



Oregon Water Resources Department
 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
 Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
 (503) 986-0900
 www.wrd.state.or.us

Ground Water Review Form:

- Water Right Transfer
- Permit Amendment
- GR Modification
- Other

Application: T-11739

Applicant Name: Meduri Farms Inc.

Proposed Changes: POA APOA SW→GW RA
 USE POU OTHER

Reviewer(s): Jen Woody

Date of Review: 09/08/2014

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- Other _____

1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: Certificate 83829 authorizes one POA (LINN 140). T 11737 proposes to add a second well (L-110125/LINN 60542).
2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?
 Yes No Comments: LINN 140 is 32 feet deep, with water bearing zones in the sand and gravel from 16 feet below land surface (bls) to the bottom of the hole. LINN 60542 is 80 feet deep with water bearing sand and gravel from 18 to 78 feet bls. They likely access the same aquifer, with LINN 60542 fully penetrating the permeable zone. Water levels are similar according to the well logs, which also indicates the wells access the same aquifer.
3. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?
 Yes No _____
 b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): _____
4. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?
 Yes No Comments: The proposed APOA is not significantly closer to nearby wells than the authorized POA.
 b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?
 Yes No If yes, explain: _____

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?

Yes No Comments: The proposed APOA is about the same distance from the North Santiam River as the authorized POA.

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?

Stream: _____ Minimal Significant


Stream: _____ Minimal Significant

Provide context for minimal/significant impact: _____

6. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: _____

7. Any additional comments: _____

T 11739 Meduri Farms



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

