



Oregon Water Resources Department  
 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A  
 Salem, Oregon 97301-1271  
 (503) 986-0900  
 www.wrd.state.or.us

### Ground Water Review Form:

- Water Right Transfer
- Permit Amendment
- GR Modification
- Other

Application: T-11225

Applicant Name: Bob Levy – L & L Farms

Proposed Changes:  POA       APOA       SW→GW       RA  
 USE       POU       OTHER

Reviewer(s): Marc Norton

Date of Review: May 24, 2011

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: The applicant would like to move land irrigated under 1.5 pivots too two different pivots and add three additional wells as POAs.
2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?  
 Yes     No    Comments: The four wells are located in the same subarea (A) of the Stage Gulch Critical Groundwater Area.
3. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?  
 Yes     No \_\_\_\_\_  
 b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): \_\_\_\_\_
4. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?  
 Yes     No    Comments: The additional PODs will spread the impacts of pumping to the north but the increase in interference will be minimal.  
 b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?  
 Yes     No    If yes, explain: \_\_\_\_\_
5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?  
 Yes     No    Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?

Stream: \_\_\_\_\_

Minimal

Significant

Stream: \_\_\_\_\_

Minimal

Significant

Provide context for minimal/significant impact: \_\_\_\_\_

6. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: None.
7. Any additional comments: \_\_\_\_\_

