

Application for Permanent Water Right Transfer

Part 1 of 5 - Minimum Requirements Checklist

This transfer application will be returned if Parts 1 through 5 and all required attachments are not completed and included.

For questions, please call (503) 986-0900, and ask for Transfer Section.

Cl 1 11		RECEIVED
	items included with this application. $(N/A = Not Applicable)$	9.0.0040
\boxtimes	Part 1 – Completed Minimum Requirements Checklist.	JUL 3 0 2018
	Part 2 – Completed Transfer Application Map Checklist.	OWRD
	Part 3 – Application Fee, payable by check to the Oregon Water Resourcompleted Fee Worksheet, page 3. Try the new online fee calculator at: http://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/misc/wrd_fee_calculator . If you have questioner Service at (503) 986-0801.	
\boxtimes	Part 4 – Completed Applicant Information and Signature.	
	Part 5 – Information about Water Rights to be Transferred: How many be transferred? <u>1</u> List them here: <u>16677</u> Please include a separate Part 5 for each water right. (See instructions of	
	Attachments:	
\boxtimes	Completed Transfer Application Map.	
	Completed Evidence of Use Affidavit and supporting documentation.	
N/.		despite the sale of
N//	A Supplemental Form D – For water rights served by or issued in the nam district. Complete when the transfer applicant is not the irrigation district.	e of an irrigation
N/.	A Land Use Information Form with approval and signature (or signed land stub). Not required if water is to be diverted, conveyed, and/or used only if all of the following apply: a) a change in place of use only, b) no structuse of water is for irrigation only, and d) the use is located within an irriexclusive farm use zone.	y on federal lands or ctural changes, c) the
N/A	A Water Well Report/Well Log for changes in point(s) of appropriation (w point(s) of appropriation.	vell(s)) or additional
N/A		surface water source
	(For Staff Use Only)	
	WE ARE RETURNING YOUR APPLICATION FOR THE FOLLOWING RE Application fee not enclosed/insufficient Map not included or inco Land Use Form not enclosed or incomplete Additional signature(s) required Part is incomplete	mplete
	Other/Explanation	

JUL 3 0 2018

DWRD Your transfer application will be returned if any of the map requirements listed below are not met. Please be sure that the transfer application map you submit includes all the required items and matches the existing water right map. Check all boxes that apply. N/A Certified Water Right Examiner (CWRE) Stamp and Original Signature. For a list of CWREs, see http://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/wr/cwre license view/. CWRE stamp and signature are not required for substitutions. If more than three water rights are involved, separate maps are needed for each water right. Permanent quality printed with dark ink on good quality paper. X The size of the map can be $8\frac{1}{2} \times 11$ inches, $8\frac{1}{2} \times 14$ inches, 11×17 inches, or up to 30×30 inches. For 30 x 30 inch maps, one extra copy is required. X A north arrow, a legend, and scale. X The scale of the map must be: 1 inch = 400 feet, 1 inch = 1,320 feet, the scale of the Final Proof/Claim of Beneficial Use Map (the map used when the permit was certificated), the scale of the county assessor map if the scale is not smaller than 1 inch = 1,320 feet, or a scale that has been pre-approved by the Department. X Township, Range, Section, 1/4 1/4, DLC, Government Lot, and other recognized public land survey lines. X Tax lot boundaries (property lines) are required. Tax lot numbers are recommended. X Major physical features including rivers and creeks showing direction of flow, lakes and reservoirs, roads, and railroads. X Major water delivery system features from the point(s) of diversion/appropriation such as main pipelines, canals, and ditches. X Existing place of use that includes separate hachuring for each water right, priority date, and use including number of acres in each quarter-quarter section, government lot, or in each quarter-quarter section as projected within government lots, donation land claims, or other recognized public land survey subdivisions. If less than the entirety of the water right is being changed, a separate hachuring is needed for lands left unchanged. \boxtimes Proposed place of use that includes separate hachuring for each water right, priority date, and use including number of acres in each quarter-quarter section, government lot, or in each quarter-quarter section as projected within government lots, donation land claims, or other recognized public land survey subdivisions. X Existing point(s) of diversion or well(s) with distance and bearing or coordinates from a recognized survey corner. This information can be found in your water right certificate or permit. X If you are proposing a change in point(s) of diversion or well(s), show the proposed location and label it clearly with distance and bearing or coordinates. If GPS coordinates are used. latitude-longitude coordinates may be expressed as either degrees-minutes-seconds with at least one digit after the decimal (example - 42°32'15.5") or degrees-decimal with five or more digits after the decimal (example -42.53764°).

