold Irrigation Company and the Deschutes Reclamation & Irrigation Company during the non-irrigation season shall not exceed that which is furnished by a continuous flow of 50 second feet each; and that the quantity of water diverted for stock and domestic purposes during the non-irrigation season by the North Canal Company shall not exceed that quantity furnished by a continuous flow of 75 second feet. It is further provided that at no time shall any user divert for these purposes more than three times the quantity to which he may be limited as a steady rate of flow; for instance, the C. O. I. District, being limited to a steady flow of 100 second feet, may at no time divert more than 300 second feet even when using the water only periodically. It is further provided that diversions for these purposes by the above named companies shall be under the supervision of the water master, to the end that no two of them shall divert water for such purposes at the same time.

70.

Rotation

When two or more appropriators agree between themselves as to a manner or system of rotation in the use of their water, the water master of the District shall distribute such water in accordance with such agreement. In the absence of such an agreement, the water master may arrange such system of rotation as may be best applicable

to the conditions arising from time to time, provided there is no objection made by the water users to be affected by such system of rotation; and provided further, that in case of objection by any user, the water master may proceed to carry into effect his proposed system of rotation for the benefit of the users not objecting. But in no case shall any system of rotation be carried out by a water master if in so doing he interferes with the priority rights of any appropriator not a member of such group or system of rotation.

The Central Oregon Irrigation District, under and by virtue of the contracts of its predecessor, the Central Oregon Irrigation Company, with the users, is hereby declared to have the right to enforce a system of rotation among its various individual users. And should any other carrying company or district by proper resolution adopt a rotation system for the benefit of its users, the same shall be binding upon such users, and may be enforced by such company or district.

71.

Irrigation rights appurtenant to land

That the rights to the use of water for irrigation purposes hereby confirmed are appurtenant to the lands herein described, and the rights of use of the waters of said stream and its tributaries by virtue of such rights are limited and confined to the irrigation of the lands described herein to the extent of said lands herein set forth, and the priorities of right herein confirmed confer no right of use of the waters of said stream and its tributaries on any lands other than those specified tracts to which such rights are herein set forth as appurtenant, and each and every person shall be and hereby is prohibited, restrained, and enjoined from diverting and using water from said stream on such other lands without lawful permit first obtained from the State Engineer.

72.

Diversions governed by priority and beneficial use

That except as otherwise determined herein, the order of the rights of the respective appropriators of the waters of said stream and its tributaries, and in which order they are entitled to divert and use the said water shall be and is according to the date of the relative priority of the rights as herein set forth and determined, and the first in order of time according to the date of relative priority shall be and is the first in order of right, and so on down to the date of the latest right, and those having prior rights are entitled to divert and use the waters of said stream and its tributaries when necessary for beneficial use in connection with the irrigation of their respective lands or other useful and beneficial

purposes for which they are decreed the right of use, at all times against those having subsequent rights without let or hindrance, and whenever the water is not required by the appropriator having a prior right to its use for the purpose for which said water was appropriated, he must and shall permit it to flow down the natural channel of the stream as it was wont to flow in its natural course without hindrance or diversion thereof, and those having subsequent rights are entitled to the use of such water and to divert the same to the extent of their rights of appropriation according to the order of priority of their rights; and at all times the waters shall be beneficially, economically and reasonably used without waste by those having a right to the use of such water, and no rights of appropriation are hereby confirmed to divert a greater quantity of water than such appropriator can beneficially use for the purpose to which the water is to be put.

73.

Permits issued by State Engineer since Feb. 24, 1909

That each and every appropriator having filed application for, or having been issued, a permit or permits by the State Engineer for a right to appropriate water from said Deschutes River or its tributaries, whether a claim thereunder was filed in this proceeding or not, shall have such water rights thereunder as are provided by law, and the rights of such parties shall be completed and established in the manner provided by law for the completion of such rights. That the rights of each and every appropriator holding a final water right certificate or certificates covering a right to appropriate water from said Deschutes River or its tributaries, perfected under such a permit, whether a claim thereunder was filed in this proceeding or not, shall be as defined and limited in such certificates, except where such rights have been abandoned subsequent to the issuance of the certificate.

74.

Tabulation of rights allowed herein

That except as otherwise modified herein, the rights of the various appropriators of water from Deschutes River and its tributaries (having initiated rights prior to February 24, 1909), arranged in a tabulated form, with the dates of relative priority of such appropriations, the number of acres to which such appropriations are applied and are now limited, the use or uses to which said water is applied, the name of the ditch or other means by which the water so appropriated has been diverted, the name of the stream or other source from which the water has been used, and the description of the lands upon which the water has been used, the tabulated rights of each appropriator being set opposite and following his name and post office address, are as follows, to-wit:

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Arnold Irrigation Co., Bend, Oregon. (Proof #1)		25 125	9392	Irrigation	1a verais	Deschutes River 1 Ac. Trsf	Section 28,
T-3245 Cancelled - sp. or Re	c. Vol. 35 P. 3	96 (Not	E: Th:	is right is inc 32, page 26.)		5000. Vol 7, p. 199	36 acres in NE4NW4 10 acres in NE4SE4 Section 33, 30 acres in SE4NW4 22.46 acres in NE4SW4
		Tim	e est	ended to 10/1/3 See sp. er. Val	2, 72 499.	ē.	16.68 acres in NW15W1 Section 34, T. 17 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
				to 12-1-40, to consee Sp. Writer Vol. 4, to 12-1-41 to Sp. ar. Vol. 4,			35 acres in NW SE4 40 acres in SW SE4 35 acres in SE4SE4 Section 13, T. 18 S. R. 11 E. W. M.
Time exfonded to 6.1.42		L, D, 155	- 4	Sp. cer. Vol. 4.	P.64)	1 7 TIS, T.	10 acres in NEANEA 10 acres in SEANEA 33 acres in NWASWA
	3p. 0r. V.C. 6	p.189					7 acres in SW4SW4 20 acres in SE4SW4 10 acres in NW2SE4 20 acres in SW4SE4 30 acres in SE4SE4 Section 1.
							20 acres in NE NW 1 NW 2 28 acres in SW 2 NW 2 27 acres in SE NW 2
							21 acres in NW SW 2 30 acres in SW 2SW 2 20 acres in SE SW 2 35 acres in NW 2SE 2
~· .	5/4 Ac. Trs. 4-	3p.0r.Vo	. 5, p	+15 2	S 21 891	7.1860/2 26	
							38 acres in NE SE 4 31.5 acres in NW SE 4 30.5 acres in SW SE 4 40 acres in SE 4 SE 4 Section 4,
				4 Ac. Tr. 2 R'S Tr. 2	if. Sp. Or Vol. 5 f. Sp. Cr Vol. 5 Vol. 5 Vol. 5	P. 81	20 acres in SW4SE4 35 acres in SE4SE4 Section 5, 32 acres in NE4NE4 35 acres in NW4NE4
		·					34 acres in SW1NE1 31 acres in SE1NE1 27 acres in NE1NW1 30 acres in NW1NW1 36 acres in SW1NW1
							35 acres in SE1NW1 39 acres in NE2SW1 35 acres in NW SW1 35 acres in SW1SW1 38 acres in SE2SW1
				6.85 6.0	He Trif. See S	0.0rVel.4p 38.	40 acres in NELSEL 40 acres in NWISEL 40 acres in SWISEL 40 acres in SELSEL Section 8,
							DESCHUTES RIVER -97-

Name - 3 Page - 1	Data of	Amount					
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator Arnold Irrigation Co. (continued):	Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number		Ac. Traft Spice	Vel, 6, p 501	40 acres in NE NE 4 29.5 acres in NW NE 4 40 acres in SE NE 4 31.5 acres in NW NW 1 36 acres in Lot 3 (NW 4 SW 4) 16 acres in Lot 3 (NW 4 SW 4) 16 acres in Lot 3 (NW 4 SW 4) 16 acres in Lot 4 (SW 5 SW 4) 28 acres in NE 4 SE 4 30 acres in NW 4 SE 4 36 acres in SE 4 SE 4 37 acres in SE 4 SE 4 38 acres in SW 4 SE 4 38 acres in SW 4 SE 4 39 acres in NW NW 4 28 acres in SW 4 NW 4 29 acres in SW 4 NW 4 20 acres in SW 4 NW 4 20 acres in SW 4 NW 4 21 acres in SW 4 NW 4 22 acres in NW 4 NW 4 23 acres in SW 4 NW 4 24 acres in SE 4 NW 4 25 acres in NW 4 NW 4 26 acres in SW 4 NW 4 27 acres in SW 4 NW 4 28 acres in SW 4 NW 4 29 acres in SW 4 NW 4 20 acres in NW 4 SW 4 20 acres in NW 4 SW 4 21 acres in SW 5 NW 4 22 acres in SW 5 NW 4 23 acres in SW 5 NW 4 24 acres in SE 5 NW 4 25 acres in SW 5 NW 4 26 acres in SW 5 NW 4 27 acres in SW 5 NW 4 28 acres in SW 5 NW 4 29 acres in SW 5 NW 4 20 acres in SW 5 NW 4 21 acres in SW 5 NW 4 22 acres in SW 5 NW 4 23 acres in SW 5 NW 4 24 acres in SW 5 NW 4 25 acres in SW 5 NW 4 26 acres in SW 5 NW 4 27 acres in SW 5 NW 4 28 acres in SW 5 NW 4 29 acres in SW 5 NW 4 20 acres in SW 5 NW 5 20 acres in SW 5 N
	/.5	Tris: 5p.01	No 1. 6,		S	6, p 585 _	39 acres in SW4SW4 40 acres in SE4SW4 40 acres in NE4SE4 40 acres in SE4SE4 40 acres in SE4SE4 37 acres in NW4NE4 38 acres in NE4NW4 35 acres in NW4NW4 37 acres in SE4NW4 38 acres in NE4NW4 39 acres in NE4NW4 30 acres in NE4NW4 31 acres in NE4SW4 32 acres in NE4SW4 33 acres in NW4SW4
13 Ac. Tr.	i∮ - Sp.Or.Val.	Б,р. 367 ··		, 459	. Trs.f. Sp. Or.	34cs, Truns	25 acres in SE SW = 35 acres in NW SE = 37 acres in SW SE = 37 acres in SE SE = 37

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
ARNOLD IRRIGATION CO.							
(continued):				28	de traf -sp.on.	61.5, p. 367	35 acres in NETNET
				,			35 acres in NWANE 28 acres in SWANE
						r	30 acres in SEANEA 38 acres in NEANWA
•							38 acres in NW NW 1
				5	9 11 24 11 11	5, p. 367 -	30 acres in Swanwa 36 acres in SE4NW4
	JAC. Traff - S	Sp. Or. Vol.	5, 0.47	7 28		5, p. 367	35 acres in $NE_{4}^{2}SW_{4}^{2}$
			,	20	10 10 pt 10 pt	5, p. 367 -	35 acres in NE4SW4 38 acres in NW4SW4 32 acres in SW4SW4
				7 26	41	D. 711	33 acres in SE4SW4
• .	7 - "	* * *	5, p.47	7 26	prograwpicer.	10/10/10/06/	33 acres in NWASEA
							35 acres in SWISE
							36 acres in $SE_{4}^{1}SE_{4}^{1}$ Section 16,
							19 acres in $NE_4^1NE_4^1$
							34 acres in NW4NE4 36 acres in SW4NE4
							38 acres in SE4NE4 33 acres in NE4NW4
							2 acres in $SE_4^1NW_4^1$
							4 acres in NE ₂ SW ₂
							36 acres in NWISEI
•							15 acres in SW4SE4 5 acres in SE4SE4
. 7	1 '/ 6.0	1/1/5 5	21.7		" " 5 - 5 - 6	0 1/2 2/	Section 17.
.277	40 115,7 -2 p.U	, voi. 0, p.	J 6 7	15	rsf.see sp.	. 5, p.367	7-35 acres in NEANEA 15 acres in NWANEA
				30 "		5, p. 367	15 acres in NWANEA 30 acres in SWANEA
				30 " 5ASTI	st. See Sp. Or	Vol. 4, p. 66	30 acres in SE ₄ NE ₄
							40 acres in NE SE 30 acres in NWASE 1
·							35 acres in SW4SE4
		,		30A	Trsf. See.Sp	Oc. 101.3p.31	$5-30$ acres in $SE_{4}^{1}SE_{4}^{1}$ Section 21,
							32 acres in $NE_{4}^{1}NE_{4}^{1}$
							38 acres in NW4NE4 37 acres in SW4NE4
						. 6. 5.585	38 acres in SEANEA 35 acres in NEANWA
				9 "		6, p. 404	- 40 acres in NW4NW4
				17 ··	E. Trs.f - Sp. Or	Vol. 5, p. 504	- 40 acres in NWANWA - 40 acres in SWANWA 40 acres in SEANWA
					,		40 acres in NE ₄ SW.
, ,				,		'	40 acres in NW4SW4
•							35 acres in SE4SW4
				25 AS Tr	st- seesp.Or	Vol.3p. 234	Section 22, -25 acres in $NE_{\frac{1}{4}}^{1}NE_{\frac{1}{4}}^{1}$
							40 acres in NWINE 39 acres in SWINE
							38 acres in SE4NE4
				2447	st. See Sp.Or	16/20202	40 acres in NEANW
•				2 # = 11	157. 386 Op. 07	, p. 204	40 acres in SW-NW-
							38 acres in SEANWA 32 acres in NEASWA
							40 acres in NW4SW4
							35 acres in SW\(\frac{1}{4}\)SW\(\frac{1}{4}\)
							40 acres in $NE_{4}^{1}SE_{4}^{1}$
							35 acres in NW4SE4
							38 acres in SE4SE4
							Section 23,
		,					•
				.:			DESCHUTES RIVER -99-

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Arnold Irrigation Co. (continued):		IOAc.Trs'f-	Sp.01.V	61.5, p 506 — 10	Ac. Tršý - Sp.Ot.	Val. 5, p. 508	40 acres in NEINEL 40 acres in NWINEL - 36 acres in SWINEL 40 acres in SEINEL
				3 As 25 "	Trs'f-See Sp	.Or.Vol. 3 p. 31	40 acres in NEANWA 40 acres in NWANWA 3-40 acres in SWANWA 24 acres in NEASWA 40 acres in NEASWA 40 acres in NWASWA 38 acres in SWASWA
							40 acres in SE ₄ SW ₄ 40 acres in NW ₄ SE ₄ 40 acres in SW ₄ SE ₄ 41 acres in SE ₄ SE ₄ Section 24, 33 acres in NE ₄ NE ₄ 40 acres in NW ₄ NE ₄
				1	25 ac, Trsf	• Sp Or Vol.4-ps	8 acres in SW_NE_1 17 acres in SE_NE_1 40 acres in NE_NW_1 37 acres in NW_NW_1 44-30 acres in SW_NW_1 18 acres in SE_NW_1 Section 25,
				-			38 acres in NE NE 4 30 acres in NW NE 4 5 acres in SE NE 4 10 acres in NE NW 1 7 acres in NW NW 1 Section 26, 5 acres in NE NW 4
	,	,		77			22 acres in NW4NW4 Section 27, 25 acres in NE1NE1 10 acres in NW4NE4 Section 28, T. 18 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
				40	AS Trsf. see S	p.Or.Vol 3p 16	20 acres in Lot 7(SW\(\frac{1}{2}\)Section 6, 12 acres in Lot 1(NW\(\frac{1}{2}\)NV\(\frac{1}{2}\) 24 acres in Lot 2(SW\(\frac{1}{2}\)NV\(\frac{1}{2}\) 7-40 acres in NE\(\frac{1}{2}\)SW\(\frac{1}{2}\) 30 acres in Lot 3(NW\(\frac{1}{2}\)SW\(\frac{1}{2}\) 30 acres in Lot 4(SW\(\frac{1}{2}\)SW\(\frac{1}{2}\)
				15	Ac. Tr. Sp. Or	Vol. 6, p.499	30 acres in SE4SW4 10 acres in NW SE4 30 acres in SW SE4 20 acres in SE4SE4 Section 7, 20 acres in SW4NE4 20 acres in SE4NW4 20 acres in NW SE4
				10	e k n ,	. 6, p.49 9	20 acres in NW SE4 20 acres in SE4SE2 Section 8, 10 acres in SW4SW4 10 acres in SE4SW4 -34 acres in NE4SE4 -30 acres in SW4SE4
				30 °°	91 19 10 10 21 10		30 acres in SWISEI 38 acres in SEISEI Section 9, 30 acres in NEISWI 35 acres in SWISWI 35 acres in SEISWI 30 acres in SWISEI Section 10,
							DESCHUTES RIVER -100-

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Arnold Irrigation Co. (continued):					·		20 acres in SW4SE4 Section 14,
							20 acres in NE NE 20 acres in NW 2NE 20 acres in NW 2NE 25 Section 15, 25 acres in NE 2NE 2
	,						25 acres in Swine; 40 acres in NW NW; 35 acres in SwinW;
							35 acres in NWLSWL 30 acres in SWLSWL 25 acres in NWLSEL 25 acres in SWLSEL
							Section 16, 30 acres in NE NE 2 20 acres in NW NE 2
				•			20 acres in SWINEI 30 acres in SEINEI 20 acres in SWINWI 15 acres in NEISWI
·							35 acres in NW\f3\W\f4 10 acres in SW\f4\SW\f4 35 acres in SE\f4\SW\f4
							30 acres in NW1SE1 25 acres in SW1SE1 25 acres in SE1SE1 Section 17,
				30	Ac Trif : Sp. 01	Vol. 6 p. 384	20 acres in NW1NE1 38 acres in SE1NE1 - 30 acres in NE1NW1 - 30 acres in Lot 1(NW1NW1)
				30 30 30		** ** **	- 30 acres in Lot 2(SW4NW4) - 30 acres in SE1NW4 25 acres in Lot 4(SW4SW4)
							35 acres in NE SE 1 10 acres in SE 1 SE 1 Section 18, 20 acres in Lot 1 (NW 1 NW 1)
							40 acres in Lot 3(NW4SW4) 40 acres in Lot 4(SW4SW4) Section 19, 12 acres in NW4NE4
	1						38 acres in SWINE 30 acres in SEINE 35 acres in NEINVI
							15 acres in NW NW 1 25 acres in SW NW 2 35 acres in SE NW 2 35 acres in NE SE 2 2
							36 acres in NW4SE4 38 acres in SW4SE4 36 acres in SE4SE4 Section 20,
							20 acres in SELNE 20 acres in NELSWA 30 acres in NWASEA
							Section 21, 20 acres in NE4SW4 20 acres in SW4SW4 20 acres in SE4SW4
							20 acres in NETSET 20 acres in NWTSET 20 acres in SWTSET 20 acres in SETSET
					ACTrsf-See Sp. C		Section 22,
				15.	ar Trs'f. See Sp.	Or Vol.3p. 163	- 20 acres in SE4NE4 Section 23, - 15 acres in NW4NW4
				10	95 Trsf. See Sp.	3p. 163)	- 20 acres in Swinwi 20 acres in Nwiswi Section 24,
							DESCHUTES RIVER -101-
				462			

,							
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
		,					
Arnold Irrigation Co. (continued):							20 acres in NETNET 30 acres in NWTNET 30 acres in SWTNET Section 27,
							10 acres in NE NE 1 30 acres in NWANE 2 Section 28, 25 acres in NWANE 1
							23 acres in SWINE 1 35 acres in NEINVI 42 acres in Lot 1 (NWINWI) 15 acres in SWINVI (Lot 2) 23 acres in SEINVI
							Section 30, T. 18.S. R. 13 E. W. M.
•							40 acres in NE NW 40 acres in NE SW 40 acres in NE SW 40
							Section 30, T. 18 S. R. 14 E. W. M.
131000							
`Awbrey, D. T., Eugene, Ore. (Proof #96)	Spring of 1885		60	Irrigation	Awbrey Water Wheel & Ditch	Deschutes River	10 acres in SE ₄ SW ₄ 27 acres in SW ₄ SE ₄ 23 acres in SE ₄ SE ₄ Section 17, T. 16 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
Belshe, O. L., & Meloy	1000	· 1			,		•
Geo., Moro, Ore. (Proof #82)	, 1908		9	Irrigation	Updike	North Fork Lake Creek	9 acres in SE ¹ NW ¹ / ₂ Section 10, T. 13 S. R. 9 E. W. M.
Bogue, J. F.,							
(Proof #123)	1893		110	Irrigation, domestic and stock	Served naturally	East Fork Deschutes River	20 acres in NE SE SE Section 9, 28 acres in NE NE SE SE Section 9, 28 acres in NE NE SE
							30 acres in SWINEI 15 acres in SEINWI 10 acres in NWISWI Section 10, T. 22 S. R. 10 E. W. M.
Boyd, Charles, Bend, Ore.	Aug.12,	3.25	243.5	Irrigation,	Wing dam and flume	Deschutes River	7 acres in NETNET
(Proof #107)				and stock	Sp. Un Vof.	18 ac. Can	Section 29, 33 acres in NW NW 1 35 acres in NEINW 2 Section 28,
To the state of th							20 acres in SWANEA 40 acres in NEASWA 40 acres in SEASWA 39.5 acres in NWASEA 30 acres in SWASEA
							Section 21, T. 17 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
				÷			
				463			DESCHUTES RIVER -102-