	FEE WORKSHEET for PERMANENT TRANSFER Part 3 of 3	5 – Fee	Workshee
1	Base Fee (includes one type of change to one water right for up to 1 cfs)	1	\$1,160
	Types of change proposed:	1	\$1,100
	☑ Place of Use		
	Character of Use		
	Point of Diversion/Appropriation		
	Number of above boxes checked = $2(2a)$		
	Subtract 1 from the number in line $2a = 1$ (2b) If only one change, this will be 0		
2	Multiply line 2b by \$930 and enter » » » » » » » » » » » » » » »	2	930
	Number of water rights included in transfer 1 (3a)	2	750
	Subtract 1 from the number in 3a above: 0 (3b) If only one water right this will		
	De 0		
3	Multiply line 3b by \$520 and enter » » » » » » » » » » » » » »	3	0
	Do you propose to add or change a well, or change from a surface water POD		
	to a well?		
١.	No: enter 0 » » » » » » » » » » » » » » » » » »		
4	Yes: enter \$410 » » » » » » » » » » » » » » » » » » »	4	0
	Do you propose to change the place of use or character of use?		
	No: enter 0 on line 5 » » » » » » » » » » » » » » » » »		
	Yes: enter the cfs for the portions of the rights to be transferred (see		
	example below*): $0.32 (5a)$		
	Subtract 1.0 from the number in 5a above: -0.58 (5b)		
	If 5b is 0 or less, enter 0 on line 5 » » » » » » » » » » » » » » » »		
_	If 5b is greater than 0, round up to the nearest whole number: N/A (5c)		
5	and multiply 5c by \$350, then enter on line 5 » » » » » » » » » »	5	0
6	Add entries on lines 1 through 5 above » » » » » » » » » Subtotal:	6	2090
	Is this transfer:	RF	CEIVED
	necessary to complete a project funded by the Oregon Watershed	S & Second	OLIVED
	Enhancement Board (OWEB) under ORS 541.932?	.11.11	3 0 2018
	endorsed in writing by ODFW as a change that will result in a net	001	. 0 0 2010
	benefit to fish and wildlife habitat?		MAIDE
7	If one or more boxes is checked, multiply line 6 by 0.5 and enter on line 7 »	9	WRD
7	If no box is applicable, enter 0 on line 7» » » » » » » » » » » » » » » »	7	0
8	Subtract line 7 from line 6 » » » » » » » » » » » » » » » Transfer Fee:	8	2090
xampl	e for Line 5a calculation to transfer 45.0 acres of Primary Certificate 12345 (total 1.25 cfs for 100 planeautal Cortificate 97654 (1/90 fs.)	acres) a	nd 45.0 acres

*E of Supplemental Certificate 87654 (1/80 cfs per acre) on the same land:

1. For irrigation calculate cfs for each water right involved as follows:

a. Divide total authorized cfs by total acres in the water right (for C12345, 1.25 cfs \div 100 ac); then multiply by the number of acres to be transferred to get the transfer cfs (x 45 ac= 0.56 cfs).

b. If the water right certificate does not list total cfs, but identifies the allowable use as 1/40 or 1/80 of a cfs per acre; multiply number of acres proposed for change by either 0.025 (1/40) or 0.0125 (1/80). (For C87654, 45.0 ac x 0.0125 $cfs/ac = 0.56 \ cfs$)

Add cfs for the portions of water rights on all the land included in the transfer; however do not count cfs for supplemental rights on acreage for which you have already calculated the cfs fee for the primary right on the same land. The fee should be assessed only once for each "on the ground" acre included in the transfer. (In this example, blank 5a would be only 0.56 cfs, since both rights serve the same 45.0 acres. Blank 5b would be 0 and Line 5 would then also become 0).