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Braun, Michael Madras, Ore. (Proof #56)	1906		5 ¹ / ₂₀	Irrigation, domestic and stock	Water wheel, ditches & flumes	Deschutes River	5.5 acres in Lot 10(NE ¹ SE ¹ Section 12, T. 10 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
Brooks-Scanlon Lumber Company, Bend, Ore. (Proof #3)	Feb. 28,	3		Steam gener- ation, domestic & sanitary purposes		Deschutes River	Used in connection with lumber manufacturing plant at Bend, Oregon.
(See special pro- visions of Paragraph 30, page 23)		15		Log washing and steam condensing			chair gr
4		50 to 200 (includi above a	4.5	Maintenance of log pond			•
Bruns, John Sisters, Ore. (See Paragraph 57, page 86)	1888		110	Irrigation, domestic and stock	Wright	Lake Creek	40 acres in NE NE 40 acres in NWANE 42 20 acres in E2SWANE 41 10 acres in SWANE 44 Section 16, T. 13 S. R. 9 E. W. M.
Bullard, Caroline F. Tumalo, Ore. (Proof #98)	1904		+do:	Irrigation, domestic and stock	Laidlaw Townsite Company	Deschutes River	Tacre, being Lots 1,2, 3,4,and 6 of Block 2, Town of Tumalo, Oregon. Section 31, T. 16 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
Central Oregon Irri- gation Company, Deschutes, Ore. (Proof #4-A)	Oct. 31, 1900	1		Municipal	North and Pilot Butte Canals & Pipe line	Deschutes River	Used in town of Deschutes.
Central Oregon Irri- gation District, Redmond, Ore. (Proof #5)	Oct. 31, 1900 Dec. 2, 1907	742 4 Balance		Irrigation	Central Oregon Canal, Pilot Butte 577	Deschutes River	45,483 acres of land covered by prior contracts, as follows: - 21 acres in NE SW 2 acres in NW 5SW 2 acres in SW 5SW 4
(NOTE: This right is inchoate; See Paragraph 39, page 50) Time extended to 10-1-10-1-10-1-10-1-10-1-10-1-10-1-10-	38 - See Sp				Canal %. Trsf Sp.Or	Vol. 5, p. 327	18 acres in SW4SW4 13 acres in SE4SW4 - 36 acres in NE4SE4 Section 33, 40 acres in NW4SW4 39 acres in SW4SW4
12-1 4 6-1-4 1-1-4 1-1-4 1-1-4 6-30 4 8-30 4	7 " 77 "	4 4 4	64 155 246 307 459 159 389		7787 - 30.0r 3	1.5,0 .927	Section 34, T. 13 S. R. 13 E. W. M. 5 acres in NW1NE1 (Lat 2) 12 acres in SE2NE2 14 acres in NE2NW2 12 acres in NE2NW2 12 acres in NW1NW2
			1				23 acres in $SE_4^1NW_4^1$

1				·				
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use	
	Relative Priority	Cubic Feet Per Second	Acres	Use	Hame of Ditch		19 acres in NW SW 19 acres in SW SW 19 acres in SE SW 24 acres in SE SW 25 acres in SE NE 25 acres in SE NE 23 acres in NE SW 28 acres in NW SW 28 acres in SE SW 217 acres in SE SW 214 acres in NE SE 25 acres in SW 25E 25 acres in SW 25E 25 acres in SW 25E 30	
				·			30 acres in SEANWA (Lot 5) 26 acres in NWASWA (Lot 6) 18 acres in SWASWA (Lot 7) 20 acres in SEASWA 25 acres in NEASEA 36 acres in NWASEA 29 acres in SWASEA 30 acres in SEASEA Section 6, 37 acres in NEANEA 13 acres in NWANEA 40 acres in SEANEA 33 acres in NWANWA Section 7, 11 acres in NEANEA 30 acres in NWANEA	
			•		1 Ha Trs. f: Sp. 6 3 " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	, , 6, p. 307	40 acres in SE4SW4 20 acres in NE4SE4 10 acres in NW4SE4 40 acres in SW4SE4 24 acres in SE4SE4 Section 8, 20 acres in NE4NE4 3-32 acres in NW4NE4	
							22 acres in SWANEA 12 acres in SEANEA 19 acres in NEANWA 5 acres in SWANWA 5 acres in SEANWA 20 acres in NEASWA 21 acres in NWASWA 22 acres in SEASWA 23 acres in SEASWA 24 acres in SEASWA 25 acres in SEASWA 26 acres in SEASEA Section 9 20 acres in NWANEA	
				•			25 acres in SWANEA 25 acres in NEANWA 30 acres in NWANWA 28 acres in SEANWA 14 acres in NEASWA 30 acres in NWASWA 26 acres in SEASWA 30 acres in SEASWA 30 acres in NEASEA 35 acres in NWASEA 25 acres in SWASEA 10 acres in SEASEA Section 10,	
				· :			DESCHUTES RIVER -104-	

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
	1110110	Ter second					T145R13 E
Central Oregon Irri- gation District (continued):				52 Ac Trist-	Sp.Or. Vol. 4, p	249	17 acres in SE4NE4 28 acres in NW4NW4 20 acres in NE4SW4
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					•		20 acres in NW4SW4 30 acres in SW4SW4
•				152 At Troit- St	e Sp. Or. Vol.	4, p 338	20 acres in SE4SW4 28 acres in SW4SE4 20 acres in SE4SE4
							Section 13.
				10 As Trsf =	Sp.Or. Vol. 4	7; p.249	20 acres in NWANEA 20 acres in SWANEA 26 acres in SEANEA
							22 acres in NEANWA 20 acres in SEANWA
							25 acres in NE2SW2 30 acres in NW2SW2 25 acres in SW2SW2
				II Ac, Trsf-Sp. C	or Vol. 5, p. 25	7.3 ma ma	10 acres in SE4SW4
				15 Ac Trs'f -	See Sp. Or. Vo	1.3 p. 217	25 acres in NW1SE1 25 acres in SW1SE1 35 acres in SE4SE1
							Section 14, 8 acres in $NE_{4}^{1}NW_{4}^{1}$
					·		15 acres in SEINWI 22 acres in NEISWI 20 acres in SWISWI
	•	,					30 acres in SEASWA 38 acres in NEASEA
•							33 acres in NW4SE4 30 acres in SW4SE4 35 acres in SE4SE4
							Section 15,
							Section 16, 35 acres in NEANEA 39 acres in NWANEA
							37 acres in SWANE 1 39 acres in SEANE 2 40 acres in NEANW 2
							40 acres in NWANWA 33 acres in SWANWA
3.Ac. Tref: Sp.C	7. Val. 6, 10.42	80.00		3 Ac trofi-S	p. Ur. VOI. K., P	340	39 acres in SE4NW4 35 acres in NE4SW4 31 acres in NW4SW4
	,			3. " "	" 6, p'4	109	34 acres in SEZSWZ 35 acres in NEZSEZ 38 acres in NWZSEZ
				3 " " "	" 1. 6,p.4	109	37 acres in $SW_{4}^{1}SE_{4}^{1}$ 40 acres in $SE_{4}^{1}SE_{4}^{2}$
							Section 17, 32 acres in NE NE 3 31 acres in SE 1NE 3
				25 05 Trail	50 00 Val	10.152	11 acres in NEISEI Section 18, 25 acres in NEISEI
				15		. " –	Section 19,
	,					,	35 acres in NELNEL 35 acres in NWANEL 30 acres in SWANEL
		,					30 acres in SEINEI 20 acres in NEINWI
				Trs	F. Sp.Or. Vol.	4.p.87	35 acres in NW4NW4 20 acres in SW4NW4 37 acres in SE4NW4
							30 acres in NE SW 4 30 acres in NW 25W 4 30 acres in NE SE 4
		-			Part Transferre		17 acres in NW4SE4 Section 20,
				10	Sp.Dr. Vol. 1p. 407 A. Tref! Sp. O.	Vol. 6, p. 510	34 acres in NWINEL 19 acres in SWINEL 36 acres in SEINEL
							,
							D700
	,			466			DESCHUTES RIVER -105-

	Date of	A-mon-4					
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
							TIASRIBE
Central Oregon Irriga- tion District					We Tost I Sp. Or	16/1 0 425	27 acres in NW NW 37 acres in SW NW 3
(continued):					7,02,71.47,1-17.01	10000	16 acres in SEANWA
				:			30 acres in NE4SW4
							17 acres in SW4SW4
	ь		l				34 acres in SE ₂ SW ₂ 30 acres in NE ₂ SE ₂
·				-	2 aces	Trans	10 acres in NW4SE4
					sp.llr.l	Trans	15 acres in SW4SE4 18 acres in SE4SE4
						-	Section 21,
							19 acres in NEINEI 33 acres in NWINEI
·							16 acres in SWINE
					ļ ·		25 acres in SEANEA 35 acres in NEANWA
			,				15 acres in $NW_{4}^{I}NW_{4}^{I}$.
							30 acres in Swanwa 18 acres in SEANWA
							28 acres in NE SW
							15 acres in NW4SW4
•							25 acres in $SE_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}SW_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}$
							16 acres in NW4SE4 38 acres in SW4SE4
							Section 22,
,	,						18 acres in NWANE 12 acres in SWANE 1
				4	te Trst Spice	1.61.5, p 463.	30 acres in SEANE
							30 acres in SEANEA 20 acres in NEANWA 20 acres in SWASEA 25 acres in SEASEA
				3	Ac Trsf - Sp. C	r. Vol. 6 p. 22.4.	25 acres in SEASEA
							Section 23,
				4 Ac Trsf - =	50,01 Vol. 5.	P. 179	20 acres in NETNET 20 acres in NWTNET
				19 AS Trist - S	p. Or. Vol. 2	p.7-	20 acres in NW NE 34 acres in SW NE 370 acres in SW NE
							30 acres in NEINWI 15 acres in NWINWI
		,					15 acres in $SW_{4}^{1}NW_{4}^{1}$
							30 acres in SEANWA 20 acres in NELSWA
							15 acres in NW SW
				15A5 Trs'f - Sp.0	r. Vol. 4, p. 4	4/	25 acres in SW4SW4
							20 acres in NW4SE4
				6	6, p.5	99	32 acres in SW4SE4 Section 24,
•							25 acres in NE4NE4
. 1							20 acres in NWANEA 15 acres in SWANEA
				10AS Troff - Sp.C			30 acres in SEANEA
				TOAS Trop - Sp.C	F. Val. 4, P. 40	1	20 acres in NE ₄ NW ₄ 35 acres in NW ₄ NW ₄
							ll acres in SW4NW1
							5 acres in NW4SW4 Section 25,
							25 acres in NE4NE4
							20 acres in NW4NE4 25 acres in SW4NE4
							35 acres in SEINE
				8 As Trsf- Sp.	Or: Vol. 4. 0.	148-	35 acres in NELSW.
		,		,			31 acres in SW4SW4
į							25 acres in SEASWA 23 acres in NEASEA
				2 Ac Trsf. Sp	Or. Vol. 5, 1	. 59	3 acres in NW4SE4
	,						25 acres in SW\(\frac{1}{4}\)SE\(\frac{1}{4}\)
							Section 26,
							27 acres in NW4NE4
							35 acres in NE NW
							35 acres in NETNWT 31 acres in SWTNWT 18 acres in SETNWT
							TO WOLDO IVI DIDĞILAĞ
							DESCHUTES RIVER -106-
				467			

Central Oregon Irrigation District (continued):	Date of Relative Priority	Per Second	Acres		Name of Ditch Re, Trsf; Sp.Or. V	6,6,p. 443 1.6,p. 443	7 145 R 12 F 36 acres in NE SW 16 acres in NW SW 38 acres in SE SW 30 acres in NE SE 2 25 acres in SW SE 2 25 acres in SE 2 26 acres in SE 2
tion District			,		Ac. Trist: Sp.Or.V	6,6,p. 443 4,6,p. 443	36 acres in NE SW 16 acres in NW SW 38 acres in SE SW 30 acres in NE SE 25 acres in NW SE 25 acres in SW SE 25 acres in SE SE
	•				Ac. Trift Sp.On Ve	1.6)p. 443	30 acres in NE SE 2 25 acres in NW SE 2 25 acres in SW SE 2 25 acres in SE 2 SE 2
	÷				a Ac. Trafi Sp.On Vi	1.6,p. 443	30 acres in NE SE 2 25 acres in NW SE 2 25 acres in SW SE 2 25 acres in SE 2 SE 2
	:			·			25 acres in NWASEA 25 acres in SWASEA 25 acres in SEASEA
	:						25 acres in $SE_4^{\frac{1}{4}}SE_4^{\frac{1}{4}}$
	:						NO GOIGO III DIMADIMA
	÷						Section 27,
							20 acres in NETNET
							24 acres in $SW_4^{\overline{1}}NE_4^{\overline{1}}$
							20 acres in NEANWA 18 acres in SEANWA
					-		5 acres in SEISWI 29 acres in NWISEI
							15 acres in $SW_{4}^{ extsf{I}}SE_{4}^{ extsf{I}}$
							13 acres in $SE_4^1SE_4^1$ Section 28,
						,	23 acres in $NE_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1}$
					,		25 acres in SW4SW4 25 acres in SE4SW4
							20 acres in SW4SE4
							Section 29, 25 acres in $SW_4^1SE_4^1$
							Section 30,
			;				20 acres in NEINEI 25 acres in NWINEI
				. ,	O Ac. Traf. Sp. O.	.Vol. 5,p. 251	- 30 acres in Swine 2 30 acres in SEINE 2
							18 acres in Lot 2(Swanwa)
•				2	5 Ac. Trs: f. Sp On	Vol. 5, p. 293	- 25 acres in Lot $4(SW_4^1SW_4^1)$ 15 acres in $NE_4^1SE_4^1$
					5 40 Too'f 50 000		
) 40 11 3,5 1 - Sp. Of. V	ar. 3, 19.467	25 acres in NW4SE4 24 acres in SW4SE4 Section 31,
							15 acres in $NE_4^{\frac{1}{4}}NE_4^{\frac{1}{4}}$
							30 acres in NW NE 30 acres in NE NW 30
				36 Ac Trsf - S	ee 5p. or. Vol.	4, p. 415 -	$>$ 36 acres in $NW_{4}^{1}NW_{4}^{1}$
	,_			3	. هر می در ایا	346	35 acres in SW4NW4 39 acres in SE4NW4
5 Ac trs	sf: Sp Or. V	1.6,p 419		10 Ac Trsf -	Sp. Or. Vol. 5, p. or. Vol. 5, p.	267	> 36 acres in NE ₂ SW 2 > 29 acres in NW ₂ SW 2
				4""	. " 5 p.	140)	Section 32,
		,			;		7 acres in NELNEL 10 acres in NWANWA
							18 acres in SW4NW4
·						·	3 acres in NETSWT 21 acres in NWTSWT
1				19 A= Trsf-	- Sp. On.Vol.	4 p. 150	> 19 acres in SW4SW4 36 acres in SE4SW4
							25 acres in $NE_{4}^{1}SE_{4}^{1}$
				_			ll acres in NW4SE4 37 acres in SW4SE4
				A Ac. Trsf	sp.or. Vol. 5, p	.265	28 acres in $SE_{4}^{1}SE_{4}^{1}$
			,				Section 33, 8 acres in $NE_4^1NE_4^1$
							24 acres in $NW_{4}^{1}NE_{4}^{1}$
							10 acres in SWANEA 37 acres in SEANEA
			,				ll acres in NEINWI 20 acres in NWINWI
							20 acres in SWINWI
		,					21 acres in SEANWA 27 acres in NEASWA
				1 Ac. Traft S	por Val. 6, p.	113	35 acres in NW\[\frac{1}{4} \]
							30 acres in SW\(\frac{1}{4}\)SW\(\frac{1}{4}\) 33 acres in SE\(\frac{1}{4}\)SW\(\frac{1}{4}\)
							20 acres in NE SE
:							29 acres in NW2SE2 20 acres in SW2SE2
							23 acres in SEASEA
							Section 34, 5 acres in $NE_4^1NE_4^1$
				5 Ar Tred	Sp. Cr. 16 5	0.293	27 acres in NW4NE4
				10.713,7	Sp. Cr. Vol. 5,	p. 395	17 acres in NEZNWZ
				4 MC. /rsj	- Op. Or. vol. 3)	p.263	20 acres in NWINWI
							26 acres in SEANW
				. 400	٠.		10 acres in NELSWA R. 13 E.
		ď	·	468 DES	CHUTES RIVER	-107-	5 acres in Swiswi - Sac as

	·		·				
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon Irri-						**************************************	7145R14 E
gation District (continued):			, s		·	-	29 acres in SW4NE4 14 acres in SE4NE4 39 acres in NE4SW4 20 acres in Lot 4(SW4SW4) 16 acres in SE4SW4 10 acres in NE4SE4 19 acres in NW4SE4
							37 acres in SWISEI 32 acres in SEISEI Section 18,
-				34 Rc. 13 Rc.	.Trsf.~Sp.OnV	61.5,p.103	34 acres in Nw\u00e4\nw\u00e4 13 acres in Sw\u00e4\nw\u00e4 Section 29, 25 acres in NE\u00e4\nE\u00e4
							32 acres in NWINEI 11 acres in SWINEI 33 acres in SEINEI 22 acres in NEINEI
				5 Ac	Trsif, Sp.Or	Vol. 7, p. 436	8 acres in Lot 1(NW1NW1) 15 acres in Lot 2(SW1NW1) Section 30, T. 14 S. R. 14 E. W. M.
						15512E	15 acres in SW1SE1 35 acres in SE1SE1 Section 1, 18 acres in NE1NE1
						•	40 acres in NW NE 10 acres in SW NE 22 acres in NE NW NE 30 acres in SW NW NE 34 acres in NE NW NW NE 34 acres in NE SW NW NW NE SW
.* **. :				29A= Trsf, - 3	See Sp. Or. Vo	1.4, p.212 -	34 acres in NW1SW1 38 acres in SW2SW1 16 acres in SE2SW2 29 acres in SW4SE1 Section 12,
		-		7 " "		6, p. 485	19 acres in NW NE 1 20 acres in SW NE 1 23 acres in NE NW 1 35 acres in NW NW 1 20 acres in SW NW 1 27 acres in SE NW 1 20 acres in NE SW 1 25 acres in NW SW 1 13 acres in SW 4SW 1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				, 2	" ""	6, p. 485	35 acres in SE SW 20 acres in NW 25E 20 acres in SW 25E 22 acres in SE 25E 25E 25E 25E 25E 25E 25E 25E 25E 25
				20	Troj-Sp.Or	Vol. 5, p. 325	- 25 acres in NELSEL Section 14, 22 acres in NELNEL 30 acres in NWANEZ 20 acres in SWANEL 16 acres in SELNEL
		· ·		10 Ac. Trsf. Sp.	Or. Vol. 5. p.	205	25 acres in NEANWA 35 acres in SEANWA 21 acres in NEASWA 27 acres in NEASWA 32 acres in NEASEA 22 acres in SWASEA
				10 Ac. Trsf Sp.	Or Vol. 5, p.	20 0	Section 24, 20 acres in NEINEI 40 acres in NWINEI 40 acres in SWINEI
							25 acres in SELNEL 35 acres in NELNWI 25 acres in NWINWI 20 acres in SWINWI 40 acres in SELNWI
				469		,	DESCHUTES RIVER -108-

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon							TISSRIRE
Irrigation Dist. (continued):				10 A	e.TrsfSp.Or	Val. 5, p. 138	40 acres in NE4SW4 9 acres in SE4SW4 33 acres in NE4SE4 37 acres in NW4SE4 35 acres in SW4SE4
	2 Hc.	troit. Sp.O.	r. Vol. 6, p	201	4c. Trát-Su Ori	6, p. 242	38 acres in $SE_{4}^{1}SE_{4}^{1}$ Section 25.
							5 acres in NEINWI Section 36,
							T. 15 S. R. 12 E. W. M. 9 acres in NETNET TAS SCIDE
							22 acres in NWINEI 30 acres in SWINEI 30 acres in SEINEI 19 acres in NEINWI 30 acres in NWINWI 25 acres in SWINWI
							20 acres in SEINWI 35 acres in NEISWI 35 acres in NWISWI 34 acres in SWISWI 40 acres in SEISWI 18 acres in NWISEI
				3	Ac.Trsf; 5p.Or	Val. 6, p 573	25 acres in SWISEI Section 3, 28 acres in Lot 1(NEINEI) 32 acres in Lot 2(NWINEI)
				\$ 2	Actrsf:Sp.Or.Y	61.6,p.454 6,p.454	37 acres in Lot 3(NE4NW4) 39 acres in SE4NW4 34 acres in NE4SW4 28 acres in NW4SW4
				,	Ac.TrsjSp.Or	Vol. 6, p,352	33 acres in NELSEL 33 acres in NWLSEL 20 acres in SWLSEL 36 acres in SELSEL Section 4,
							23 acres in NE NE 1 33 acres in NW NE 1 17 acres in SW NE 1 37 acres in SE NE 1 21 acres in NE NW 1 35 acres in NW NW 1
				6	Ac Trs'f: Sp O	r. Vol. 6, p. 529	20 acres in SW NW 23 acres in SEANW 23 acres in NELSW 24 28 acres in NW SW 25 acres in SW 25 W 25 acres in SW 25 W 25 acres in SE 25 W 25
				4	<i>p. u. u. e</i>	6, p. 474	38 acres in NE SE
					Ac. Trsf. Sp.Or. Ac. Trsf. Sp.Or. Ac. Trsf. Sp.Or.		36 acres in Lot 1(NEINEI) 20 acres in Lot 2(NWINEI) 30 acres in SEINEI 5 acres in Lot 3(NEINWI) 30 acres in Lot 4(NWINWI) 5 acres in SEINWI
				5		. 6. p. 441	13 acres in NELSWI 35 acres in Lot 6(NWISWI) 15 acres in Lot 7(SWISWI) 25 acres in SELSWI 25 acres in NELSEI
	,						10 acres in NVISEI 16 acres in SWISEI Section 6,
	•			470	·		DESCHUTES RIVER -109-

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
	Priority	Per Second	-				T155R13E
Central Oregon Irrigation Dist.							20 acres in NWINE
(continued)	•				r		30 acres in SW4NE4
							15 acres in NEANWA
							22 acres in SEANWA
7							35 acres in $NE_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1}$ 15 acres in Lot $3(NW_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1})$
							20 acres in SE4SW4
						5 4 / 2"	24 acres in NELSEL
					25Ac.Trs.t Sp.C	18. Vol. 3, p. 243.	28 acres in NW4SE4
,							Section 7,
							35 acres in $NE_{4}^{1}NE_{4}^{1}$
							30 acres in NWINEI
							36 acres in SW4NE4 30 acres in SE4NE4
					3/2	" 6, p. 472	35 aeres in NEINWI
							30 acres in NWANWA
						_	20 acres in SWINWI
							30 acres in SEANWA 23 acres in NEASWA
							29 acres in NW1SW1
				1			20 acres in SW4SW4
					1 Ac Trsf Sp.O	11.11.0.348.	32 acres in SE ₄ SW. 40 acres in NE ₄ SE.
					1 Ac 1155, - 39.0	1.001.6,7.040	36 acres in NWASE
							30 acres in SW_SE_
							25 acres in SELSEL
					I Ac. Trs't : Sp.	on Val. 7. 2270	Section 8, 31 acres in NE4NE4
					1 Ac. Trsf: Sp.	775378	37 acres in NWANEA
					15 Ac Trsf. Sp.	O. V.7. p. 286	> 30 acres in SW_NE4
					1137, Sec Op.	15 .42. 229	23 acres in NEINWI
							35 acres in SWANWA
		0. 7. 4.5	no side	6 - 50/			√ 25 acres in SEANW
	_	Me 1757; 0	VI. 46),	6,p.506	A ac, trsj- se	Sp. OF, VOI. 4/	3/8-31 acres in NE4SW4 38 acres in NW4SW4
7 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -							28 acres in SW4SW4
8 Ac Trsf - Spa Val. 5 p. 346 }	1 Ho. Trs	f-Sp.Or.V	01.5, P. 2	61-	- 10 ac. trsf - Sp	Or. Val. 5, p. 18	3 - 25 acres in SE $_4^{\perp}$ SW $_4^{\perp}$
		. , ,,				4/	37 acres in NE SE 30 acres in NW SE 3
		II Ac. Trsf:	Sp.Or. Va.	6,p.588 -	35.	+, p. 229	
					40	+, p. 229	
				-			Section 9,
5 Ac. Tr. Sis. Dr. 1617 . 152	a A a Treet	Sp.Or. Vol. 6	D.387		3 AS Trst - Sp.C	r. Vol. 4. p.530	35 acres in NEANWA 35 acres in NWANWA
5th Tr. Sp. Or 46.7 p. 252		6		The sales again and a sale as	3/2 Ac. "		40 acres in SWINWI
1							34 acres in SELNW
		4		11 ===	and Tare Carlland	/ F p 333	25 acres in NETSWI
OAc Trsf-Sp. Or Vol. 5 p. 49	3	Ac. Traf :	8 p 01. V	1. 6, p. 583 p. 333	- 9Ac Trs. Spor. Vo		40 acres in SW4SW4
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,)	16 . " " "	" 6, 9. 6	20 acres in SELSWI
						İ	Section 10,
		. ,	م را ب		, I Trsf-sp.or.	61.5, p. 245)	18 acres in NEANWA (8ACTIST. 40 acres in NWANWA (Vol. 5 p. 293)
		/-	P /10. / 1. 3	1.01. Val. 5 p. 446	2 trsf. Sp. Or	Vol.3, p. 3211	30 acres in SWANW also 1886
					20 Trsf - Sp Or.	Vol.3, p. 321	20 acres in NW SW 0/60 1/3.5 ac
•				Í	20 " " "	5, p. 444	
					20 Trif. See Sp. 01	Vol. 4. p 229	Section 15, Also Stac. Section 15, Sport 6p 313
					17 " 326 300	Vol, 4 p 229-	24 acres in NWANEA
					1157 See .Sp.	61. Yol. 4p. 229.	
						ľ	21 acres in NEINW
	•						36 acres in $NW_{4}^{1}NW_{4}^{1}$
					Troit - San C	. 6, p.46	
2 Ac Trift: Sp. Or. Vol. 6, f	.537	4.1.9.0.	Tret s.	Da. Val. h = 60		Cr. Vol. 4p. 229 .	l
7,00,00,00,00	West, 1990,	24,3 Hc.	" Sp.	Dr. Vol. 6, p.80 -	-/2 As " "	6, 5, 153	
					Trsf See Sp.	Vr. 121.4, p. 224	20 acres in NW4SE4
						77	10 acres in SWISE
							Section 16,
						1	DESCHUTES RIVER -110-
. •				,			110
	•			471			•
			, .				