	FEE WORKSHEET for SUBSTITUTION		
1	Base Fee (includes change to one well)	1	\$840.00
2	Number of wells included in substitution (2a) Subtract 1 from the number in 3a above: (2b) If only one well this will be 0 Multiply line 2b by \$410 and enter » » » » » » » » » » » » » » » »	2	
3	Add entries on lines 1 through 2 above » » » » » Fee for Substitution:	3	

Part 4 of 5 - Applicant Information and Signature

Applicant Information

APPLICANT/BUSINESS NAME		-3.7	PHONE NO.	ADDITIONAL CONTACTIVO
WILLAMETTE VALLEY LAND, LL	C C/O PAU	L KUEHNE	(503) 864-4422	ADDITIONAL CONTACT NO. (503) 437-4833
ADDRESS			(505) 501 4422	FAX NO.
Po Box 99				N/A
CITY	STATE	ZIP	E-MAIL	IV/A
LAFAYETTE	OR	97127	N/A	
BY PROVIDING AN E-MAIL A	DDRESS	CONSENT IS C		ORRESPONDENCE FROM THE
Denisation	222009	COMBENT IS G	IVEN TO RECEIVE ALL CO	OKKESPONDENCE FROM THE

DEPARTMENT ELECTRONICALLY. COPIES OF THE FINAL ORDER DOCUMENTS WILL ALSO BE MAILED.

			l matters relating to this application.
c/o Epic I		PHONE NO.	ADDITIONAL CONTACT NO.
i, C/O ERIC (DRSTADT	9/1.250.1520	971.250.1520 FAX NO.
STATE OR	ZIP 97133	E-MAIL N/A	
what you	propose to ac	CINAL ORDER DOCUME	ansfer application, and why:
sented in A	ttachment "D'	' - Narrative.	
oject is fu	ılly or partiall		
nicipality as a predecesso tity with the	that, upon receiful be required to diffied in OAR 69 defined in ORS or; OR	ipt of the draft preliminary o provide landownership in 90-380-4010(5); OR S 540.510(3)(b) and that the	outring by
	STATE OR ADDRESS, C CALLY. Co what you anently tra esented in A atinue on a se roject is fu leral stimu I understand transfer, I w sfer as ident unicipality as a predecessor	OR 97133 ADDRESS, CONSENT IS GIVEN COPIES OF THE FORWARD PROPOSE THE PROPOSE T	STATE OR 97133 N/A ADDRESS, CONSENT IS GIVEN TO RECEIVE ALL COLLY. COPIES OF THE FINAL ORDER DOCUME What you propose to accomplish with this transently transfer what was accomplished in a temperature on a separate piece of paper and attach to the approper is fully or partially funded by the Ameleral stimulus dollars) Check One Box I understand that, upon receipt of the draft preliminary transfer, I will be required to provide landownership is a sidentified in OAR 690-380-4010(5); OR unicipality as defined in ORS 540.510(3)(b) and that the appredecessor; OR Intity with the authority to condemn property and is accomplished.

I understand that prior to Department approval of the transfer application, I may be required to submit payment to the Department for publication of a notice in a newspaper with general circulation in the area where the water right is located, once per week for two consecutive weeks. If more than one qualifying newspaper is available, I suggest publishing the notice in the following newspaper: CAPITAL PRESS

I (we) affirm that the information contained in this application is true and accurate.

7-20-18 Date 7-20-18 <u>Paul Kuehne, Owner</u> Print Name (and Title if applicable) Applicant signature Print Name (and Title if applicable) Applicant signature

Is the applicant the sole owner of the land on which the water right, or portion thereof, proposed for transfer is located? Yes No If NO, include signatures of all deeded landowners (and mailing and/or e-mail addresses if different than the applicant's) or attach affidavits of consent (and mailing and/or email addresses) from all landowners or individuals/entities to which the water right(s) were conveyed.