1			i			1	
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon Irrigation District (continued):							715 4 R 15 E 35 acres in NE NE 27 acres in NW NE 2
	,			3	Ac Trs Sp.Or.V	1.6, p. 561 ···	32 acres in SEANEA SWAR 38 acres in SEANEA 24 acres in NEANWA
							28 acres in NWINWI 38 acres in SWINWI
			,				34 acres in NE45W4 33 acres in NW45W4 35 acres in SW4SW4
					·		28 acres in SE\(\frac{1}{4}\)SW\(\frac{1}{4}\) 34 acres in NE\(\frac{1}{4}\)SE\(\frac{1}{4}\)
							30 acres in NWASEA 32 acres in SWASEA 33 acres in SEASEA
						,	Section 17, 28 acres in NW1NE1 30 acres in SW1NE1
							22 acres in NEINWI 3 acres in Lot 2(SWINWI)
				•	1 Ac. Trs: Sp. Or.	Vol. 6, p 553	28 acres in SEANWA 30 acres in NEASWA 18 acres in NWASWA
	I Ac Truf	:Sp.Or.Vo	6.05	41	Ac.Trsf; spor.	Vol. 6, p. 458	28 acres in SW\(\frac{1}{2}\)SW\(\frac{1}{2}\) - 24 acres in SE\(\frac{1}{2}\)SW\(\frac{1}{2}\)
							28 acres in NELSEL 25 acres in NWISEL 35 acres in SWISEL
							30 acres in SELSEL Section 18, 37 acres in NELNEL
							32 acres in NWINEI 8 acres in SWINEI
,							19 acres in SEINEI 34 acres in NEINWI 20 acres in Lot 1 (NWINWI)
	. ,						23 acres in Lot $2(SW_{4}^{1}NW_{4}^{1})$ 26 acres in $SE_{4}^{1}NW_{4}^{1}$ 22 acres in Lot $3(NW_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1})$
							17 acres in Lot 4(SW4SW4) Section 19,
			•.	,			22 acres in NETNET 17 acres in NWTNET 34 acres in SWTNET
							33 acres in SEANEA 23 acres in NEANWA 40 acres in NWANWA
							31 acres in Swawy 35 acres in SEANWA
							40 acres in NE4SW4 10 acres in NW4SW4 3 acres in SW4SW4
							38 acres in SEASWA
		,	; ·		s Ac. trsf. sp.01 2 ac. trsf. sp.e	rvol.6, p. 77	26 acres in NW4SE4 25 acres in SW4SE4 20 acres in SE4SE4
					4	6, p. 557	Section 20, - 35 acres in NETNWT -34 acres in NWTNWT
							21 acres in SW4NW4 12 acres in SE4NW4
				/2 "		, , p, 210	- 25 acres in NE4SW4 25 acres in NW4SW4 Section 21,
							25 acres in NE NV 2 25 acres in NW 2 NV 2 30 acres in SW 2 NV 2
							Section 22, 20 acres in $NE_{4}^{1}NE_{4}^{1}$
				· .			29 acres in NWINE 20 acres in SEINE 20 acres in SEINE
						. '	35 acres in NELWA
				472			DESCHUTES RIVER -111-

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon Irrigation Dist., (continued):		•					7/55 K 13 E
(SOIL DILITINGU / S				- 4	Ac. Trsf: Sp. O.	, Kol. b, p. 551	4 acres in SE4NW4 9 acres in NW4SW4 Section 29, 28 acres in Lot 1(NW4NW4) 34 acres in Lot 2(SW4NW4)
-					2	· 6, p. 591	8 acres in SELNWA
					Ac Trof. Sp.Or.	Vol. 6, p. 297-	11 acres in NETSET 23 acres in NW SET 38 acres in SW SET 35 acres in SETSET
•							Section 30, 25 acres in NEINEI 40 acres in NWANEI 40 acres in SWANEI 11 acres in SEINEI 30 acres in NEINWI 33 acres in Lot 1 (NWINWI)
					5 Ac. Trs f Sp	7. Or. Vol. 5, p. 2	22 acres in Lot 2(SW4NW4) 20 acres in SE4NW4 35 acres in NE4SW4 4/-16 acres in Lot 3(NW4SW4) 23 acres in SE4SW4 6 acres in NE4SE4
·					2	·· ·· 7,p 43	40 acres in NW SE 40 acres in SW SE 40 acres in SE 4SE 40 Section 31, T. 15 S. R. 13 E. W. M.
		ı		7 RS Trsf - Sp. C 20 " " 17 " "		[6 acres in Lot 2(SW NE 1) 5 acres in Lot 1(SE NE 2) 4 acres in Lot 4(SW NW 1) 20 acres in NE SW 2 25 acres in NW SW 2 30 acres in SW SW 2
				9	Ac. Trif: Sp.On.	(ol. 6, p. 305 -	Section 1, 7 acres in Lot 2(SW_NE_1) 7 acres in Lot 1(SE_NE_1) 7 acres in Lot 3(SE_NW_1) 25 acres in NE_1SW_1
				3 8	1. 1. 1. 1.	" 6, p. 305	20 acres in SE SW1 20 acres in NE SE 4 40 acres in NW1SE 4 25 acres in SW1SE 1
				5		6,250 a,d 950, a,d	28 acres in SE\set Section 2, 35 acres in NE\set Section 2, 5 acres in NE\set NE\set Section 36 acres in SE\set NE\set Section 37 acres in SW\set S
				- 	TSf: Sporve	6, p. 412 6, p. 567 6, p. 567 6, 3, 334 3, 346	40 acres in SEISWI 36 acres in NEISEI 39 acres in SEISEI Section 10, 37 acres in NEINEI 30 acres in NEINEI 30 acres in NEINEI
	8 A	c tristisp	Or Val. 6	l	i I	i	- 40 acres in SWANEA 30 acres in SEANEA 38 acres in SWANWA 25 acres in NE SWA
	9	·) (, 4)	". " "	6,p.581 5 5 10	y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y	6, p. 547 - 6, p. 581 - 6, p. 518	30 acres in SW4SW4 30 acres in SE4SW4 40 acres in NE4SE4 35 acres in NW4SE4 25 acres in SE4SE4 Section 11,
							DESCHUTES RIVER -112-
				473			165R12E

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon							165 RIVE
Irrigation Dist., (continued):					4.45 Trsf. Ex.	On Vol.4, p. 4	87-40 acres in NEANEA - 30 acres in NWANEA 20 acres in SWANEA
							20 acres in SEINEZ 28 acres in NEINEZ
					23Trsf - Sp. Or.	Vol. 3.p. 338.	20 acres in NW NW 2 -30 acres in SE 2NW 2 -28 acres in NE 2SW 2
							30 acres in SELSW- 30 acres in NELSEL
							Section 12,
		,		4	Trist - See Sp.	" " 6, p. 38	44 - 25 acres in NEINEI 8 - 20 acres in NWINEI 7 - 20 acres in SEINEI
				25	0 1	Vol. 6, p. 32	/9 20 acres in SEANE 2- 25 acres in NWANWA 8- 30 acres in SEANWA
			,			, , , , ,	28 acres in NE4SW4 Section 13,
					Trif - See Sp. C	r. Vol. 2 p. 436	20 acres in NE NE2 28 acres in SE2SW2
					Trsf-See Sp.C	Vol. 5, p. 293	23 acres in SWISEI 33 acres in SEISEI
							Section 14, 30 acres in NWANEA
	-	,					19 acres in SWANEA 34 acres in NEANWA
							39 acres in NW NW 1 15 acres in SW4NW
					Sac Trist So Or	Val. 5 a 27.5	16 acres in SEINWI Section 15,
				,	30 A & Trsf - S	on. Vol. 4, p. 4	35 acres in SWASE 74 30 acres in SESE Section 20,
							19 acres in NWINE
							18 acres in NE4NW4
					n 70 % 5 6		20 acres in NETSET
				3	Ac. Trsf: Sp. C.	Vol. 6, p. 273	Section 23,
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					11 acres in NW1NW1 10 acres in SW2NW4
						,	Section 24, 16 acres in NEINEI
							22 acres in NWINE
				ن.	3 " " "	" 6 p. 49.	35 acres in SEINE
					16 Ac. TrsfSp.		29 acres in SE4NW4 18 He Thank
,				27 A	Trsf - See Sp.	or. Vol. 3 p. 211	27 acres in NE4SW4 VIL 3,8346 27 acres in SE4SW4 22 acres in NW4SE4
							Section 26, 27 acres in NE ₄ SW ₄
					18 ACTIST. Sp.	Or. Val. 5, p. 30	28 acres in NW4SW4 4 acres in SW4SW4
							21 acres in SEISWI Section 27,
					100 - (0	0 V/ F = 20	34 acres in SW4NW4 6 acres in SE4NW4
					19 Ac. Trsf. Sp.	Or 101. 3, p. 30 ~	29 acres in NE SE 30 acres in NW 3SE 35 Section 28,
					SAC. Trsf-Sp.C	Vel. 5, p.293.	25 acres in NW2NE2 22 acres in SW4NE2
							24 acres in NEINWI 4 acres in NWINWI
				32	He Trsf. Sp. Or	Val. 3, p. 481	Section 34, - 32 acres in SWANE - 32 acres in SEANE
					8 4 4		13 acres in SENNY
						22 د. مرزه بر	31 acres in NE4SW4 15 acres in SW4SW4
					,		

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oncor			-	i			165RIVE
Central Oregon Irrigation Dist., (continued):				. 10	Ho. Trsf-Sp. Or	. Vol. 5, p. 32	9- 31 acres in NEASEA
(John Hille a / 1					Trof- Sp. Or.	Vol. 3, p. 481	7 - 31 acres in NE SE 30 acres in NW SE 30 acres in SW SE 35 acres in SE SE 35
					,		Section 35, T. 16 S. R. 12 E.W.M.
				_4	Ac, Trs+.50.	Dr. Vol. 5, p. 4.	
						165 R13	36-3 acres in Lot 2(SWINEI) Section 6, 1688135 32 acres in NEISWI
							26 acres in NW4SW4 26 acres in SW/SW4
				. ,			27 acres in SELSWA 29 acres in NEASE
							31 acres in NW1SE1
							29 acres in SELSEL Section 27, 28 acres in NELNEL
							27 acres in NWANEZ 28 acres in SWANEZ
							31 acres in SEINE
							27 acres in NWINWI 26 acres in SWINWI
							29 acres in SEINWI Section 34,
•							T. 16 S. R. 13 E. W. M.
				8	Ac. Trsf: Sp. Or.	4 6, p. 571	32 acres in Lot 1(NE4NE4) 38 acres in Lot 2(NW4NE4)
					1	}	36 acres in SWANE 7
							28 acres in NE4SW4 Section 2,
							30 acres in NW4NE4
					4	1. 1.6, p. 55	26 acres in NE3SW2 5 - 25 acres in SE3SW2
							30 acres in NWISEI
							Section 11, 30 acres in SEASWA
					T-4 5-0-	Val -3 - 119-1	30 acres in NE SE 30 acres in NE NE Section 12,
				,	10- " "	5, p. 428	- 30 acres in NEANEA - 25 acres in NWANEA 30 acres in SWANEA
							30 acres in SE4NE4 30 acres in NE4NW4
				. 1	10	" 5, p. 432.	35 acres in SWZNWZ 35 acres in SEZNWZ
							38 acres in NEASWA
			·				39 acres in SWLSWL 30 acres in SELSWL
							38 acres in NETSET
						·	30 acres in SWISE 38 acres in SEISE
					CAC. Trs'f - Spl		
				70	OTrsf-Sp.Or.V	61.5,p.301.	20 acres in NEINWI
							20 acres in SE4NW4 30 acres in NE4SW4
					0 1 1 1 1	6, p. 378	26 acres in SE4SW4 35 acres in NE4SE4
				76	011-17- Sp.OK	, D, p-30/	20 acres in NWISEL 25 acres in SWISEL
					Troit on many	1.5 202	35 acres in SE4SE4 Section 14,
					25 A . 7. 84 Sp.	O.C. Vel. 4, 124	15 acres in NE4SW4 15 acres in NW4SW4 25 acres in SW4SW4
						1	27 acres in SEASWA
							7-→29 acres in NE SE 3-15 acres in NW SE Section 15,
			· ·	475	Trsf-5p.01.1	Vol. 5, p. 293	ll acres in NE NW

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon						The same of the sa	1.75 RIZE
Irrigation Dist. (continued);				9AS 5"	Trsf Sp.Or.	Vol. 4, p.486 6, p. 334	> 33 acres in NE4SW4 32 acres in SE4SW4 25 acres in SW4SE4 30 acres in SE4SE4
•							Section 22, 25 acres in NETNET 20 acres in SWINET 38 acres in SETNET
						Transfer Sp. Cerv.49	25 acres in NE4NW4 25 acres in NW4NW4 30 acres in SW4NW4 15 acres in SE4NW4
				9.8	Trs'f - Sp. Or.	Vol.5, p. 293	25 acres in NE4SW4 12 acres in NW4SW4 24 acres in SE4SW4 38 acres in NE4SE4 35 acres in NW2SE4
					Ao. Tršý, Sp. Or		30 acres in SwaSEa 35 acres in SEaSEa Section 23,
							31 acres in NE NW 1 35 acres in NW 2NW 2 40 acres in SW 2NW 2 15 acres in SE NW 2
				4			21 acres in NW SW Section 24, 25 acres in NE NE 27 acres in SE NE 27
					Actist. Spo		27 acres in SWANWA 27 acres in SEASWA 32 acres in NEASEA — 26 acres in SWASEA 24 acres in SEASEA
				10 A Trs'f - See			Section 25, 20 acres in NE NE 30 acres in NW NE 4 35 acres in SWANE 4
				23 A 4 Trof- Sec	" " 6	p. 389	30 acres in SEINEI 33 acres in NEINWI 25 acres in NWINWI
8 Tr Sp. Cr. V	ol.5, p.350		etal o si filiance i dessi dess	20 Ac Trsf - 50	Sp Or, Vol. 5,	P. 293	30 acres in SEINWI 36 acres in NEISWI 36 acres in NWISWI 34 acres in SWISWI
				33 Ac v	v 5,	p. 293	20 acres in SE4SW4 20 acres in NE4SE4 37 acres in NW4SE4 32 acres in SW4SE4 20 acres in SE4SE4
				Touchase		,	Section 26, > 15 acres in NW2NW2, 35 acres in SW2NW2, 20 acres in SW2NW2
	Ç¥Ç xi	Se Tiple in a	1.3. 165	Modified by.	Spor Jatrit	5.0. Vol. 6 p. 4.	33 acres in NELSW4 33 acres in NELSW4 33 acres in SELSW4 31 acres in NELSE4 32 acres in NELSE4
			4	Transit Sp.	1		32 acres in NW4SE4 33 acres in SW4SE4 > 14 acres in SE4SE4 Section 27,
						p. 465	31 acres in SWANEA 40 acres in SEANEA 20 acres in SEANWA 20 acres in NEASWA 20 acres in NEASEA
				8 HG. Treft - Sp. 9 Ac. Treft - Sp	or Vol. 5. p.	350	20 acres in NWISEI Section 28, 28 acres in NEINEI 29 acres in NWINEI
				1	6, р	514	10 acres in SWANEA 34 acres in SEANEA 37 acres in NBANWA 29 acres in SWASWA
				476			DESCHUTES RIVER -115-

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon					•		175 RIZE
Irrigation Dist. (continued):				A 100 mg 3/	S. D. Mat of	n 144	20 acres in SE4SW4
				3.1	" " 5,	p. 338	20 acres in SE4SW4 38 acres in NE4SE4 15 acres in NW4SE4 37 acres in SW4SE4 34 acres in SE4SE4 Section 34.
						, s , s , s , s , s , s , s , s , s , s	37 acres in SWASEA 34 acres in SEASEA
				/ " "	, , , , ,	P.346	Section 34,
				V 5	755 5p.01:	Vel. 4, P. 26	Section 34, 5-15 acres in NE NE 1 15 acres in SW NE 1 27 acres in SE NE 1
				*1 0	,, ,,	., 300	27 acres in SEINEI
							31 acres in NETNWT 36 acres in NWTNWT
							36 acres in NWINWI 38 acres in SWINWI 34 acres in SEINWI
		. ,					31 acres in NE2SW4
				,	,, ,, ,, ,,	" 6, P 5/2	31 acres in SETNWT 31 acres in NETSWT 34 acres in NWTSWT 35 acres in SWTSWT 20 acres in NETSET 277-33 acres in NWTSET 277-33 acres in SWTSET 277-36 acres in SWTSET 277 acres in SETSET
				، که	Hetrst. Sp. or	Vol. 6, p. 338	7 35 acres in SELSW
	3,99	Trst-Spl	Valit,	p. 431 5	Ac Tray Sp.	Or. Vol. 5, p. 2	299-33 acres in NW4SE4
				35"	He	₽	27 acres in SE4SE4
	,						Section 35.
							T. 17 S. R. 12 E, W. M.
				20 Trs	f-Sp.Or.Vol.	3p, 221	20 acres in NETNET
				7.4		, , , ,	23 acres in SW#SW#
				I was also were the	و ل دام وس	,	27 acres in NWISEI 26 acres in SWISEI > 13 acres in SEISEI
				13 HS /rsf -	Sp. Cr. Vol.	lp 260	> 13 acres in $SE_4^{\frac{7}{4}}SE_4^{\frac{7}{4}}$ Section 1.
							28 acres in NW4NE4
							40 acres in Swine 28 acres in Nei NW
							35 acres in NWINWI
							24 acres in SW4NW4 29 acres in SE4NW4
							8 acres in NELSW4 31 acres in NW4SW4
							30 acres in NE4SE4
					*		35 acres in NW4SE4
							35 acres in SELSEL Section 2,
				86	c Trsf. Sp.Or.	Vol. 6, p. 248-	20 acres in NELSE
							Section 10.
							35 acres in NE NE 40 acres in NW NE 4
,						ļ Ī	40 acres in SWINE 30 acres in SEINE
,				10Ac. Tisf.	Sp. Cr. Vol. 1,	v. 14.7	35 acres in NEWW
	9 Ac. Tray.	5p.Or. Vol. 4,	p.410	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Vol. 4 p	205	35 acres in NWINWE 40 acres in SWINWE
				162	1 4 p.	336	40 acres in SEANWA
				2 "	, 5 p.	169 maria (2000) and (2000)	38 acres in NW2SW2
					· 5 p.	169	40 acres in SWISWI
							30 acres in SENE 35 acres in NEANW 40 acres in SENW 40 acres in SENW 40 acres in NEASW 40 acres in NEASW 38 acres in NEASW 40 acres in SESW 35 acres in NEASW 28 acres in NEASE 40 acres in NW 55 acres in NW 55 acres in NW 55 acres in SW 55 acres in SW 55 acres in SW 55 acres in SW 56 acres in SW 57 acres in SW 58 acres in SW 58 acres in SW 59 acres in SW 50 acres in SW 60 acres in SW
				/ "	, 5° p	. 169	40 acres in NW4SE4 35 acres in SW4SE4
					15 Has Tre	emszerinad.	Section 11,
					See Sp. Chr.	Unt. 3, P. 46	32 acres in NEANE 32 acres in NWANE
							21 acres in SWANE
				15 Ac 7157. 5	p. Or Vol. 5, F	. 169	22 acres in NW4SW4
,							22 acres in NWANWA 14 acres in SEASEA
							Section 12,
							27 acres in NEINEI 29 acres in NWINEI 34 acres in SWINEI
	•						34 acres in SWANEA 20 acres in SEANEA
							30 acres in NETNW
							28 acres in SWaNWa
							31 acres in SE4NW4
				477			DESCHUTES RIVER -116-
				•			· · · ·

	Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
	Central Oregon Irrigation Dist. (continued):							155 RIGE 33 acres in NE4SW1 23 acres in NW4SW4
								25 acres in SWISWI 24 acres in SEISWI 35 acres in MEISEI 28 acres in NWISEI
			·.	•	,	Ac, Trst - Sp. C	r. Vol. 5, p. 1	30 acres in SW2SE2 31 acres in SE2SE2 Section 13, 69-40 acres in NW2NE2 30 acres in SW2NE2
v	•				. , .			30 acres in NETNWT 25 acres in NWTNWT 25 acres in SWTNWT 25 acres in SETNWT
							Transferred queruse 3,	14 acres in NW4SW4
						Ac.Trsf.Sp.Or.		Section 14, 30 acres in NETNET 32 acres in SWANET
					3			23 acres in SEANEA 34 acres in SEANWA 20 acres in NEASWA 27 acres in SEASWA 10 acres in NEASEA
								31 acres in NWASEA 27 acres in SWASEA 13 acres in SEASEA Section 15,
				,				13 acres in NETNET 10 acres in SETNET 17 acres in NETSET 30 acres in SETSET Section 21,
		٠			· ·.			25 acres in NE NE 1 24 acres in NW NE 1 31 acres in SW NE 1 26 acres in SE NE 2
								32 acres in NW NW 28 acres in SW NW 20 acres in SE NW 219 acres in NE SW 2
	,							30 acres in NW SW 22 acres in SW SW 230 acres in SE 25W 216 acres in NE 25E 210 acres in NW 25E 2
								35 acres in SWASEA 40 acres in SEASEA Section 22, 20 acres in NEANEA
			٠					35 acres in NWANEA 33 acres in SWANEA 35 acres in SEANEA 40 acres in NEANWA 40 acres in NWANWA
								27 acres in SWANWA 40 acres in SEANWA 30 acres in NEASWA 36 acres in NWASWA
							·	40 acres in SW SW 36 acres in NW SW 37 38 acres in NW SE 36 acres in NW SE 34 acres in SW 35E 4
								32 acres in SELSEL Section 23, 21 acres in NELNEL 17 acres in NWANEL
	·						.v	35 acres in SWANEA 25 acres in SEANEA 30 acres in NEANWA

DESCHUTES RIVER -117.

100									
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use		
Central Oregon Irrigation Dist, (continued):							21 acres in NW1NW1 29 acres in SW1NW1		
•				·			29 acres in SEANWA 26 acres in NEASWA 29 acres in NWASWA 29 acres in SWASWA 25 acres in SEASWA 35 acres in NWASEA 30 acres in SWASEA		
							33 acres in SEASEA Section 24, 39 acres in NEANEA 19 acres in NWANEA 31 acres in SWANEA 32 acres in SEANEA 29 acres in NEANWA		
							37 acres in NWINWI 30 acres in SWINWI 30 acres in SEINWI 33 acres in NEISWI 24 acres in NWISWI 16 acres in SWISWI 15 acres in NWISEI		
							Section 25, 35 acres in NELNEL 38 acres in SWANEL 36 acres in SEANEL 35 acres in SEANEL 38 acres in NELNWA 38 acres in NWANWA		
				,			40 acres in SWANWA 39 acres in SEANWA 40 acres in NWASWA 40 acres in NWASWA 38 acres in SEASWA 26 acres in SEASEA Section 26,		
						6, p. 575	- 40 acres in NE NE 24 acres in NW NE 24 acres in SW NE 24 acres in SE NE 24 acres in SE NE 24 acres in NE 2 NE 24 acres in NE 2 NE 24 acres in NW NW 240 acres in SW		
				,	n H h h		40 acres in SEANWA 40 acres in NEASWA 37 acres in NWASWA 35 acres in SWASWA 40 acres in SEASWA 40 acres in NEASEA 40 acres in NWASEA		
				,			40 acres in SWISE 40 acres in SEISE 40 acres in SEISE 5 Section 27, 31 acres in NEINE 1 Trans. Back 6 acres in SWINE 1 See P. 103 30 acres in SEINE 1 Vol. 3 The		
*	·						30 acres in NE4SE4 8 acres in NW4SE4 6 acres in SW4SE4 20 acres in SE4SE4 Section 28, 35 acres in NE4NE4		
				• •			28 acres in NWINEI 28 acres in SWINEI 40 acres in SEINEI 7 acres in SEINWI 21 acres in NEISWI 25 acres in SEISWI 40 acres in NEISEI		
				479			40 acres in NW4SE4 40 acres in SW4SE4 40 acres in SE4SE4 Section 33, DESCHUTES RIVER -118.		

. `

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon Irrigation Dist. (continued):							40 acres in NE2NE2 40 acres in NWANE2 39 acres in SWANE2
				1	"2 ac. Tr's: 3p.0. He Tr's f! Sp. or.	" 6, p. 575.	26 acres in SEINEI 40 acres in NEINWI 26 acres in NWINWI 40 acres in SEINWI 40 acres in NEISWI 40 acres in NWISWI 40 acres in SWISWI 15 acres in SEISWI
							Section 34, 35 acres in NW1NW1 2 acres in SW1NW1 Section 35, T. 15 S. R. 14 E. W. M.
					2 Ac. Trs. F : Sp 1 " " " " 2 "	, Or Val. 6, p. 1. " 6, p. 4 1. " 6, p. 4	#02-5 acres in Lot 1 (NEINEI) 02-26 acres in SWINEI 02-33 acres in SEINEI 31 acres in Lot 4 (NWINWI) 33 acres in Lot 5 (SWINWI) 38 acres in SEINWI 40 acres in NEISWI
				,			30 acres in Lot 6(NW\(\frac{1}{2}\)\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
							15 acres in NW NW 1 18 acres in NW SW 1 17 acres in SW SW 2 Section 8, 5 acres in SE NE 1 Section 16, 20 acres in NE NE 1
							26 acres in NVANEA 23 acres in NEASWA 13 acres in Lot 3(NVASWA) 20 acres in Lot 4(SWASWA) 27 acres in SEASWA 23 acres in NEASWA 16 acres in NWASEA 32 acres in SWASEA
•							31 acres in SELSEL Section 18, 36 acres in SWLNEL 36 acres in SELNWE 36 acres in NELSWL 32 acres in Lot 3(NWLSWL) 36 acres in Lot 4(SWLSWL)
				,			40 acres in SEASWA 37 acres in NEASEA 39 acres in NWASEA 39 acres in SWASEA 28 acres in SEASEA Section 19, 30 acres in NWANEA
							38 acres in SWANE 38 acres in SEANE 21 acres in NEANW 14 acres in NWANW 14 38 acres in SWANW 140 acres in NEANW 15 acres in NEANW 15 acres in NWANW 15 acres in NWANW 15 acres in NWASW 15
						,	12 acres in SWISWI 16 acres in SEISWI 35 acres in SWISEI 28 acres in SEISEI Section 20, 12 acres in NWINEI 36 acres in NEINWI
		,		480	DESCHUTES	RIVER	36 acres in Lot 1(NWLNWL) 16 acres in Lot 2(SWLNWL) 3 acres in SELNWL -Sec. 30, T. 15 S. R. 15 E. W. M.

		·	1.				
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use .	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon Irrigation Dist. (continued):					PAcitist. Sp. Or.	V.1.6 575	30 acres in Lot 2(SW-NE-1) 25 acres in Lot 1 (SE-1NE-1)
					2 Actirs f Sp.Di	Vol. 6, p. 85	15 acres in $SW_{4}^{1}SW_{5}^{1}$
							40 acres in SEASWA 27 acres in NEASEA 40 acres in NWASEA 40 acres in SWASEA
		-			-		13 acres in SELSEL Section 4, 20 acres in NELNEL 20 acres in SELNEL
							40 acres in NETSET 5 acres in NW SET 12 acres in SW SET 40 acres in SETSET
•							Section 8, 40 acres in NW1NE1 38 acres in SW1NE1 39 acres in NE1NW1
							25 acres in NWINWI 40 acres in SWINWI 39 acres in SEINWI 40 acres in NEISWI 40 acres in NWISWI
					z Ac. trs.t- Sp.C	Dr Vol. 6, p. 35	40 acres in SWISWI
					·		Section 9, 20 acres in NE NW 1 39 acres in NW NW 2 36 acres in SW NW 1
					. •		2 acres in SEINWI Section 16, 40 acres in NEINE 27 acres in NWINEI
							25 acres in SWINE 35 acres in SEINE 38 acres in NE SEI 24 acres in NW SEI 25 acres in SWINE 38
•							25 acres in SWISEI 38 acres in SEISE Section 17, 35 acres in NEINEI 38 acres in NWINEI
1						.k	36 acres in SW NE 1 39 acres in SE NE 1 14 acres in NE NW 1 14 acres in SE NW 1
							21 acres in NE ₄ SW ₄ 30 acres in SE ₄ SW ₄ 35 acres in NE ₄ SE ₄ 31 acres in NW ₄ SE ₄
		1			9 AS Trsf. Sp. C	Or Yol. 4, p. 48	24 acres in SWISEI 9-28 acres in SEISEI Section 20, 18 acres in NWINVI
•					•		33 acres in SWANWA 4 acres in NEASWA 40 acres in NWASWA 35 acres in SWASWA 15 acres in SEASWA
							Section 21, 15 acres in SW\(\frac{1}{4}\)SW\(\frac{1}{4}\) Section 27, 4 acres in SW\(\frac{1}{4}\)NE\(\frac{1}{4}\)
					10 ASTIST- SP.6	Or Vel. 4 w 48	26 acres in NEINWI 40 acres in NWINWI
•			,		8		35 acres in NW4SE4 22 acres in SW4SE4 29 acres in SE4SE4 Section 28,
				491			THE CALLETTING DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF T

DESCHUTES RIVER -120.

Name and Postoffice Address	Date of	Amount Cubic Feet	Number		Nome of Dies.	Star		Possentian of Loud or Physics II
of Appropriator	Relative Priority	Cubic Feet Per Second	Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream		Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon Irrigation Dist.							-	165 R14E
(continued):								acres in NE4NE4
								acres in NE NW Section 29.
								acres in NEINWI
								acres in NWANWA
`								Section 34, 16 S. R. 14 E. W. M.
						my seek 1 of the		
,	•				Tali Can Su	17514F	ຸຂວ	acres in NE SW
					1/137. = 500 3pm	07.2010 35.200		acres in SW4SW4
							35	Section 2, acres in NELSE4
				,	and the second second	. W. J. C 2. 27)	38	acres in SE SE
				•		1 + 328		Section 3, acres in NE NE
				9 ,, 5 Ac.	" " " Tist-Su.Or. Vol.	3p. 137 3p. 451	37 27	acres in SEANE
				5 "	Tršf-Sp.Or.Vol.	3 " 451	29	acres in SEISEI Section 10:
					Trsf-Sp.Cr	(01.4. ps. 85		acres in NWINE
					TrsfSp.Cr. W TrsfSp.OnVer TrsfSp.Cr.Ve	. 4.p.300	35	acres in SWINE acres in NEINWI
•				¥ 6 Ac.	Trst Spich Ve	1.d.p.300.		acres in SW4SW4 acres in NE4SE4
. *				/	Tr'st Sp.Or.V	1.4.p.300	35	acres in NW4SE4 acres in SW4SE4
					-			acres in SE $rac{1}{4}$ SE $rac{1}{4}$
							28	Section 11, acres in Nw Nw
				7	ac. Trsf -5p.0 ac. Trfs - Sp.01	Vol. 5, p. 440	- 33 i 40 i	acres in SWINWI acres in NEISWI 19 ac 1/15/1-
				//	., ,, n	" 5", p. 335)	20	acres in NW4SW4 Sp. 0. Vol. 5 acres in SW4SW4 F99. 440
							3 9 <i>i</i>	acres in SEASWA
								acres in $SW_{4}^{T}SE_{4}^{T}$ Section 13,
				18	Ac. Trs F-Sp.C	Vol. 6, p.320	- 36 t	acres in NETNET acres in NWTNET
				6	* * *, *	· 6, p. 490.	- 40 s	acres in SWANEA acres in SEANEA
				11		. 6, p.490 -	23 s	acres in NE4NW4
			_	3 2		" 6, p. 490 -	- 40 e	acres in NWINWI acres in SWINWI
,	1 1/2	actr. Sp. 6	20 Vol. 6.	p. 522 3	er, as as	. 6, p. 411	160 ε	acres in $SE_{4}^{1}NW_{4}^{1}$ acres in the SW_{4}^{1}
				3	tr tr tr	- 6, p. 411 -	160 s	acres in the $SE_4^{\overline{1}}$ Section 14,
								acres in NEANE
				7	4. 4. 4. 1/	" 6 P. 445	. 3 8 ε	acres in SEINEI acres in NEISEI
								Section 15,
					Trist - Sp. Qr. Vol	1 A p. 29	2ε	section 21,
				_	7 6 6 5 5 5			cres in NEINE
				10	Tref. Sp.Or.Ve	1.6, p. 368	- 38 s	cres in SEINEI cres in NEISWI cres in NWISWI
					,, ,, ,.	6, 9. 478	40 a	cres in SEASWA
	3	Ao. Tist : S	p.Or. Vol	6,p. 493 2	an de ar er	6.P.393 -	160 а	cres in the $SE_{\frac{1}{4}}$
				6	Ac Trsf: Sp.Or.	Vol. 6, p. 374	160 a	cres in the \mathbb{NE}_4^1
·		· "• " •	** **	P, p. 516 - 2	\$. A. A.	* *, P. + × 8 +	40 a	cres in the NW1 cres in NE1SW1
				,	v 10 F F	. 6, p. 393	40 a	cres in NW4SW4 cres in SW4SW4
							20 a	cres in SEASWA cres in NEASEA
		,		,			40 a	cres in NW4SE4
		,	l	,	· ·/ 11 ** **	, 6,p.391	30 a 40 a	cres in SWASEA
								Section 23,
				482	•		DESC	HUTES RIVER -121.
•.				404			Dillia C.	TOTES RIVER -121.

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon			•			A Company of the Comp	175 145
Irrigation District							40 acres in NETNET
(continued):							39 acres in NVANE
							39 acres in SWANEA 35 acres in SEANEA
<i>,</i> *		,			,		38 acres in NETNW
							40 acres in NW1NW1
				/	Ac. trsf: Sp. 0	t. Yol, 6, p. 391	40 acres in SW4NW4 39 acres in SE4NW4
					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		10 acres in NEZSWZ
•				/	Actrif Sp.	or. Val. 6, p. 33	6 - 40 acres in NWISWI 7 - 40 acres in SWISWI 6 - 10 acres in SEISWI
				2		4 6	7 - 40 acres in SW#SW#
	-				1	i	1 32 Acres in NEWSER
				39ACTrst- Sp.C	r. Vol. 4. P. 52	7	39 acres in NWASE 35 acres in SWASE
				, , ,	" 4, p. 32	A111 , 300 A111 AM	36 acres in SELSEL
							Section 24,
							10 acres in NETNWT 20 acres in NWTNWT
							15 acres in SW4NW4
,							10 acres in SEANWA
•							Section 25,
							20 acres in NV-NE
					Trsf-Sp. Or.	101.3, p. 346	10 acres in SWANE
						1 - 151	30 acres in SEINE
					, 22 6 2.	6, p. 456 -	40 acres in NW4NW4
				,	Trsf- Sp.Or.	6, 9. 467	30 acres in SWANWA
		ĺ			77872 Op. 3111	, , p , 576	10 acres in SELNWL 40 acres in NWLSWL
							30 acres in $SW_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1}$
O H. Traf : Sp Or. V. 6, p. 415 - 2	SAC. Trs f. Sp.	Or. Vol. 5, p. 5	83	15 Ar Tre's	In Or 16/5	. 225	Section 26, -160 acres in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$
	· /	. 7		12 //2 / / /	70.01.037.0	PZZJ	40 acres in $NE_4^2NW_4^2$
							38 acres in NWINWI
,							30 acres in SWINWI 30 acres in SEINWI
							37 acres in NE4SW4
							36 acres in NW4SW4
ن <u>ـ</u>	3 ,, ,, ,,	4. م راه	32	10 AC Trst	Sp. Or Vol. 4	page 225 -	40 acres in SW4SW4
			İ	29	". " 3	.page 361	38 acres in SE4SW4
							15 acres in Shapha
				·			Section 27, 31 acres in NEINE
							37 acres in SEINE
•	,	do Tarif C	a . v a	5.	Actist - sp.O.	101.6, p.350	- 35 acres in SE4SW4
, ,	/	Ne. Irst-Sp	or, v. 7,	323	He Trs J - Sp. DI	Val. 5, p. 48	- 35 acres in SELSWI 7- 38 acres in NELSEL 15 acres in SELSEL
,				'			Section 28
						5p.Or. Vol. 3. p.	35 acres in NE SW 2 35 acres in NE SE
						, ,	30 acres in NW1SE4
							Section 31,
							38 acres in NE2SE2 30 acres in NW2SE2
							40 acres in $SW_4^1SE_4^{\frac{1}{4}}$
					•		35 acres in SE4SE4
							Section 32, 13 acres in NE ₄ NE ₄
							25 acres in NE4SW4
					,		38 acres in NW1SW1
							25 acres in SWASWA 20 acres in SEASWA
							35 acres in NETSET
					Trsf-Sp.Or.V	61.5, p. 57A_	
							Section 33, 34 acres in NETNW:
							37 acres in NWANWA
					Treit-Sp. Or. Vo	15 - 500	32 acres in NWISWI
					- Up. Ur. Vo	1.0, p. 374 =-	-36 acres in $SW_4^{\overline{1}}SW_4^{\overline{1}}$ Section 34,
							T. 17 S. R. 14 E. W. M.
							DESCHUTES RIVER -122.
							<i>.</i> ✓
					_		

. **483**

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	. Description of Land or Place of Use
							175 156
Central Oregon Irrigation Dist.							25 acres in Lot 3(NW SW 1)
(continued):							28 acres in Lot 4(SW4SW4) Section 19,
							24 acres in Lot $3(NW_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1})$
•							28 acres in Lot $4(SW_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1})$ 40 acres in $SE_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1}$
							Section 30, T. 17 S. R. 15 E. W. M.
							8 acres in Lot $3(NW_4^1SW_4^1)$
						17513E	Section 7,
				. ,			37 acres in NETNWT
							36 acres in SW4NW4
y			 				Section 10, 34 acres in NW4NE4
· .							36 acres in SWINE
#*							35 acres in SELNEL 33 acres in NELNWL
					,		34 acres in $NW_{4}^{1}NW_{4}^{1}$
							34 acres in SW1W4 36 acres in SE1W4
							35 acres in NE ₄ SW ₄ 36 acres in NW ₄ SW ₄
							35 acres in $SW_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1}$
							33 acres in SE ₂ SW ₂ 37 acres in NW ₂ SE ₂
							37 acres in SW4SE4 Section 14.
				•			14 acres in $SW_{4}^{1}SE_{4}^{1}$
				T	rst. Sp. Or. Vo	1.3, p.103 -	Section 17, 35 acres in Lot $2(SW_4^1NW_4^1)$
						5. p. 193 -	25 acres in SEANWA
				_	Tref. Sp. Or.	Vol. 3, p. 103	\Rightarrow 25 acres in NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $$
· /5	Ac Trsf. Sp	.O. Val. 7 a	273		Mc. 11st. Sp. Or.	Vol. 5, p. 461	- 25 acres in Lot $4(SW_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1})$ - 35 acres in $NE_{4}^{1}SE_{4}^{1}$
	1 10 17	γ.			Traf. Sp. Or. Ve	1,2, p. 435 -	29 acres in NW4SE4 27 acres in SW4SE4
				4,3:	3 11 4	7, p. 258 —	20 acres in $SE_{4}^{1}SE_{5}^{1}$
							Section 18, 18 acres in NEINEI
				ئ	Ac Trefisp. Or V	1.7, p. 258 -	17 acres in NWANE
		,					15 acres in SWANEA 18 acres in SEANEA
,				10	A.Trsf:Sp.Or.	Vol.6, p. 423-	18 acres in NETNWT 20 acres in NWTNWT
·					•		9 acres in Swanwa
							25 acres in SEINWI 30 acres in NEISWI
•	-			16	Actirsf. Sp.C	r. Vol. 6, p. 226	- 20 acres in NW4SW4 22 acres in SW4SW4
	,						30 acres in SEASWA
				. ′	Ac. Irst. Sp.C	VO1. 6, p. 301	- 33 acres in NE ₄ SE ₄ 14 acres in NW ₄ SE ₄
							19 acres in SWLSEL 23 acres in SELSEL
							Section 19,
					Ac. " "	116,0.545	34 acres in NEINEI - 20 acres in NWINEI
							26 acres in SWINEI 31 acres in SEINEI
	18	Ac. Trsf. 2	p.or.V	1.5, p. 481 -	6 As Trof - Sp	Or. Vol. 4. p.49.	- 30 acres in NW NW
3 A.Tr. Spion V	17.58 - 5	<u> </u>	14 4/	50481 -	70 At Tref.	Sp Cr V=1.4p.24	24 acres in SWINWI 9AC 175f 27 acres in SEINWI 5,000 5,77
,					12 " "	45.49	1 or words in white
				425		1	20 acres in $NW_{\frac{1}{4}}SW_{\frac{1}{4}}$ 31 acres in $SW_{\frac{1}{4}}SW_{\frac{1}{4}}$
							31 acres in SEASWA 26 acres in NWASEA
				4	Ac. Trof: Sp.O.	Vol. 6, p. 309	- 31 acres in NE4SE4
				+	. ,. ,, ,, ,,	1. 1. 11	- 25 acres in $SE_{4}^{1}SE_{4}^{1}$ Section 20,
							175R 13E
·							
				484			DESCHUTES RIVER -123.
·				40-8			

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon		-		-		VV / / AA AA AA AA	175. 13E.
Irrigation Dist.					3 Ac. Trist: Sp. or	Val, 6, 1. 533	· ·
(continued):					3 Ac. Trist: Sp. Or.	. Vol. 6, 0. 438	32 acres in SWINWI
							31 acres in NE4SW4
							33 acres in SE4SW4 Section 21,
,							37 acres in NWANEA
1							15 acres in $SW_{4}^{1}NE_{4}^{1}$
							39 acres in NEINWI
							30 acres in NW4NW4
							25 acres in SE NW
							34 acres in SW4SW4
·					Trans See Si	Or Val. 2 12.4	Section 22,
				. ,	mans. or of	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	34 32 acres in NW NE 4 35 acres in SW NE 4 9 > 36 acres in NE NW 4
					Trans See Sp	Or. Vol. 3p. 2	9 > 36 acres in NETNW1
					· .		37 acres in NE4SW4 33 acres in NW4SE4
•							Section 23,
							10 acres in SEINVI
				·			10 acres in SE4SW4
							Section 25, 27 acres in NW4NW4
							16 acres in SW4NV
							28 acres in NE4SW4
							31 acres in NW4SW4
							26 acres in SWLSEL Section 27,
							28 scree in SHATUL
					9 Ac Trsf: Sp.C	r. Vol.7, p. 260	23 acres in NELSWI 25 acres in SWISWI 25 acres in SEISWI
	4	He Tr. Sp. O.	V21.7 6	260	e e Ton' Can S	20 4 1 4 0 H 85	25 acres in SW1SW2
	7,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	701.7.7		s As Iray -See Sp	100. Val. 4, po. 40,0	24 acres in NE4SE
							31 acres in NW4SE1
				-			28 acres in SE4SE4 Section 28,
].			Tr	ano, Sperivity	Plo3-20 acres in NW1NE4
							25 acres in NW4NW4
				,	A. Troff Sol	Dr. Val 6 0 36	25 acres in NW4SW4
		•		4	, NC. 112) Sp.		Section 29,
							25 acres in NE4NE4
							34 acres in NWINEL
							15 acres in SWANEA 30 acres in SEANEA
					5 Ac Trif. Sp.O.	c. Vol. 5 p +48	- 20 acres in NEINWI
					3 " " "	" 57.448	- 38 acres in NW-NW-
,					٠. ٠. ٠. م	50 448	30 acres in SWANWA 10 acres in SEANWA 15 acres in Nulswal
				,	s" ,, ,,	5, 448	- 30 acres in NW SW
				2.5	ASTrof Sp. Or.	101.4, p. 474	25 acres in SW4SW4
							25 some in Malord
				12	ASTISK - See	5p.Or.Vol. 4, p.	233 15 acres in NWISE 221-20 acres in SWISE 221-20 acres in SEASE
				20		4.0	221-20 acres in SWASE2
							Section 30
				24	4 AS Trof- See	5p.Or. Vol. 4p. 3	74 30 acres in NETNET
				2	5 At Tref- See Sp	o.Or.Vel.4p. 31	31 acres in NWINE 33 acres in SWINE
							30 acres in SEINE
	•						34 acres in $NE_{4}^{\perp}NW_{4}^{\perp}$
							15 acres in Lot 2(SW4NW4) 33 acres in SE4NW4
					2	1. Val. 6, p. 37	72 - 34 acres in NE-SW-
				/	5 AS Trsf - See	Sp Or. Vol. 4.p.	474 15 acres in Lot 3(NW1SW1)
•					1 10 to the free to the	10 Or. Val. A.	508 33 acres in Lot $4(SW_4SW_4^{-1})$ 48/C33 acres in $SE_4SW_4^{-1}$
				/	J 77 2 77 3 7 5 5 6 6 6	ا مرحد المحددات	15 acres in NETSE4
			,		AC 7-4 5	m Ou Val se ma	15 acres in NW-SE-
			,	15	AS Trif. Sp. Or	Vol. 4. 0.39	2/-15 acres in SWASE 3-15 acres in SEASE
						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Section 31.
							20 acres in $NE_{4}^{1}NE_{4}^{1}$
							18 acres in NWANE 27 acres in SWANE
					15 As Trist. 5	o.Or.Vol.4p.22	27 acres in SWINE 3 15 acres in SEINE 33 acres in NEINW
					3 ,, ,, ,,	. Yol, 6, p.311	33 acres in NEINWI
				485			DESCRIPTION TO
				,			DESCHUTES RIVER -124.

Contract Dregon Private Contract Con			η	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1		
Teriprised District [continued]: 10	Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Relative	Cubic Feet		Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Teripation District	Central Oregon						<u> </u>	
### 15	Irrigation District					10 Actrst: Sp.C	Pe. Vol. 6, p. 36	o - 34 acres in NWINW
### 15	(continued):					3 Ac Troft SpiOr	Val Lp. 311 -	32 acres in SWINWI
### 15	·					" " " "	·· + p. 103-	10 acres in NW2SW2
20 acres in Fig. 20 20 acres in Fig. 20 20 acres in Fig. 20 20 acres in Fig. 20 20 acres in Fig. 20 20 acres in Fig. 20 20 acres in Fig. 20 20 acres in Fig. 20 20 acres in Fig. 20 21 acres in Fig. 20 22 acres in Fig. 20 23 acres in Fig. 20 24 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 25 acres in Fig. 20 26 acres in Fig. 20 26 acres in Fig. 20 27 acres in Fig. 20 28 acres in Fig. 20 28 acres in Fig. 20 29 acres in Fig. 20 20 20 acres in Fig. 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2								37 acres in Shaswa
28 acres in Serger, or over the service of the serv								l 2 3
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##								25 acres in SWISE
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##								1 a
### A Provided Control of the Contro	·					13 Ac Trsf: Sp.Cr.	Vol. 6, p. 549	34 acres in NEANE
### A Provided Control of the Contro		12	A. Tref. Sp	Dr. Vol.	6.p.64	2 AS Trs 4-5	" 6, p. 569	32 acres in NEINWI
### A Provided Control of the Contro		2	He.Tr. Sp.	pr. Vo 1.	6 p 579	5 A . Trs f S	Or Val. 4 p. 216	→ 30 acres in NESW
### A Provided Control of the Contro						4,, ,,	11 11 6, 0, 569	31 acres in Swaswa
### 1997 1998		3		. , "	6, p. 579 -	: 10A & Trsf_Spl	V.Vol.4p.216	→ 35 acres in SE4SW4 Section 33.
Section 34, 17.5 18. 1						1 Ac. i	. 6, p. my	80 10 acres in SWASWA
7. 175. R. 13 5. W. K. 19 acres in Lot 1 (FERTEL) 30 acres in Lot 1 (FERTEL) 31 acres in Lot 2 (Wightel) 22 acres in Salvan, 23 acres in Salvan, 24 acres in Salvan, 25 acres in Salvan, 26 acres in Salvan, 27 acres in Salvan, 28 acres in Salvan, 29 acres in Salvan, 20 acres in Salvan, 29 acres in Salvan, 29 acres in Salvan, 29 acres in Salvan, 29 acres in Salvan, 29 acres in Salvan, 29 acres in Salvan, 29 acres in Salvan, 29 acres in Salvan, 20 acres in Salvan, 20 acres in Salvan, 21 acres in Salvan, 22 acres in Salvan, 23 acres in Salvan, 24 acres in Salvan, 25 acres in Salvan, 26 acres in Salvan, 27 acres in Salvan, 28 acres in Salvan, 29 acres in Salvan, 20 acres in No. 20 a						1	6 p. 246	29 acres in SWESE
30 acres in Lot 2 (Natural) 9 acres in Style 27 27 acres in Lot 3 (Najawa) 28 acres in Lot 4 (Najawa) 18 acres in Style 28 18 acres in Najawa 18 acres in Style 28 28 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 21 acres in Najawa 22 acres in Najawa 23 acres in Najawa 24 acres in Najawa 25 acres in Najawa 26 acres in Najawa 27 acres in Najawa 28 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 21 acres in Lot 1 (Najawa) 22 acres in Najawa 23 acres in Najawa 24 acres in Najawa 25 acres in Najawa 26 acres in Najawa 27 acres in Najawa 28 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 21 acres in Najawa 22 acres in Najawa 23 acres in Najawa 24 acres in Najawa 25 acres in Najawa 26 acres in Najawa 27 acres in Najawa 28 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 21 acres in Najawa 22 acres in Najawa 23 acres in Najawa 24 acres in Najawa 25 acres in Najawa 26 acres in Najawa 27 acres in Najawa 28 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 21 acres in Najawa 22 acres in Najawa 23 acres in Najawa 24 acres in Najawa 25 acres in Najawa 26 acres in Najawa 27 acres in Najawa 28 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 21 acres in Najawa 22 acres in Najawa 23 acres in Najawa 24 acres in Najawa								
30 acres in Lot 2 (Natural) 9 acres in Style 27 27 acres in Lot 3 (Najawa) 28 acres in Lot 4 (Najawa) 18 acres in Style 28 18 acres in Najawa 18 acres in Style 28 28 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 21 acres in Najawa 22 acres in Najawa 23 acres in Najawa 24 acres in Najawa 25 acres in Najawa 26 acres in Najawa 27 acres in Najawa 28 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 21 acres in Lot 1 (Najawa) 22 acres in Najawa 23 acres in Najawa 24 acres in Najawa 25 acres in Najawa 26 acres in Najawa 27 acres in Najawa 28 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 21 acres in Najawa 22 acres in Najawa 23 acres in Najawa 24 acres in Najawa 25 acres in Najawa 26 acres in Najawa 27 acres in Najawa 28 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 21 acres in Najawa 22 acres in Najawa 23 acres in Najawa 24 acres in Najawa 25 acres in Najawa 26 acres in Najawa 27 acres in Najawa 28 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 21 acres in Najawa 22 acres in Najawa 23 acres in Najawa 24 acres in Najawa 25 acres in Najawa 26 acres in Najawa 27 acres in Najawa 28 acres in Najawa 29 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 21 acres in Najawa 22 acres in Najawa 23 acres in Najawa 24 acres in Najawa							18512F	19 acres in Lot 1(NE-1NE-1)
27 acres in Lot 3 [Najawa] 25 acres in Lot 4 [Najawa] 28 acres in Signal 29 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 20 acres in Najawa 21 acres in Signal 22 acres in Signal 23 acres in Signal 24 acres in Signal 25 acres in Signal 26 acres in Signal 27 acres in Signal 28 acres in Signal 29 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 21 acres in Lot 4 [Najawa] 22 acres in Signal 23 acres in Livers 24 acres in Signal 25 acres in Signal 26 acres in Signal 27 acres in Signal 28 acres in Signal 29 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 21 acres in Lot 4 [Najawa] 22 acres in Signal 23 acres in Signal 24 acres in Signal 25 acres in Signal 26 acres in Najawa 27 acres in Signal 28 acres in Signal 29 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 21 acres in Signal 22 acres in Signal 23 acres in Signal 24 acres in Signal 25 acres in Signal 26 acres in Signal 27 acres in Signal 28 acres in Signal 29 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 22 acres in Signal 23 acres in Signal 24 acres in Signal 25 acres in Signal 26 acres in Signal 27 acres in Signal 28 acres in Signal 29 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 22 acres in Signal 23 acres in Signal 24 acres in Signal 25 acres in Signal 26 acres in Signal 27 acres in Signal 28 acres in Signal 29 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 21 acres in Signal 22 acres in Signal 23 acres in Signal 24 acres in Signal 25 acres in Signal 26 acres in Signal 27 acres in Signal 28 acres in Signal 29 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal 20 acres in Signal								30 acres in Lot 2(NWINEI)
16 acres in Suffrage 21 acres in Suffrage 35 acres in Telegraph 4 Activities of the								27 acres in Lot 3(NE NW1)
21 acres in Salver Socion 1, 25 acres in Televal 1, 24 acres in Salver 22 acres in Televal 20 acres in Televal 20 acres in Televal 20 acres in Televal 20 acres in Televal 20 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 22 acres in Televal 23 acres in Televal 24 acres in Televal 24 acres in Televal 25 acres in Televal 26 acres in Televal 26 acres in Televal 27 acres in Televal 27 acres in Televal 28 acres in Televal 29 acres in Televal 20 acres in Televal 20 acres in Televal 24 acres in Televal 25 acres in Tel								
23 acres in NE_NULL 24 p. 277 25 acres in NE_NULL 26 acres in Suppliab 26 acres in Suppliab 20 acres in NE_NULL 29 acres in NE_NULL 29 acres in NE_NULL 22 acres in NE_NULL 22 acres in NE_NULL 22 acres in NE_NULL 23 acres in NE_NULL 24 acres in NE_NULL 25 acres in NE_NULL 26 acres in NE_NULL 27 acres in NE_NULL 28 acres in NE_NULL 29 acres in NE_NULL 20 acres in Suppliab 30 acres in NE_NULL 31								21 acres in SELiv
26 acres in Salyman 20 acres in Null with 20 acres in Null with 22 acres in Null with 22 acres in Sull with 23 acres in Sull with 24 acres in Sull with 25							سد عدد ا	23 acres in NE NE
26 acres in Salyman 20 acres in Null with 20 acres in Null with 22 acres in Null with 22 acres in Sull with 23 acres in Sull with 24 acres in Sull with 25				'		1 Ac. TIS. 1 SPR	1. 1. 6, p.577	- 22 acres in NW4NE4 - 27 acres in SW4NE4
29 acres in New York 27 acres in New York 27 acres in Shifting 27 acres in Shifting 27 acres in Shifting 27 acres in Shifting 27 acres in Shifting 29 acres in New York 29 acres in New York 29 acres in New York 29 acres in New York 29 acres in New York 29 acres in Shifting 37 acres in Shifting 37 acres in Shifting 37 acres in Shifting 37 acres in Shifting 37 acres in New York 37 acres								26 acres in SEANE
27 acres in SERW\$ 22 acres in Not Service 27 acres in Not Service 28 acres in Not Service 29 acres in Not Service 37 acres in Not 1 (Not Not 1) 15 acres in Lot 2 (Not Not 1) 20 acres in Not 2 (Not Not 1) 20 acres in Not 2 (Not Not 1) 20 acres in Not 2 (Not 2) 21 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2							/ / /	29 acres in NWINWI
27 acres in NV_SW_2 29 acres in SW_SW_2 24 acres in NV_SB_2 24 acres in NV_SB_2 24 acres in NV_SB_2 24 acres in NV_SB_2 25 acres in Lot 2[NW_SW_2] 15 acres in Lot 2[NW_SW_2] 20 acres in SW_SW_2 25 acres in NE_SW_2 25 acres in NE_SW_2 25 acres in NE_SW_2 24 acres in SE_SW_2 24 acres in SE_SW_2 25 acres in NE_SW_2 25 acres in SE_SW_2 25 acres in SE_SSW_2 25 a						4 Ac. Trsf: Sp. Of	1001.6,p. 331-	
29 acres in Number 24 acres in Number 25 acres in Number 27 acres in Number 28 acres in Number 29 acres in Number 29 acres in Number 29 acres in Number 20 acres in N								
29 acres in Swisse Section 2, 37 acres in Lot 2 (Switch) 15 acres in Lot 2 (Switch) 15 acres in Lot 2 (Switch) 20 acres in Switch) 37 acres in Sching 35 acres in Sching 35 acres in Sching 35 acres in Sching 35 acres in Sching 35 acres in Sching 36 acres in Switch 37 acres in Sching 36 acres in Switch 37 acres in Sching 37 acres in Sching 37 acres in Switch 37 acres in Swi								29 acres in SWISW
37 acres in Lot 1 (MENNE) 16 acres in Lot 2 (NW NDE) 20 acres in SWNES 37 acres in NEWE 37 acres in NEWE 38 acres in NEWE 34 acres in SENE 35 acres in NEWE 36 acres in NEWE 36 acres in NEWE 37 acres in NEWE 38 acres in NEWE 39 acres in NEWE 30 acres in NEWE 30 acres in NEWE 30 acres in NEWE 31	,					40000	"6,p.535	- 29 acres in $SW_{4}^{1}SE_{4}^{1}$
15 acres in Lot 2 (NW_NE_) 20 acres in SW_NE_5 37 acres in SW_NE_5 37 acres in SW_NE_5 35 acres in NE_5 SE_5 36 acres in NE_5 SE_5 36 acres in NE_5 SE_5 37 ac	,							
37 acres in SE_NE_ 35 acres in NE_SE_ 36 acres in NE_SE_ 37 acres in NE_SE_ 38 acres in NE_NE_ 28 acres in SE_NE_ 29 acres in SE_NE_ 20 acres in NE_NE_ 20 acres in NE_NE_ 21								15 acres in Lot $2(NW_4^{1}NE_4^{1})$
34 acres in SECTION 3, 28 acres in NEXTENDA 24 acres in NEXTENDA 24 acres in SECTION 10, T. 18 S. R. 12 E. W. M. 30 % Traf. 3p. 0r. Vol. 5, p. 293 30 acres in NEXTENDA 30 acres in NEXTENDA 31 acres in SECTION 10, T. 18 S. R. 12 E. W. M. 20 acres in NEXTENDA 30 acres in NEXTENDA 31 acres in NEXTENDA 31 acres in NEXTENDA 31 acres in NEXTENDA 32 acres in SECTION 32 25 acres in NEXTENDA 32 30 acres in NEXTENDA 32 30 acres in NEXTENDA 33 acres in NEXTENDA 34 acres in NEXTENDA 35 acres in NEXTENDA 36 acres in NE								37 acres in SEINEI
28 acres in NE NEL 24 acres in SE NEL Section 10, T. 18 S. R. 12 E. W. M. 20 acres in NE NEL 30 acres in NE NEL 25								35 acres in NESSES 34 acres in SESSES
22								Section 3, 28 acres in NEINEL
T. 18 S. R. 12 E. W. M. 20 acres in NEINE; 30 acres in NVNE; 25						22	· 7p. 301 -	~ 24 acres in $SE_4^{\frac{1}{4}NE_4^{\frac{1}{4}}}$
30 Acres in NW NE 25 Acres in SW NW 25 Acres in SW NW 25 Acres in SW NW 25 Acres in SW NW 25 Acres in SW NW 25 Acres in SW NW 26 Acres in SW NW 27 Acres in NW NW 28 Acres in NW NW 28 Acres in NW NW 28 Acres in NW NW 28 Acres in NW NW 28 Acres in NW NW 28 Acres in NW NW 28 Acres in NW NW 28 Acres in NW NW 28 Acres in NW NW 28 Acres in NW NW 28 Acres in NW NW 28 Acres in NW 28								
25							,,,,,	20 acres in NEINEI
25						30 No. Trs. f. Sp. Or.	· 5, p. 293	25 acres in SWINE
24 acres in NVINVI 30								
22 acres in SEANWA 30 acres in NEASWA 30 acres in NEASWA 30 acres in NEASWA 30 acres in SEANWA 30 acres in NEASWA 28 acres in SEASWA 28 acres in NEASWA 38 acres in NEASWA 20 acres in SWASEA 38 acres in SEASEA 38 acres in SEASEA 38 acres in SEASEA Section 1, DESCHUTES RIVER -125.						24	· 5, p. 293	24 acres in NVINVI
30 adres in NWASWA 36 acres in SWASWA 28 acres in SEASWA 38 acres in NWASEA 20 acres in SWASEA 20 acres in SWASEA 38 acres in SEASEA 38 acres in SEASEA 38 acres in SEASEA Section 1, DESCHUTES RIVER -125.						22	5, p. 293	22 acres in SE4NW4
36 acres in SWISWI 28 acres in SEISWI 38 acres in NEISEI 38 acres in NWISEI 20 acres in SWISEI 38 acres in SWISEI 38 acres in SWISEI 38 acres in SEISWI 38 acres in SWISEI 38 acres in SEISWI 38 acres in SEISWI 38 acres in SWISEI 38 acres in S								30 acres in NE4SW4
38 acres in NELSEL 38 acres in SWISEL 20 acres in SWISEL 38 acres in SELSEL Section 1, DESCHUTES RIVER -125.								36 acres in S₩ ¹ S₩ ¹
20 acres in Swissing Section 1, DESCHUTES RIVER -125.							,	38 acres in NE4SE4
Section 1, DESCHUTES RIVER -125.								20 acres in SWISE
DESCHUTES RIVER -125.			4					
400								-
					486			

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		18513E
Irrigation Dist. (continued):				'All +	rs'f-sp.or.Vo	1. 3, p. 346	25 acres in NE4NE4 20 acres in SWANE4 20 acres in SE4NE4 20 acres in SE4NE4
4							20 acres in NE NW 25 acres in NW NW 20 acres in SW NW 20 acres in SE NW 2
	5	Ac. trsf. Sp	.Or. Vol. 6	20 20/63 - 5 30	Ac. Tisf . 3p.Oi do do	Vol. 3, p. 81	20 acres in NE4SW4 30 acres in NW4SW4 30 acres in SW4SW4 30 acres in SE5SW4
				25,	95 Trst - Sp.Or	Vol. 3. p. 346	25 acres in NW4SE4 25 acres in SW4SE4 25 acres in SE4SE4 Section 2
	-			36 7 20	#ETrs4-5p.0	r. Vol. 3, p. 211 · 6, p. 303 Vol. 5 p. 400	36 acres in NETNET
				·			25 acres in SENE 25 acres in NE SW4 25 acres in NW SW4 25 acres in SW SW4 25 acres in SE SW4 25 acres in NE SE
	Ac .Trs", -5;	or. Vol. 5	, P. 46				25 acres in NW\(\frac{1}{2}\) 25 acres in SW\(\frac{1}{2}\) 25 acres in SE\(\frac{1}{2}\) Section 3, 26 acres in Lot 1(NE\(\frac{1}{2}\)NE\(\frac{1}{4}\)
				31 A	e Trsf-Vol.3,p	450	35 acres in Lot 2(NW1NE1) 23 acres in SW1NE1 31 acres in SE1NE1 (33 acres in Lot 3(NE1NW1)
				20A6 77 20A6 77 20A6 77 30 " 22 - 34	see Sp.Or.	(01. 4, p. 481A r. Vol. 4 p. 35.	32 acres in Lot 4(NW4NW4) 34 acres in SE4NW4 30 acres in NE4SW4 32 acres in SE4SW4 34 acres in SW4SE4
				32 ". 31 "	"	. 3 p. 450 . 3 p. 450 .	Section 4, 32 acres in Lot 1(NE NE 1) 31 acres in Lot 2(NW NE 1) 25 acres in SW NE 1 20 acres in Lot 3(NE 1 NW 1)
		·		1.3 A. 30 ··	c.Trsf-Sp.Or.	6, p. 364_	34 acres in Lot 4(NW4NW4) 33 acres in SW4NW4 30 acres in SE4NW4
				.30 4 2. 3	Ac. Tr's f Sp.C	. 6,p.364 . 6,p.362	- 30 acres in SW-SW- - 25 acres in SE-SW- - 17 acres in SW-SE- - 17 acres in SW-SE- Section 5,
				51	ic.Trsit.Sp.Or.Vo	1. 5, p. 520 -	25 acres in Swine!
				1 25 46	Trisf. Vol. 3 po		Section 6, -38 acres in $NW_{4}^{1}NW_{4}^{1}$ Section 8.
	2 Ac Trsf, - 5	p, Or, Vol. 5	p. 469	23 H=	Trsf. Vol. 4. p.	ge 440 -	- 35 acres in NEANEL 35 acres in NWANEL - 25 acres in SWANEL - 35 acres in SEANEL - 30 acres in NEANWA 30 acres in NWANWA
·				10 Ac	5 _. pa	a 350	30 acres in SWINWI 20 acres in SEINWI 20 acres in NEISEI 25 acres in NWISEI Section 10,
							DESCHUTES RIVER -126.

		7			,		
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon Irrigation Dist. (continued):	,	,-	,		Actroft Sp. Or	vol. 6, p. 448 - 6, p. 448. 4, p. 168	185 135 30 acres in NE NE 35 acres in NW NE 4 35 acres in SW NE 4
					30 de 15 Vol. 30 A. Trsf. Vo 30 Ac do	5, p. 29.3 1.4, p. 168	30 acres in SEANE 15 acres in NEANWA 30 acres in NWANWA 30 acres in SWANWA
					35 Ac. do	•	35 acres in SEANWA 30 acres in NEASWA 25 acres in NWASWA Section 11, 31 acres in NEANEA
							25 agres in NWINE 38 acres in SWINE 33 acres in SEINE 27 acres in NEINWI
	_						25 acres in NW NW 35 acres in SW NW 35 acres in SE NW 33 acres in NE SW 32 acres in NW SW 32
					20 Ac Traf. Sp. 5 Ac Traf Sp	Or. Val. 5, p. 139	8 acres in SW SW 20 acres in SE SW 2 20 acres in NE SE 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
						5,7,.27	15 acres in SETSET Section 12, T. 18 S. R. 13 E. W. M.
							30 acres in SW-NW-4 40 acres in NW-2SW-4 Section 3, 25 acres in SW-2NE-4 40 acres in SE-2NE-4
					20 1. 1	6,0.527-	15 acres in SW_NW 20 acres in SE_NW 20 acres in NE_SW 20 acres in NW_SW 20 acres in SW_SW
							30 acres in SE SW 4 40 acres in NE SE 4 ≥ 25 acres in NW 4 SE 4 35 acres in SW 4 SE 4 ≥ 20 acres in SE 4 SE 4
,							Section 4, 35 acres in Lot 3(NE4NW4) 25 acres in Lot 4(NW4NW4) 38 acres in Sw4NW4 40 acres in SE4NW4
		*			STASTIST VOL.	3. p. 211	Section 5, 37 acres in NE4SE4 31 acres in NW4SE4 25 acres in SW4SE4
			•				Section 6, 33 acres in NE NE - 40 acres in Swing (16 Troj. Sp. Or.
					10 " " "	5, p. 350	26 acres in NEINWI 9 acres in Lot 1 (NWINWI) 6 acres in Lot 2 (SWINWI)
					6 Ac Trif Sp.	on. Vol. 3, p. 3, 6, p. 40 or Vol. 4p. 251	29 acres in SE4NW4 10 acres in NE4SW4 -6 acres in Lot 3(NW4SW4) 6-39 acres in NE4SE4 - 36 acres in NW4SE4
·.							30 acres in SWASEA 39 acres in SEASEA Section 7, 25 acres in NEANEA 30 acres in NWANEA
		·			3 Ac. trs.f. Sp. 0	1. V. 6, p 267	25 acres in SW_NET 35 acres in SE_NET 30 acres in NETSWT 40 acres in SW_SWT 28 acres in SE_SWT DESCHIPTES RIVER
, ,				488	Ac trsf-Sp.O.	v. 6, p.267	- 28 acres in SE ₂ SW ₄ DESCHUTES RIVER

to the second se							
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon Irrigation Dist. (continued):				35	pc. Trsf-Sp.C	Or. Vol. 5, p. 29	40 acres in NELSEL 25 acres in NWASEL 30 acres in SWASEL 35 acres in SELSEL Section 8, 10 acres in NELNWA 40 acres in NWANWA 35 acres in SWANWA 40 acres in SWANWA 40 acres in SWANWA 5-35 acres in SWANWA 5-35 acres in SWANWA 5-35 acres in SWASWA
					Transferred D.Or. Vol. 1p. 460	Capital Control Inc. 1999	8 acres in Swawa 8 acres in SE2NW4 Section 9, 10 acres in NW2NE4 6 acres in SW4NE4
					ronsfarred. Sp. Or Vol. 1p. 324 also Vol. 1p. 528 Transf. Sp. O.		Section 19, 5 acres in NE4NE4 8 acres in SE4NE4 9 acres in NE4NW4 Section 20, 3 acres in NW4NW4
			,				2 acres in NELSWA 3 acres in SWASWA Section 21, 4.18 acres in SEANE Section 23, 10 acres in NWASWA 3 acres in SWASWA Section 24, 6.15 acres in NELNE 2 acres in NWANE 3.2 acres in SWANE Section 26,
					·	·	Section 27, 6 acres in NWANEA Section 28, 3 acres in NEASWA 7 acres in SWASWA 3 acres in SEASWA Section 29, 2.8 acres in SWASWA 4 acres in NEASWA Section 34, T. 14 S. R. 13 E. W. A.
•	· •					1551215	2 acres in SWANWA 13 acres in SEANWA Section 12, 10 acres in NEASWA 12 acres in NWASEA 10 acres in SWASEA Section 13, 4 acres in SWANEA 1 acre in SEANEA Section 24, T. 15 S. R. 12 E. W.
		·		489			DESCHUTES RIVER *128.

		-					
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Central Oregon Irr. Dist. (continued):						St	6 acres in Lot 3(NEINWI) 1.2 acres in Lot 4(NWINWI) Services in Lot 1(NEINEI) 2 acres in Lot 1(NEINEI)
			,			,	13 acres in SWANEA 3 acres in SWASEA Section 5, 3 acres in NEANWA 4 acres in NWANWA 19 acres in SWANWA 7 acres in SEANWA
4							Section 8, 4 acres in SW\(\frac{1}{4}\)Sw\(\frac{1}{4}\) Section 9, 6 acres in SE\(\frac{1}{4}\)Sw\(\frac{1}{4}\) Section 10, 7 acres in SW\(\frac{1}{4}\)NE\(\frac{1}{4}\)
					. ,		Section 17, 5 acres in SW1NE1 Section 18, 11 acres in NE1SE1 4 acres in SW1SE2 Section 20, 11 acres in SE1NW1
					,	165 12E	Section 21, 8 acres in SE ₄ SW ₄ Section 31, T. 15 S. R. 13 E. W. M. 8 acres in NE ₄ SE ₄
				-		17512E	Section 23, T. 16 S. R. 12 E. W. M. 6 acres in SE ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄ Section 27,
						15314E	4 acres in SE4SW4 Section 11, 6 acres in NE4SW4
							Section 15, 6 acres in SE1NE1 6 acres in NE1SE1 14 acres in SE1SE1 Section 28, T. 15 S. R. 14 E. W. M.
						165 14 E	3 acres in Lot 2(SWINE1) 8 acres in Lot 1(SEINE1) 8 acres in Lot 3(SEINW1) 20 acres in NE1SW1 Section 4, 12 acres in NW1NW1 Section 9,
						175 13 E	T. 16 S. R. 14 E. W. M. 10 acres in NEINEI 10 acres in SEINEI 8 acres in SEINWI 6 acres in SWISEI 8 acres in SEISEI
	5 Ac "Excess			,			Section 19, 11 acres in NWLSEL Section 30, T. 17 S. R. 13 E. W. M.
	11 As of "ex see Sp. Cr. Vo. 245 acres concelled, page 168	of Exce	ss Acre	25		Gr. Vol 2p. 39	acres as described under the right of the C.O.I. District.
, ,	14 "Excess Sp. Or. Vol. 26" Excess Sp. Or. Vol. 2	acres" +r a.p. 291 Acres' ca	is f Se	·	acres, See file No gacThan	P-20 0. Vof. 4, P. 29	being irrigable acreage in excess of that for which water rights have been pur-
	IAS Excess				9	6, p. 382	DESCHUTES RIVER-129

Name and Postoffice Address	Date of	Amount Cubic Feet	Number				
of Appropriator	Relative Priority	Cubic Feet Per Second	Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Piace of Use
Chastain, W. O. Maupin, Ore. (Proof #163)	1895		2	Irrigation	Water wheel, pump & pipe line	Oak Springs in SE4SW4, Sec. 17, T. 4 S. R.14EWM	2 acres in SW4SE4 Section 18, T. 4 S. R. 14 E. W. M.
Cline Falls Power Co., 500 Journal Bldg., Portland, Ore. (Proof #6)	Feb. 2, 1892	14.17	800	Irrigation		Deschutes River	40 acres in SW1NE1 40 acres in SE1NE1 160 acres in the SE1 Section 10, 40 acres in NE1NE1
(NOTE: This right is inchoate. See Paragraph 43, p.62) See S	page 13	ding"				40 acres in Swanea 25 acres in Seanea 40 acres in Swanwa 40 acres in Seanwa 160 acres in the Swa 35 acres in Nwasea 25 acres in Swasea Section 11, 20 acres in Nwanwa Section 12, 15 acres in Nwanwa 40 acres in Nwanwa Section 14,
							40 acres in NETNET 40 acres in NWTNET
					-		Section 15, T. 15 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
change in place of use approved. 5p Or. Vol. 4, p. 270	Feb. 2, 1892 Power C/	90 aim #60	9	Power for generation of electricity		Deschutes River	Power plant situated in SW4SE4 Section 11, T. 15 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
Columbia Valley Power Company, 403 NW Bk Bldg., Portland, Ore. (Proof #8-A)	Mar. 11, 1908	3500		Power	,	Deschutes River	Power plant to be located at "Pelton Site" about 4 miles below mouth of Metolius River.
(Proof #8-B)	Apr. 21, 1908	3500		Power Clar	À 6°°	Deschutes River	Power plant to be located at "Metolius Site" just below mouth
(NOTE: These rights are inchoate. See Paragraph 48, p. 7							of Metolius River.
٩						,	•
Corbett, Henry L. Corbett Bldg., Portland, Ore.	1888		60	Irrigation, domestic and stock	Corbett	Jack Creek	35 acres in SW1SW4 25 acres in SE46W4 Section 27,
(See Paragraph 58, page 87.)							T. 12 S. R. 9 E. W. M.
page 01.							
						,	
							DESCHUTES RIVER -130.

Name and Postoffice Address	Date of Relative	Amount	Number	Tra-	Name of Ditch	Streem	Description of Land or Place of Use
of Appropriator	Relative Priority	Cubic Feet Per Second	Acres'	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	
Crook County Improve- ment District No. 1. Redmond, Ore. (Proof #9)	Dec. 2, 1907		2520	Irrigation	North and Pilot Butte canals,	Deschutes River	81.3 acres in NE_4^1 Section 13, T. 13 S. R. 13 E. W. M.
(NOTE: This right is inchoate. See					Crooked River syphon,		7.7 acres in SWASEA 27.9 acres in SEASEA Section 33,
special provisions of Paragraph 39, page 53.)					Lone Pine Canal		T. 13 S. R. 14 E. W. M. 17.41 acres in $NE_{4}^{1}NE_{4}^{1}$
(Time extended to 10/1/38 to Complete. See Sp. Un Vol. 2, P. 4 (Time Ext. to 10-1-40- Sp. Wrder Vol. 3-P. 280)	89)						18.69 acres in NW NE4 40 acres in SW NE4 31.4 acres in SE4NE 9.5 acres in NE4NW4
T. Et to 10-1-40-				14 - 5/			4 acres in NWINWI 40 acres in SWINWI 40 acres in SEINWI
Sp. Weder Vol. 3-8.280)							160 acres in the Swith 13.4 acres in NELSEL 40 acres in NWLSEL
							39.5 acres in SWASEA 6.3 acres in SEASEA Section 4,
							2.3 acres in NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE
							23.7 acres in SE SW 160 acres in the SE 2 Section 5.
	'						16.9 acres in SEANEA 7.8 acres in NEASWA 4.5 acres in SWA
							34.9 acres in SE2SW2 40 acres in NE2SE2 39.5 acres in SW2SE2
		·			•		40 acres in SE ₄ SE ₄ 30.9 acres in NW ₂ SE ₄ Section 7, 160 acres in the NE ₄
							40 acres in NE ₁ NW ₂ 13.7 acres in NW ₄ NW ₄ 27.4 acres in SW ₄ NW ₄
							40 acres in SE4NW4 160 acres in the SW4 40 acres in NEASE4
,				į			40 acres in SW\(\frac{1}{4}\)SE\(\frac{1}{4}\) 40 acres in SE\(\frac{1}{4}\)Section 8,
							10.8 acres in NW NE 37.6 acres in NE NW 140 acres in NW NW 140
							40 acres in SWANWA 15.3 acres in SEANWA 2 acres in NE SWA 39 acres in NW SWA
							28.4 acres in Sw\(\frac{1}{2}\)Sw\(\frac{1}{2}\)Sw\(\frac{1}{2}\)Section 9, 18 acres in N\(\frac{1}{2}\)N\(\frac{1}{4}\)
							15.7 acres in SWANWA 14.1 acres in NWASWA Section 16,
							40 acres in NEINEI 40 acres in NWINEI 39.6 acres in SWINEI
							38.7 acres in SENNET 40 acres in NETNWE 37.7 acres in NWINWE 28.9 acres in SWINWE
			1				40 acres in SEANWA 38.6 acres in NEASWA 4.8 acres in NWASWA
							29.5 acres in SEASWA 7.7 acres in NEASEA 38.7 acres in NWASEA
				100			29 acres in SWASEL Section 17,
			/	492			DESCHUTES RIVER -131.

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cublc Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Crook County Improve- ment Dist. #1. (continued):					. ,		31.4 acres in NEANEA 24 acres in NWANEA
							19.7 acres in NETNWA 21.4 acres in NW NW
							Section 18, 30 acres in NW NET 14.2 acres in SW NET 15.7 acres in NET NW
							5.5 acres in SE NW 2 Section 20, T. 14 S. R. 14 E. W. M.
Deen Edward F				. ,			
Dean, Edward F. Tumalo, Ore. (Proof #99)	April 1905		2	Irrigation	Laidlaw Townsite Company	Deschutes River	Lots 2, 11 and 12 in Block 6, in the Town- site of Laidlaw, now Tumalo,
		,			,		Section 31, T. 16 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
Dee, John W.			•				
Tee, John W. Grizzly, Ore. (Proof #60)	1894		12.01	Irrigation	South Side Ditch	Willow Creek	.92 acre in NE4NW4 5.81 acres in NW4NW4 .28 acre in SW4NW4 5 acres in SE4NW4 Section 27,
300X (Proof #61)	1894		33.8	Irrigation	Willow Creek North	Willow Creek	T. 12 S. R. 15 E. W. M. 11. acres in NE 1 NV 2 20 acres in NV 2 NV 2 2.7 acres in SE 1 NV 2
					Side Ditch		Section 27, T. 12 S. R. 15 E. W. M.
Deschutes County Municipal Improve- ment District, Tumalo, Ore. (Proof #10)	Mar.20, 1911	35,000 ac.ft. per yr.	14,884	Irrigation	Deschutes River Feed Canal	Crescent Creek Storage & direct flow	New right for 7076.23 acres, and supplemental right for 7808 acres, in Townships 15, 16 & 17, South, Ranges 11 & 12 E. W.M.
. ,	(Rights to			under Permits I	102 and 62	4. See	715 frs: Vol. 5 p. 1 " 5 p. 84 V. 16 p. 189-23 ac. 4
Transe Extended to 10-	1905 1-38 to Co	$9\frac{1}{2}$	ser spic	Irrigation 21. Vol. 2-p.489 "Vol. 3-0.290	Deschutes River Feed Canal	Deschutes River	To be used on lands in said District as above indicated.
11 70 8-1-43	(SEE spec	ial prov	isions	of Paragraph	1, page 26.)	
1-1-46	5 p. 171 5 p. 391 6 p. 189						
Deschutes Falls Power Company, Carson City, Nev. by Veazie & Veazie, Attorneys, Portla	1871	,		Domestic and stock	pipe line	Spring in NW4SW4 of Sec.27, T. 3 S.R. 14 E.W.M.	Used in connection with claimant's property in SE ¹ / ₄ of Sec. 34, T. 3 S. R. 14 E. W. M.
Oregon. (Proof #11)	Jan. 8,	5,000		Power		Deschutes River	To be used in power plant, dam for which is to be located in
Power Claim	(SEE spec	ial prov	isions	of Paragraph 4	16, page 74.)	Sec. 3, T. 4 S. R. 14 E. W. M.
					,		
							DESCHUTES RIVER -132.

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Us
eschutes Reclamation Irrigation Company, Bend, Oregon.	Sept.1, 1899	125	6638	Irrigation	Swalley Canal	Deschutes River	9.7 acres in NELNEL Section 36,
(Proof #12)							8 acres in $SE_{4}^{1}SE_{4}^{1}$ Section 35, T. 15 S. R. 12 E. W. M
	(NOTE:	This righ	at is i	nchoate. See	mnecial		1 acre in SWANWA 18.2 acres in NEASEA
		ons of Pa	ragrap	h 35, page 37.)		1.6 acres in NWASEA
Time totando	t- 10 - 1-39	to comp	lete-	See Sa 16, Dol.	2-8499		38.1 acres in SE4SE4 Section 1,
h h	11 10-1-40	h . "	1016-	4 . " "	3 P. 280		4.5 acres in $NE_4^1SE_4^1$ Section 2,
, ,	10-1-41	/i .		See Sp. Co. Vol.	4-17-44 4 p. 155		2 acres in SEINE 3 acres in NE 2 SE 4
see order record	V. VOI. 12,	page 28	for				Section 10, 6 acres in SW4SW4
correct descri							Section 11, 2.1 acres in $NE_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}NW_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}$
	to 6-1-43.	1	lete.	Spar Nol. 4, F.	245		3.8 acres in NW4NW4 Section 12,
	6.30.49			· '	393		12 acres in NE NW4
	6.3. 49			6.	189		19.9 acres in SW4NW4
							1.5 acres in $SW_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1}$ Section 14.
							27.3 acres in $NE_4^2NE_4^2$ 13.4 acres in $SW_4^4NE_4^2$
,							16.5 acres in SEANE 15.1 acres in SEANWA
							2 acres in $NW_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1}$ Section 15.
							10.4 acres in $SE_{4}^{-}NE_{4}^{-}$ 30.3 acres in $SE_{4}^{-}SW_{4}^{-}$
							12.7 acres in NE SE 26.5 acres in SW SE 2
				·			3.8 acres in SE SE Section 16,
							4.8 acres in NE NE 20 acres in SWINE 4
							35.2 acres in SETNEZ 35.1 acres in NELSE
							16.2 acres in NW4SE4 Section 20,
		,					34.1 acres in NE4NW4
							20.8 acres in SWINWI
1							25.5 acres in SW1SW4 Section 21,
·							1 acre in $NW_{4}^{1}NW_{4}^{1}$ 4 acres in $SE_{4}^{2}SW_{4}^{2}$
							Section 23, 19.4 acres in $NW_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1}$
			-				3 acres in Swiswig Section 26,
							16.6 acres in $SW_{4}^{1}NE_{4}^{1}$ 4 acres in $SE_{4}^{2}NW_{4}^{2}$
							24.8 acres in NEASE 30.2 acres in NWASE4
							15 acres in SWISE
							Section 27,
							37.5 acres in NW NW
							2 acres in NE SW 31.5 acres in NW SW 4
• .							10.5 acres in SWISWI
							17.5 acres in SWASEA 1 acre in SEASEA
							Section 28, 39.2 acres in SELNEL
9. 1							39.2 acres in NE4SE4
							Section 29,

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Üše	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Deschutes Reclama- tion & Irr. Co. (continued):						,	0.5 acres in SWANEA 6.7 acres in SEANEA 2.6 acres in NEASEA 1.1 acres in NWASEA Section 32, 1.5 acres in NEANEA 13.1 acres in NWANEA
							0.2 acre in SWINEI 24.3 acres in NEINWI 6.6 acres in SWINWI 13.3 acres in SEINWI 16 acres in SEISWI 13.9 acres in NEISEI 2.5 acres in NWISEI 0.4 acre in SEISEI Section 33, 1 acre in NWINEI
							Section 34, T. 16 S. R. 12 E. W. M. 11.2 acres in NELSW4 4.9 acres in NWSW4 3 acres in SW4SW4 6 acres in SE4SW4
							Section 3, 1.8 acres in NW1NE1 3.8 acres in SW1NE2 12 acres in NW2NW2 8 acres in SW2NW2 8.4 acres in SE2NW2 5.5 acres in NW2SE1 8.2 acres in SW2SE1
					,		5.2 acres in SEASEA Section 4, 16.1 acres in NEANEA 20.3 acres in NWANEA 28.9 acres in SWANEA 6 acres in NEANWA 2 acres in NWANWA 9.7 acres in SWANWA 17.6 acres in SEANWA 12 acres in NEASWA
				•			4.9 acres in NW4SW4 11 acres in SW4SW4 15 acres in SE4SW4 5 acres in NW4SE4 1.2 acres in SW4SE4 Section 5, 35 acres in NE4NE4 20 acres in NW4NE4 10.5 acres in SE4SW4
							2.7 acres in SWASEA Section 7, 27.4 acres in NEANEA 5.2 acres in NWANEA 23.4 acres in SWANEA 30.1 acres in SEANWA 7.3 acres in SWASWA 9.1 acres in SWASWA 20 acres in SEANWA
			·	· -			30 acres in NE SET 17.3 acres in NW SET 30 acres in SW SET 9.4 acres in SET SET Section 8, 1.9 acres in NE NET 21 acres in NW NET 22.4 acres in SW NET 5.9 acres in SET NET
				· .			4.6 acres in NWINWI 12.8 acres in SWINWI 8.4 acres in SEINWI 12 acres in NWISWI 28.6 acres in SWISWI Section 9,

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Deschutes Reclamation & Irrigation Co. (continued):		To be be					26 acres in NW4SW4
-							Section 14, 12.3 acres in SW1SW1 Section 16,
							10 acres in NE NE 10 acres in NW NE 10 acres in SW NE 1
•							15.5 acres in NETNWT 6.9 acres in NWTNWT 7 acres in SETNWT
							28,5 acres in NE SW 2 6 acres in NW 25W 2 2 acres in SW 25W 2 5 acres in SE 5W 2
				±"			16.3 acres in NW4SE4 0.3 acres in SW4SE4
							Section 17, 0.5 acre in NW1NE1 22.5 acres in NE1NW1
							10.1 acres in NV2NV2 0.3 acre in SW2NV2 9.9 acres in SE2NV2
							4.2 acres in NE SW 1.1 acres in NW SW 2 3 acres in SE SW 2
					,		7.9 acres in NE SE 10.1 acres in NW SE 16.3 acres in SW SE 17.4 ac
							3.4 acres in SE4SE4 Section 18, 8.1 acres in SW4NE4 13.7 acres in SE4NE4
							3.9 acres in NE NW 25 acres in NW NW 25 acres in NW NW 2
							7.2 acres in SE4NW2 24 acres in NE4SE4 0.5 acre in NW4SE4
							14.3 acres in $SE_4^2SE_4^2$ Section 21, 1.2 acres in $SW_4^4NE_4^2$
							12.4 acres in SETNET 18.2 acres in SWINWI 13.6 acres in NWISWI
					•		11.7 acres in SWISWI 32.6 acres in NEISEI 13.6 acres in NWISEI
. ,		Q.	en de	and to City	of Redor	6 mg 48	Section 22, 10.2 acres in NW1NE1 24.2 acres in NE1NW1 Section 27
				,		1 × 6 7	Section 27, T. 17 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
Dizney, Gillis O.	1902		6	Irrigation	Water	Deschutes	6 server in Let 19/cyclem1)
Madras, Ore. (Proof #55)	•				wheel	River	6 acres in Lot $12(SW_{4}^{1}SE_{4}^{1})$ Section 1, T. 10 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
Digney John T							
N Dizney, John T. Madras, Ore. (Proof #54)	1902		6.3	Irrigation	Water wheel	Deschutes River	0.8 acre in $SE_{4}^{1}SE_{4}^{1}$ Section 36, T. 9 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
							5.5 acres in Lot 8 (NE ₄ ¹ NE ₄ ¹) Section 1,
Likeling of the state of the st							T. 10 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
						*	DESCHUTES RIVER -135.
				496			
·							

	D		1			·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Farre, Soren, Gateway, Ore. (Proof #49)	From Spring in 1905; From River in 1907		9.5	Irrigation, Power and domestic	Centrifu- gal pump & small ditch from spring	Deschutes River & Spring in SW4, Sec. 10, T. 8 S.R. 14 E. W. M.	1.8 acres in SELSEL Section 9, 7.5 acres in SWLSWL Section 10, 0.2 acre in NWLNWL Section 15, T. 8 S. R. 14 E. W. M.
Fogle, Antone Grizzly, Ore. (Proof #63)	1875		25	Irrigation	Natural flooding & North Side Ditch	Willow Creek and Warner Spring	3.2 acres in SEINWI 8.7 acres in NEISWI 2.5 acres in SWINEI 4.6 acres in NWISEI 1.3 acres in SEINEI 4.7 acres in NEISEI T. 12 S. R. 15 E. W. M.
	1886		14.1	Irrigation	South Side	Willow Creek	0.5 acre in NE SE 4 4.2 acres in NW SE 4 4.8 acres in NE SW 4 2.3 acres in NW SW 4 2.3 acres in SW 4NW 4 Section 26, T. 12 S. R. 15 E. W. M.
	1895		14.9	Irrigation	North Side	Willow Creek	2.7 acres in SEINEI 1.3 acres in NEISEI 5.6 acres in NWISEI 1.6 acres in NWISWI 3.7 acres in SWINWI Section 26, T. 12 S. R. 15 E. W. M.
(Proof #62)	1904		6.2	Irrigation	Montgomery	Willow Creek	5.8 acres in $SW_{4}^{1}NE_{4}^{1}$ 0.4 acre in $NW_{4}^{1}NE_{4}^{1}$ Section 27, T. 12 S. R. 15 E. W. M.
Frohnhofer, Fritz Grizzly, Ore. (Proof #68)	1908		2	Irrigation	Small ditches	Springs trib. to Coon Creek	2 acres in SW4NE4 Section 18, T. 12 S. R. 16 E. W. M.
Frohnhoefer, Henry Grizzly, Ore. (Proof #69)	1905 1908		9	Irrigation	Small ditches	Springs trib. to Coon Creek	2 acres in SELSEL Section 7, 4 acres in NWASWA Section 8, 4 acres in NELNEL Section 18, T. 12 S. R. 16 E. W. M.
Greene, Mrs. L. A. Maupin, Ore. (Proof #38)	1906		12.1	Irrigation	wheel	Two springs located in NW4SW4, Sec. 32, T. 4 S. R. 14 E.W.M.	7.9 acres in SW4NE4 1.5 acres in NE4SW4 2.5 acres in NW4SW4 0.2 acre in NW4SE4 Section 32, T. 4 S. R. 14 E. W. M.
							DESCHUTES RIVER -136.

Section 1876	Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
1.1 1.2 1.2 1.3	Madras, Ore. (Proof #25)			48.37	Irrigation	diversion	l l	0.96 acre in NW4SE4 7.6 acres in SE4SE4 Section 20, 11 acres in NW4SW4 2.91acres in SW4SW4 Section 21,
### Canceled Sp.Or.Rec.vol.29.P4 April 1905 April 1906 April 1907 April 1907 April 1907 April 1907 April 1907 April 1908 April 19	249 59 176960 T-3467	1886		240.91	Irrigation	South Creek	Creek	0.46 acre in SELSELL Section 19, 8.21 acres in SELSELL 14.03 acres in SELSELL 17.81 acres in NELSELL 3.89 acres in NWLSELL 37.65 acres in SELSELL 15.2 acres in SELSELL
May 14, 1906 May								6.83 acres in SW1NW1 3.67 acres in NE4SW1 21.7 acres in NW4SW2 13.59 acres in SW2SW2 30 acres in SE4SW2 Section 21, 2.45 acres in NE4NE2 Section 30, 7.04 acres in NE4NW2 14.18 acres in NE4NW2 Section 29,
# 7.3 acres in NWEWE 2 acres in SENSE Section 21, 0.1 acre in NEWE Section 20, T. 12 S. R. 15 E. W. M. April 1905 April 68.03 Irrigation and stock April 1905 Small dams and ditches Unnamed Creek, trib. to Willow Creek Willow Creek 17.45 acres in NWESWE 10.27 acres in NWESWE 10.27 acres in NWESWE 1.55acres in NWESWE 1.55acres in NWESWE 4.1 acres in NWESWE 4.1 acres in NWESWE 4.1 acres in NWESWE 4.1 acres in NWESWE 5.5 acres in NWESWE 5.5 acres in NWESWE 5.5 acres in NWESWE 1.55acres in NWESWE 4.1 acres in NWESWE 4.1 acres in NWESWE 5.5 acres in NWESWE 5.	13 ¹			61.23	Irrigation	Highline		1.3 acres in NW4NW4 Section 28, 23.93 acres in SW4SW4 8 acres in SE2SW4 Section 21, 1.1 acres in SW4SE4 17.2 acres in SE4SE4 Section 20, 4.5 acres in NE4NE4 Section 29,
and stock dams and ditches trib. to willow Creek Creek trib. to willow Creek Creek 17.45 acres in NW4SW4 19 acres in SE\(\frac{1}{2}\)Section 27, 14.1 acres in NW4SE\(\frac{1}{4}\) 1.55acres in NW4SE\(\frac{1}{4}\) 4.1 acres in SE\(\frac{1}{2}\)Section 28, 0.06 acre in NE\(\frac{1}{4}\)N\(\frac{1}{4}\)Section 34, T. 12 S. R. 15 E. W. M.	136 44957	1906				Highline		*21.8 acres in SW4NW4 9.3 acres in NE4SW4 *7.3 acres in NW4SW4 2 acres in SE4SW4 Section 21, 0.1 acre in NE4NE4 * 3.8 acres in SE4NE4 Section 20,
DESCHUTES RIVER -137.	13622			68.03		dams and	Creek, trib. to Willow	17.45 acres in NW SW 1 10.27 acres in SW SW 2 19 acres in SE SW 2 Section 27, 14.1 acres in NE SE 2 1.55 acres in NW SE 2 4.1 acres in SE 5E 2 Section 28, 0.06 acre in NE 2 NW 2 Section 34,
								DESCHUTES RIVER -137.

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of U
Hansen, Clarence M. Camp Sherman, Ore. (Proof #87)	1888		38	Irrigation, domestic and stock	Small Ditc	h Lake Creek	38 acres in SWASEA Section 16, T. 13 S. R. 9 E. W. M
(11001 400)	(SEE Par	agraph 6	0, pag		200		r. 10 5. K. 9 H. W. M
		29.79 (anceled	Sp.Or.Rec.Vol. 4/P	30-		
Hansen, Joe Camp Sherman, Ore. (Proof #86)	1888		39	Irrigation, domestic and stock	Three ditches	Lake Creek	37 acres in NW1SE1 2 acres in SW1SE1 Section 16,
Superseded by	(SEE Par	agraph 6	I, page Canceled	88.) Sp.Or.Rec.Vol.4/7	253 .		T. 13 S. R. 9 E. W. M
Hansen, Martin,	1888		145	Irrigation,	ditches	Lake	30 acres in NW1NW1
Camp Sherman, Ore. (Proof #83) [#38] A POD 20			0	domestic		Creek	\sim 35 acres in Sw $_{4}^{1}$ Nw $_{4}^{1}$ Section 15,
23	(SEE Par	agraph 5	9, page	87.)	p.Or.Rec.Vol.47	1.3 TL1500 ROMO	30 acres in SEINE 30 acres in NEISE 20 acres in SEISE 30 acres 30 acres in SEISE 30 acres 30
Canceled—sp.Or. Reg Yol. 3— ре Canceled—Sp.Or. rec. Vol. <u> 45—</u> Р	55 14 ²	GE SWHI	v Sec 15			1.8 11.7502 ROMO 1.9 71.3408 1.0 71.3500	T. 13 S. R. 9 E. W. M.
Harter, Hannah J. Tumalo, Ore. (Proof #100)	Apr.15, 1905		1.75	Irrigation	Laidlaw Townsite Company	Deschutes River	1.75 acres in NE ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄ , being Lots 6,7,8,9,10, ll and 12, in Block 1, Townsite of Laidlaw
							(now Tumalo), Section 31, T. 16 S. R. 12 E.W.M.
Heising, Alice L. Camp Sherman, Ore. (Proof #79)	1885		70.3	Irrigation	Heisings Ditch	Jack Creek	3.8 acres in NEINWI 35 acres in NWINWI 15 acres in SWINWI 16.5 acres in SEINWI Section 34
	_						
Hoey, Estate of H.P. J. H. Carnahan, Ancillary Admr., Klamath Falls, Ore	Sept.1, 1898		140	Irrigation	Dams & ditches	Big Marsh Creek	30 acres in SWANEA 30 acres in SEANWA 40 acres in NEASWA 40 acres in NWASWA
(Proof #167)	(NOTE:	See Paras	ranh 5	2, page 82)			Section 20, T. 24 S. R. 7 E.W.M.
, ·	,			~, pa ₀ 0 0~,			
Howard, J. L. Lapine, Ore. (proof #129)	1897		35.9	Irrigation	Findley (one-eighth interest)	E. Fork Deschutes River	10.1 acres in NE SW 4.7 acres in SE SSW 5 Section 3.
T-2945							12.4 acres in NEANWA 8.7 acres in SEANWA Section 10, T. 23 S. R. 10 E. W. M
	,						
							DESCHUTES RIVER -138.
·							

					•		
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Jefferson Water Conservancy Dist., N. G. Wallace, Atty Prineville, Ore. (Proof #13.)	Feb.28, 1913	400,000 ac.ft. per yr.		Irrigation		Deschutes River, to be stored in Benham Falls Reservoir	Approximately 100,000 acres of land east of Deschutes River, below Crooked River, within the Jefferson Water Conservancy District.
	(NOTE: provisi	1913 Win This rig ons of P	drawn ht is aragra	App #23487 (pe inchoate. See ph 38, page 43.	nding) special)	7.6991 4011.	(March Jacob and Jacob
Johnson, Mrs. Dollie Cook, Maupin, Ore. (Proof #37)	1905		12.4	Irrigation	Private Ditch	Three springs located in SEASWA Sec. 29, T. 4 S. R. 14 E.	1.2 acres in NE4SW4 3.5 acres in SE4SW4 Section 29, 7.7 acres in NE4NW4 Section 32, T. 4 S. R. 14 E. N. M.
Ketchum, D. P. & Son, The Dalles, Ore. (Proof #31)	1886		4	Irrigation	Pump from river and ditch from canyon	Deschutes River and Ferry Canyon	4 acres in SE1SW2 Section 23, T. 2 S. R. 15 E. W. M.
Lambert, N. J. Grandview, Ore. (Proof #70)	1901		44.8	Irrigation	Lambert Ditch	Metolius River	10.8 acres in SWINE 1 17.2 acres in SEINE 1 4.5 acres in SEINE 1 5.5 acres in SEINE 1 0.5 acres in NEISE 1 1.3 acres in NWISE 1 Section 26, 0.2 acre in SWINN 1 4.8 acres in NWISW 2 Section 25, T. 11 S. R. 11 E. W. M.
Lang, Anne M. & Elizabeth L. The Dalles, Ore. (Successors of Malcolm A. Moody) (Proof #14-A)	1860 (SEE Pare		27.9 , page	Irrigation 65.)	Unnamed	Deschutes River	18 acres in Lot 1 (NEINWI) 7 acres in Lot 2 (SEINWI) 2 acres in Lot 3 (NWISEI) 0.9 acre in SWINEI Section 26, T. 2 N. R. 15 E. W. M.
48243 è 63517 Madsen, B. F. (Proof #81) T-4166 Afad *	June 1, 1908 (SEE Para		25.9 , page		Updike	North Fork Lake Creek	5.5 acres in SELNWL 15.3 acres in NELSWL *5.1 acres in SELSWL Section 10. T. 13 S. R. 9 E. W. M.
Marion, Emma J. Tumalo, Ore. (Proof #101)	April 1905			Irrigation	Laidlaw Townsite Company	Deschutes River	l lot 50 by 105 feet, Section 31, T. 16 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
							DESCHUTES RIVER -139.

No. Proof				,			-	
Proof \$132	Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second		Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Proof \$135 1998 183.6 Irrigation Jeo. Mayfield Section 1, 7, 24 S. R. 9 S. 7 K. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	Mayfield, George M. Lapine, Ore. (Proof #132)	1897		94.4	Irrigation	or Stearns (one- eighth	Fork Deschutes	3 acres in NELSWI 12.1 acres in SWISWI 16.9 acres in SELSWI 16.8 acres in NWISEI Section 34, T. 23 S. R. 9 E. W. M.
Mayfield, N. D. April 1/8	Δ							Section 4,
Nagfield, J. D. Proof #130 1/8 Irrigation Laidlaw Deschites 1/8 acres in Naghuang 1/8 River 1/8 Riv	(Proof #133)	1898		183.6	Irrigation		Fork Deschutes	Section 27, 32.5 acres in NE NE 20.1 acres in SE NE 20.1 acres in SE SE 25.3 acres in SE SE 25.1 acres in SE SE 25.1 acres in NE 25.4 Section 34, 16.9 acres in SE 25.1
Proof #121 1998 1997 1999.1 Irrigation Findley Come Fork 131 165 R. 12 S. S. M.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						T. 23 S. R. 9 E. W. M. 10.7 acres in NE4NW. 4.2 acres in NE4NE4 9.1 acres in NE4NE4 Section 4.
Lapine, Ore. (Proof #130)	rumalo, Ore.			1/8	Irrigation	Townsite		Section 31.
18.7 acres in SE15W# Section 25, 14.8 acres in NW#NE# 8.1 acres in NW#NE# 10.4 acres in NW#SE# 10.4 acres in NW#SE# 16 acres in NW#SE# Section 25, 11.3 acres in NW#SE# Section 25, 12.5 acres in SW#SE# Section 14, T. 23 S. R. 9 E. V. M. (Proof #131) 1898 220.5 Irrigation George East Fork Section 14, T. 23 S. R. 9 E. V. M. (Proof #131) 1898 220.5 Irrigation George East Fork Section 35, 19.1 acres in NW#NE# 18.8 acres in NW#NE# 27.3 acres in NW#NE# 27.3 acres in NW#NE# 30.2	Lapine, Ore.	1897		199.1	Irrigation	(one-	Fork Deschutes	18:1 acres in NW NW 1 13.5 acres in SW NW 2 Section 35, 24.9 acres in NW NE 1 11.2 acres in SE NW 2
12.5 acres in SW_SEA Section 14, T. 23 S. R. 9 E. V. M.					•	-		18.7 acres in SEASWA Section 26, 14.8 acres in NWANEA 8.1 acres in SWANEA 10.4 acres in NWASEA 16 acres in SWASEA Section 23.
Deschutes River 19.1 acres in Nel NV 1 18.8 acres in NW 5 W 1 27.3 acres in NW 1 NV 1 27.3 acres in NW 1 NV 1 27.3 acres in NW 1 NV 1 24.8 acres in NW 1 NV 1 24.8 acres in NW 2 NV 1 24.8 acres in NW 2 NV 1 24.8 acres in NW 2 NV 1 24.8 acres in NW 2 NV 1 24.8 acres in NW 2 NV 1 24.8 acres in NW 2 NV 1 24.8 acres in NW 2 NV 1 24.8 acres in NW 2 NV 1 24.8 acres in NW 2 NV 1 24.8 acres in NW 2 NV 1 24.8 acres in NW 2 NV 1 24.8 acres in NW 2 NV 1 24.8 acres in NW 2 NV 1 24.8 acres in NW 2 NV 2 25.8 acres in NW 2 NV 1 26.0 acres in NW 2 NV 1 26.0 acres in NW 2 NV 1 27.3 acres in NW 2 NV 1 26.0 acres in NW 2 NV 1 27.3 acres in NW 2 NV 1 28.8 acres in NW 2 NV 1 29.0 acres in NW 2 NV 1 20.4 a	(Proof #131)			220 5	Turni			11.3 acres in NW SE 12.5 acres in SW SE 2 Section 14, T. 23 S. R. 9 E. W. M.
24.8 acres in NW 32 2 Section 23, 15.7 acres in NW 3E 2 22.4 acres in SW 3E 2 Section 14, T. 23 S. R. 9 E. W. M.	(3 (FF001 #131)	1948		£20.5	Irrigation		Fork Deschutes	Section 35, 19.1 acres in NE NV 1 18.8 acres in SE NV 2 4 acres in NW 5 NV 2 27.3 acres in SW 5 NV 2 Section 26, 20.4 acres in NW NE 2 30.2 acres in SW 5 NV 2 18.9 acres in SW 5 NV 3
DESCRIPTION DE LA CONTRACTION					501			24.8 acres in NW SE Section 23, 15.7 acres in NW SE 22.4 acres in SW SE Section 14.

	<u> </u>						· · · · ·
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Mayfield, M. S. (continued): (Proof #164)	1898		176.4	Irrigation	Mike Mayfield	West Fork of Mast Fork of Deschutes River (or Crescent Creek)	Section 23, 19 acres in NEANWA 39.1 acres in NWANWA 38.2 acres in SWANWA 8 acres in SEANWA 11.1 acres in NWASWA Section 26, T. 23 S. R. 9 E. N. M.
Miller, J. J. Moody, Ore. (Proof #27)	1895		24	Irrigation	Water wheels & pipe from slough	Deschutes River	10.3 acres in Lot 3(SE SW 1) 9.3 acres in Lot 2(SW SE 1) 4.4 acres in Lot 1(SE 1) Section 23, T. 2 N. R. 15 E. W. M.
Montgomery, E. A. Grandview, Ore. (Proof #73)	1905		5.6	Irrigation	North Side Ditch	Street Creek	5.6 acres in $NW_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1}$ Section 20, T. 11 S. R. 11 E. V. M.
(Proof #74)	1906		6.1	Irrigation	South Side Ditch	Street Creek	6.1 acres in $SW_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1}$ Section 20, T. 11 S. R. 11 E. W. M.
New, Isaac, Grandview, Ore. (Proof #72)	1897		11.9	Irrigation	D.W.New Ditch	Metolius River	5.8 acres in NEINEI 4.5 acres in NWINEI 1.1 acres in SEINEI Section 28, 0:5 acre in SWINWI Section 27, T. 11 S. R. 11 E. W. M.
North Canal Company, 500 Journal Bldg., Portland, Ore. (Proofs #15-x, 15 and 15-z)	Dec. 2, 1907		591	Irrigation	North & Pilot Butte canals	Deschutes River	23 acres in NE SW 1 14 acres in NW 25E 1 16 acres in SW 25E 1 28 acres in SE 25E 2 Section 4, 11 acres in SW 2NW 2 Section 5, 9 acres in SE 2NE 2 15 acres in NE 25W 2 Section 6, 25 acres in NE 25W 2 Section 28, 20 acres in SW 25E 2 T. 14 S. R. 13 E. W. M.
	3 Ac.Tr	f:Sp.Or.Vo	1.6,8.4	36	6 Ac. Trsf. Sp.	Or: Vol. 5, p. 243	- 26 acres in NW1SE1 Section 29, T. 15 S. R. 13 E. W. M. 6 acres in NE1SE1 13 acres in SW2SE1 34 acres in SE1SE1 Section 9, 24 acres in NW1NW1 28 acres in SE2NW1 24 acres in SW2SE2 Section 11, 19 acres in SW1SW1 25 acres in NW2SW1 19 acres in SW2SW4
No. of the Control of				502	ESCHUTES RIV	ER -141.	26 acres in NW4SE4 Section 12, 30 acres in NE1NW4 24 acres in SW4NW4 Sec. 13

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative	Amount Cubic Feet	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
North Canal Company (continued):	Priority	Per Second	Acres			3	
(continued);							28 acres in SETNET 18 acres in NETSET 1 acre in NETSWT
		 					Section 14, 27 acres in NE 1 NE 1 23 acres in SW SE 1
·							Section 23, 3 acres in NEINEI Section 34,
							17 acres in SWANWA 15 acres in NWASWA
	77.5.0	202	80.00			70	Section 35, T. 16 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
;	Dec. 2, 1907	333	20,00	O Irrigation	North Canal	Deschutes River	20,000 acres of land in Tewnships 14, 15, 16 & 17, South, Ranges 12, 13 and 14 E. W. M.
	Feb. 28,	90,000 ac.ft.		Storage		West Fork Deschutes	not platted on all or
		per yr	•			River to be stored in Crane Prairie	
	/ CYTATE					Reservoir	
i e	(SEE abe	cial pro	vision	s of Paragraph	39, page 58	5.!	
Odin Falls Land Co.,	Jan. 7,		1057	Irrigation	Mathrow	Donahutas	Touda dandantal at 3.1
901 Yeon Bldg., Portland, Ore. (Proof #16)	1909				Ditch	River	bands irrigated at date of filing claim - 145.3 acres, as follows:
(11001 #10)	Time E	xtendea "	to 10	11-38 to conye	Beta - Sp. len. Sec " "Y	UA. 2. P. 489.	36 acres in NE NE
ş.	ν	<i>t</i> *.	11 10/	1/41 " "	11 11 11 V	of. 4, 7, 38	7.6 acres in SWANEA 12 acres in SEANEA 15.8 acres in SWANWA
		This re	phtab	andoned - See wer Claim fold	deed and p	ap sign	12.4 acres in SW4SW4 17 acres in SE4SW4 Section 34.
· .							14.4 acres in NWANEA 5.8 acres in NEAWA 14 acres in NWANWA
			:				Section 35, T. 14 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
							911.7 acres additional to be irrigated in Sections 26, 27, 34 and 35, Town-
							ship 14 South, Range 12 East, W.M.
						100 11 (E.J.	
Pacific Power & Light Company, Gasco Bldg.,	(Power ri	ght init aragraph	iated 1	under Applicat age 74.)	ion No. 7079	. SEE	Power plant proposed to be located in Section 6,
Portland, Ore. (Proof #17)							T. 2 S. R. 16 E. W. M.
Solve Solve	1000						***
Palmehn, Charles W. Grizzly, Ore. (Proof #59)	1892	,	61.4	Irrigation	C. W. Palme North & South	hn Willow Creek	28.5 acres in SW1SE1 5.9 acres in SE2SE1 Section 21,
							14.7 acres in NE ₄ NE ₄ . 12.3 acres in NW ₄ NE ₄ . Section 28,
							T. 12 S. R. 15 E. W. M.
							DESCHUTES RIVER -142.
			•	503			

					1 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	`	
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Powell Butte Irriga- tion District, Prineville, Ore. (Proof #18)	(Appl:			ment from State			Lands proposed to be irrigated in Townships 14, 15 and 16 South, Ranges 14 and 15 East, W.M. (not platted on the
Pringle Falls Electri Power & Water Co. 500 Journal Bldg., Portland, Ore. (Proof #19)		Power Cla #128		Power provisions of page 81.)	Paragraph 5	West Fork Deschutes River	Power plant proposed to be located in Sec. 23, T. 21 S. R. 9 E. W.M.
Riley, O; W. B. Bend, Ore. (Proof #106)	1904 1896		6	Irrigation Domestic and stock	Private ditch	Deschutes River	3 acres in SE ¹ NW ¹ 3 acres in NE ¹ SW ¹ Section 18, T. 17 S. R. 12 E.W.M.
River Logging & Lbr. Company, Seattle, Wash. (Proof #47)	1892 Power Claim #814 1892 Power Claim #796	18 sec. ft. for power	4	Irrigation, power for irrigation equipment stock and domestic	Turbine to run centrifu- gal pump	Deschutes River	20 acres in NELNEL 20 acres in NELSEL 15 acres in SELSEL Lot 2-23.8 acres Lot 3-27 acres Lot 4-8.2 acres Section 32, T. 7 S. R. 14 E. W. M.
(Proof #50)	1892	15.2 Theo. H.P.	43.4	Irrigation		Deschutes River (Rutter Ranch)	Lot 2-19.5 acres Lot 1-13.2 acres Section 16, Lot 2-1 acre Lot 3-9.7 acres Section 15, T. 8 S. R. 14 E. W. M.
Rose, J. L. Camp Sherman, Ore. (Proof #84)	1906		3	Irrigation	Martin Hansen	Metolius River	3 acres in NWINWI Section 16, T. 13 S. R. 9 E.W.M.
Shewlin-Hixon Company, Bend, Ore. (Proof #20)	Feb. 28, 1913	3		Steam gener- ation, domes- tic and sani- tary purposes		Deschutes River	Used in connection with lumber manufacturing plant at Bend, Oregon.
		15		Log washing and steam condensing			
		50 to 200 includ above amount		Maintenance of log pond			
	(SEE spe	cial pro	vision	of Paragraph	30, page 23	.)	
						· 4.	DESCHUTES RIVER -143.

1	Т		-			-,	
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Sly, George T. Lapine, Ore. (Proof #128)	1900		70	Irrigation	Overflow and sub- irrigation	East Fork Deschutes River	10 acres in SW1SW2 20 acres in SE1SW2 Section 34 T. 22 S. R. 10 E.W.M. 20 acres in NE1NW2 20 acres in SE4NW2 Section 3 T. 23 S. R. 10 E.W.M.
Smith, Colonel F. Prineville, Ore. (Proof #58)	1901		125	Irrigation	Smith and McMeen	Willow Creek	8 acres in SWINWI 30 acres in NWISWI 4 acres in SWISWI 10 acres in SWISEI 10 acres in SEISEI Section 27, 12 acres in SWINEI
					•		20 acres in SEINEI 21 acres in NEISEI Section 28, T. 12 S. R. 14 E.W.M.
Smith, W.F. & Elva. Warmsprings, Ore. (Proof #75)	1909		7	Irrigation	Smith	Metolius River	5 acres in SW SW 2 2 acres in SE4SW 2 Section 29, T. 10 S. R. 10 E.W.M.
Snyder, Lucy, Corning, Cal. (Proof #103) Cha. pt. of div. Sp.Or. Vol. 7, p. 235	April 1905		102	Irrigation	Laidlaw Townsite Company	Deschutes River	1 acre comprised in 3 lots, Section 31, T. 16 S. R. 12 E.W.M.
South Unit Improvement Dist., Prineville, Ore. (Proof #21.)				icant for allo drawal. See P			Lands proposed to be irrigated in Townships 16, 17, 18 and 19 South, Ranges 14, 15 and 16 East, W.M.
Staats, W. H. Bend, Ore. (Proof #166)	0ct.31, 1900	0.4	33	Irrigation	Pilot Butte Canal and lateral	Deschutes River	33 acres in SW4SE4 Section 32, T. 17 S. R. 12 E.W.M.
Stearns, Fannie E. Eugene, Ore. (Proof #126)	1897		468.6	Irrigation	Findley ditch (one- half interest)	East Fork Deschutes River	15.1 acres in NELSE SET 18.8 acres in SELSE Section 15, 35.1 acres in NELSE 17.9 acres in SELSE Section 22, 40 acres in NWANE 140 acres in SWANE 15.1 acres in NWANE 1
							21.9 acres in Swissif Section 27, DESCHUTES RIVER -144.
		Į į		505			

.

						-	
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Stearns, Fannie E. (continued(:							14.9 acres in NW1NE1 40 acres in NE1NW1 40 acres in SE1NW1 25.2 acres in NE2SW1 Section 34, 7.7 acres in NW1SW1 Section 23, T. 22 S. R. 10 E. W. M.
(Proof #127)	977.2		80	Irrigation	W. T. lateral of Morson Canal	East Fork Deschutes River	40 acres in SWANEA 40 acres in NVASEA
		This lant and has	same	nder the Walker date of priorit	r-Basin Care y as other	y Act lands	in the
A Steidl & Tweet Bend, Ore. (Proof #22-A)	Sept.15, 1906	250 Power C #134	Vaim	Power cf.s. reduced to 50° cfs	• •	Deschutes River	Power developed at North Canal Dam, in Section 29, T. 17 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
(Proof #22-B) 09	Oct. 10, 1903	, 3.5		Municipal	Pump & pipe line	Deschutes River	Used in the Town site of Lytle and in the River- side and Wiestoria Addi- tions to the City of Bend, Oregon.
17152 - 2.0 17152 - 2.0 33005 - 8.0 33006 - 7.0		\$ Pg 481	40	Irrigation	Pump and pipe line		2ASTrsf-Sporvol.5 PUS 20 acres in NETNET 20 acres in SWINET Section 29, 90 T. 17 S. R. 12 E.W.M.
33006-7.0 T#3477— 33007 -23.0 44934 45034 T#3642 463	90 2 50360 , 55662		(SEE	special provisi page 21.)	ons of Para	graph 29,	* Pt. of div. changed for 8 ocres - Sp. Or. Vol. 6, p. 94
Tetherow, Jesse, Redmond, Ore. (Proof #94)	1880		4.5	Irrigation	Gravity flow	Deschutes River	1.4 acres in SWANEA 1.3 acres in SEANWA 0.8 acre in NEASWA 1 acre in NWASEA Section 36, T. 14 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
Canceled Sp.Or.Rec.Vol. 44. U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, H. L. Plumb, Forest Supervisor, Bend, Ore. (Proof #80)	1886		27	Irrigation	Allingham Ranger Station Ditch	Lake Creek	2 acres in SWANEA 16 acres in NWASEA 9 acres in SWASEA Section 3, T. 13 S. R. 9 E.W.M.
Vedder, I. D. Terrebonne, Ore. (Proof #91)	1887		4.1	Irrigation	Hoag Ditch	Deschutes River	4 acres in NE1NE1 0.1 acre in SE1NE1 Section 16, T. 14 S. R. 12 E.W.M.
					i.		
A Company of the Comp							DESCHUTES RIVER -145.
				506			

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Vincent, Judson H. Grizzly, Ore. (Proof #66)	Spring of 1873		16.6	Irrigation		Willow Creek	0.3 acre in SW4SW4 Section 19, 4.7 acres in NW4NW4 Section 30, T. 12 S. R. 16 E. W. M.
							O.8 acre in SE 1SE 1 Section 24, 7 acres in NE 1NE 1 3.8 acres in NW 2NE 1 Section 25, T. 12 S. R. 15 E.W.M.
Vincent, William C. Grizzly, Ore.	1898		30.5	Irrigation	Willow Creek	Willow Creek	22 acres in SWINWI 6 acres in SEINWI
(Proof #64)					ditch		l acre in NWASEA Section 25, 1.5 acres in SEANEA Section 26, T. 12 S. R. 15 E. W. M.
(Proof #65)	1885		5	Irrigation	Coon Creek	Coon Creek	4 acres in SWANVA Section 25, 1 acre in SEANEL Section 26, T. 12 S. R. 15 E. W. M.
Walker Basin Irriga-	April		9646.3	Irrigation	Morson	Crescent	40 acres in $SW_4^1SW_4^1$
tion Company, W. M. Huntington, Receiver, 514 Porter, Bldg., Portland, Ore.	30,				Canal and laterals thereof	Creek	Section 10, 160 acres in the NW 160 acres in the SW 2 Section 15, 40 acres in SW 2SE 1
(Proof #23) Out! See in	. r De	chutes		This right aragraph 34,	is inchoate. page 35.)		40 acres in SE2SE2 Section 17, 160 acres in the NE2 160 acres in the NW2 160 acres in the SW2
Supreme Cour				re741	,		160 acres in the SE2 Section 20, 640 acres in Section 21, 160 acres in the NW4
QUEATS 111	[] 			•	Cort. 113	to	\rightarrow 40 acres in $SW_{4}^{\perp}NE_{4}^{\perp}$
A Charles of the state of the s					South		40 acres in SWANWA 40 acres in NWASWA 40 acres in SWASWA Section 27,
							Section 28, 640 acres in Section 29, 640 acres in
							Section 32, 640 acres in Section 33, 40 acres in NW1NW1 40 acres in SW1NW1
	,						40 acres in NW\(\frac{1}{2}\)Section 34, T. 22 S. R. 10 E. W. M. 39.77 acres in Lot 4
							40 acres in Swinwi 40 acres in NE SWI 40 acres in SWISWI Section 3,
				507			DESCHUTES RIVER -146.

Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Walker Basin Irriga- tion Company (continued):	riority	rer Second					39.58 acres in Lot 4 39.63 acres in Lot 3 39.67 acres in Lot 2 39.72 acres in Lot 1 40 acres in SW1NW1 40 acres in SE1NW2 40 acres in SW1NE1 40 acres in SE1NE2 160 acres in the SW2 160 acres in the SE2
							Section 4, 39.65 acres in Lot 1, 39.63 acres in Lot 2 39.52 acres in Lot 3 39.50 acres in Lot 4 40 acres in SW_NW_4 40 acres in SW_NE_4 40 acres in SE_NE_4 160 acres in the SW_1 160 acres in the SE_4 Section 5,
							39.56 acres in Lot 1 39.69 acres in Lot 2 36.19 acres in Lot 3 39.81 acres in Lot 4 33.32 acres in Lot 5 40 acres in SE4NW4 40 acres in SE4NE4 32.99 acres in Lot 6 32.60 acres in Lot 6 40 acres in NE4SW4 40 acres in SE4SW4 40 acres in SE4SW4
						:	Section 6, 32.46 acres in Lot 1 32.50 acres in Lot 2 32.54 acres in Lot 3 32.58 acres in Lot 4 40 acres in NELNWL 40 acres in SELSWL 160 acres in NELSWL 40 acres in SELSWL 160 acres in SELSWL 20 40 acres in SELSWL 30 40 acres in SELSWL 40 acres in SELSWL 50 40 acres in the SEL 50 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80
,				, p.			Section 7, 640 acres in Section 8, 640 acres in Section 9, 40 acres in NW4NW4 40 acres in SW4NW4 40 acres in NW4SW4 Section 10, 160 acres in the NW4 160 acres in the NE4 Section 17,
Wallace May M.							32.70 acres in Lot 1 32.89 acres in Lot 2 40 acres in NELNW1 40 acres in SELNW2 160 acres in the NEL Section 18, T. 23 S. R. 10 E. W. M.
Wallace, May M. Tumalo, Ore. (Proof #104) Chg.in pt. of div. Sp.Or Vol. 7, p. 235	May 1905		0.12	Irrigation	Laidlaw Townsite Company	Deschutes River	1 lot in Laidlaw Townsite Section 31, T. 16 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
				508			DESCHUTES RIVER -147.

,							
Name and Postoffice Address of Appropriator	Date of Relative Priority	Amount Cubic Feet Per Second	Number Acres	Use	Name of Ditch	Stream	Description of Land or Place of Use
Wapinitia Irrigation Company, 712 Dekum Bldg., Portland, Ore. (Proof #162)	Nov.25, 1907 See: Wi for de	ac.ft. per yr.	Adju	Supplemental Irrigation dication proceedings is right.	77.	Beaver Creek and Beaver, Butte, Cedar creeks	12,000 acres of land having a partial water supply from tributaries of White River and situated in Townships 4 and 5 South, Ranges 11, 12, 13 and 14 East.
	(NOTE:	This rie	ht is	inchoate. See page 78.)	Paragraph 4	17,	W.M.
Ward, Harriett B. Tumalo, Ore. (Proof #105)	April 1905		100	Irrigation	Laidlaw- Townsite Company	Deschutes River	\frac{1}{2} acre in five lots, 50 by 105 ft., Section 31, T. 15 S.FR. 12 E. W. M.
Webb, M. L. Sherars Bridge, Ore. (Proof #34)	1887		3	Irrigation	Small ditches	Spring located in NW1 Sw2, Sec. 27, T. 3	2.2 acres in $NW_{4}^{1}SW_{4}^{1}$ 0.8 acre in $SW_{4}^{2}SW_{4}^{1}$ Section 27, T. 3 S. R. 14 E. W. M.
	(Subject SEE Par	to righ agraph 2	ts of I	eschutes Falls	Power Co.	E. W. M.	
West Side Reclamation District, John K. Kollock, Atty Corbett Bldg., Portland, Ore. (Proof #24)	·,	(APPLIC	ANT for See	allotment fro Paragraph 37,	m State with page 41.)	hdrawal.	Lands proposed to be irrigated in Townships 14 and 15 South, Ranges 11 and 12 East, W.M.
Wiest, L. D. Bend, Ore. (Proof #165) one who from Chlot Bull				Irrigation [and aka ns of Paragrap.	Pilot Butte Canal and Wiest lateral	Deschutes River	15 acres in SELSWL Section 28, 15 acres in NELNWL 40 acres in NWLNWL Section 33, T. 17 S. R. 12 E. W. M.
Wood, Erskine Portland, Ore. (Proof #76)	Riparian			Domestic		Metolius River	In connection with lands in SEANWA. NEASWA and
(Proof #77)	Riparian	ial prov		Domestic and stock of Paragraph 5	55,	Small nameless creek flowing into the Metolius River.	SELSWI, Section 11, T. 12 S. R. 9 E. W. M. In connection with lands in NEINWI, Section 14, and SELSWI, Section 11, T. 12 S. R. 9 E. W. M.
Wurzweiler, Will Prineville, Ore. (Proof #88)	1900		21.6	Irrigation	Post Ditch	Metolius River	1.7 acres in NW\[SE\] \\ 15.4 acres in SW\[SE\] \\ 0.9 acre in SE\[SE\] \\ Section 15, 1 acre in NE\[NE\] \\ 2.6 acres in NW\[NE\] \\ Section 22, T. 13 S. R. 9 E. W. M.
				509			DESCHUTES RIVER -148.

Based upon the foregoing findings as modified by the Court, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the relative rights of the various claimants to the use of the waters of Deschutes River and its tributaries be and the same are hereby adjudicated, determined and settled as set forth in the foregoing modified findings and decree.

Dated this 10th day of February, 1928, at Bend, Oregon.

T. E. J. DUFFY
Circuit Judge

STATE OF OREGON) ss. County of Deschutes)

I, J. H. Haner, County Clerk in and for said County and State, do hereby certify that I have carefully compared the foregoing copy of Modified Findings and Decree with the original thereof as the same appears on file and of record in my office, and the same is a full, true and correct copy of said original and of the whole thereof.

In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal at Bend, in the County and State aforesaid, this 10th day of February, 1928.

(SEAL)

STATE OF OREGON)

) ss.

County of Marion)

I, Rhea Luper, State Engineer of Oregon, do hereby certify that the foregoing copy of Decree in the Matter of the Determination of the Relative Rights to the
use of Waters of Deschutes River, and its tributaries, a tributary of Columbia River
has been by me compared with the original, and that it is a true and correct copy
therefrom, and of the whole thereof, as the same was filed in my office February 14,1928.

RHEA LUPER, State Engineer.

INDEX

NAME	PAGE FINDI		PAGE OF			
Adjudications on Deschutes merged into one Arnold Irrigation Co			- 97	,		
Atkinson, John	- 15					
Bates, James P						
Belshe, O. L., Meloy, Geo	- ′		- 102			
Bend, City of						
Bend Water, Light & Power Co				· ĘŤ		
Boyd, Charles	-		102			
Braun, Michael						
Bruns, John	- 86		103			
Central Oregon Irrigation Co	- 52 - 50					
Chastain, W. O	-		130			
Cline Falls Power Co	- 62	**********	130			
Columbia Deschutes Power Co			130			
Corbett, Henry L	- 87					
Crook County Improvement Dist. No. 1			131			
Danielski, Walter	- 59		132			
Dee, John W.			132	92	c.f.s.	A29 4 30
Deschutes Co. Municipal Imp. Dist	- 89	82			-	
Deschutes Falls Power Co		74				
Diversions governed by prior & beneficial use	- . 95	•	+			
Dizney, John T	-					
Domestic & Stock Use		•				
•		•				
East Maupin Water System						
Fall River Irrigation Co						
Rarre, Soren	-		136			
Fish laddersFogle, Antone	- 91 - 12		136			
Frohnhofer, Fritz	- 14	***************************************	136			
Frohnhoefer, Henry			100.			
Gilchrist, Ralph E	- 16 - 16					
Grant Smith & Co	- 59		176			
Greene, Mrs. L. A	_	45-4				
Hansen, Carl	- 82					
Hansen, Clarence	- 88		· 138 · 138			
Hansen. Martin	- 87		- 138			
Harter, Hannah J			· 138			
Hoey, Estate of H. P	- 82		138			
Howard, J. L.			- 138			
Inchoate Rights	- 91 - 60	•				
Individual Claims under Tumalo District	- 82		:			
Irrigation Rights Appurtenant to land	- 95	,				

NAME	PAGE OF FINDINGS	PAGE OF TABULATION			
Ketchum, D. P. & Son		 139			
Kuykendall, E. V	59	100			
,					
Lambert, N. J.		 139			
Lang, Anne M. & Elizabeth L. (Successors of					
Malcolm A. Moody)	65	 139			
•					
Madsen, B. F		 139			
Marion, Emma J		 139			
Mayfield, Geo. M		 140			
Mayfield, J. D		 140			
Mayfield, M. S		 140			
McGuire, E. S	 59	 			
Miller, J. J.		 141			
Montgomery, E. A		 141			
New, Isaac		 141			
North Canal Co	55	 141			
TOT OIL OUTLAND OO		***			
Odin Falls Land Co	38	 142			
Oregon Land Corporation	20				
Pacific Power & Light Co	62	 142			
Palmehn, Charles W		 142			
Permits issued by State Engineer	 96				
Powell Butte Irrigation District		143			
Pringle Falls Electric P. & W. Co	81	 143			
70.13 0 1/1 70		3.45			
Riley, O. W. B		 143			
River Logging & Lbr. Co		 143			
Rose, J. L		 143			
MOTATION	 94				
Shevlin-Hixon Company	23	 143			
Sly, George T		 144			
Smith, Colonel F		 144			
Smith, W. F. & Elva		 144			
Snyder, Lucy		 144			
South Unit Improvement District	41	 144			
Staats, Mrs. Arabelle	10				
Staats, W. H		 144			
Stearns, Fannie E	17	 144			
Steidl & Tweet	21	 145			
Stock & Domestic Use	93				
Suttles Lake Irrigation District					
Sweeney, T. A	19				
Tetherow, Jesse		 145			
,					
U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service		 145			
Van Allen, W. E	- 59				
Vedder, I. D		 145			
Vincent, Judson H	14	 146			
Vincent, William C	13	146			
	75	 146			
Walker Basin Irrigation Co	35	 146 147			
Wallace, May M	70	 148			
Wapinitia Irrigation Co	78	 148			
Ward, Harriett B	92	 140			
Water Wheels	92 18	 148			
Webb, M. L		 148			
West Side Reclamation District	84	 148			
Wood, Erskine	84	 148			
Warzweiler, Will		 148			
MATERIAL, MILL					