Check the jollowing boxes	іпаі арріз	v:						
The applicant is responded to be sent to	ponsible to the app	for comp licant.	oletion of	change(s). No	tices and co	rrespondence should		
The receiving lando final order is issued.	wner wil Copies o	l be resp of notice	onsible for s and cor	or completing respondence s	the proposed hould be sen	I change(s) after the to this landowner.		
Both the receiving la Copies of notices an	andowne	r and app	olicant wi	ll be responsil	ole for comp	letion of change(s)		
At this time, are the lands in								
If YES, and you know vinformation table below assignment will have to	who the n	ew lando lo not kn	owner wi	ll be, please co	omplete the 1	eceiving landowner		
If a property sells, the counless a sale agreement http://www.oregon.gov/	or other	documen	it states o	therwise. For	more inform	o the new owner, ation see:		
RECEIVING LANDOWNER NAME				PHONE NO.	ADDI	FIONAL CONTACT NO.		
ADDRESS					FAXN	IO.		
CITY	STATE	ZIP	E-MAIL			RECEIVED		
Check here if any of the an irrigation or other wa	water rig ter distric	hts propet. (Tip :	osed for to Complet	e and attach	will be locat Supplement	ed with Brerved lal Form D.)		
CITY			STATE		ZIP			
Check here if water for a for stored water with a fe	ny of the	rights su	upplied u	nder a water so	ervice agree	nent or other contract		
ENTITY NAME N/A			ADDRESS	3				
CITY			STATE		ZIP			
To meet State Land Use Corcorporation, or tribal govern	nsistency ments wi	Require	ments, yo	ou must list all	county, city	, municipal ed, conveyed or used		
ENTITY NAME Washington County Departmen Transportation	t of Land	Use and	ADDRESS 155 N	First Ave				
CITY Hillsboro			STATE OR		ZIP 97124	1		
ENTITY NAME			ADDRESS	3				
CITY			STATE		ZIP			

Please use a separate Part 5 for each water right being changed. See instructions on page 6, to copy and paste additional Part 5s, or to add additional rows to tables within the form.

CERTIFICATE # 16677

Description of Water Delivery System

System capacity: <u>0.32</u> cubic feet per second (cfs) **OR** (per certificate 16677)

gallons per minute (gpm)

Describe the current water delivery system or the system that was in place at some time within the last five years. Include information on the pumps, canals, pipelines, and sprinklers used to divert, convey, and apply the water at the authorized place of use. The current water system is the temporary transfer system that uses a pump in the stream that conveys water via underground pipelines to a series of drip lines.

Table 1. Location of Authorized and Proposed Point(s) of Diversion (POD) or Appropriation (POA) (Note: If the POD/POA name is not specified on the certificate, assign it a name or number here.)

POD/POA Name or Number	Is this POD/POA Authorized on the Certificate or is it Proposed?	If POA, OWRD Well Log ID# (or Well ID Tag # L)	Twp		Rng		Sec	1/4 1/4		Tax Lot, DLC or Gov't Lot	Measured Distances (from a recognized survey corner)	
"Div." Cert. 16677		NA	1	S	4	w	31	SW	NE	58	1590' S & 1485' W of NE S31	
"PD1" Cert. 89459	☐ Authorized ☐ Proposed	NA	1	S	4	w	32	sw	SE	59	820' N & 2200' W of SE S32.	

Check	all type(s) of change(s) proposed below (chang	e "CODES" are provided in parentheses):
\boxtimes	Place of Use (POU)		Supplemental Use to Primary Use (S to P)
	Character of Use (USE)		Point of Appropriation/Well (POA)
\boxtimes	Point of Diversion (POD)		Additional Point of Appropriation (APOA)
	Additional Point of Diversion (APOD)		Substitution (SUB)
	Surface Water POD to Ground Water POA (SW/GW)		Government Action POD (GOV)
Will all	of the proposed changes affect the entire	e wate	r right?
× Yes	Complete only the Proposed ("to" or "on" "CODES" listed above to describe the pro-	' lands) section of Table 2 on the next page. Use the changes.
No	Complete all of Table 2 to describe the po	ortion	of the water right to be changed.

RECEIVED

JUL 3 0 2018

Please use and attach additional pages of Table 2 as needed. See page 6 for instructions.

Do you have questions about how to fill-out the tables? Contact the Department at 503-986-0900 and ask for Transfer Staff.

Table 2. Description of Changes to Water Right Certificate # 16677

List the change proposed for the acreage in each ½ ¼. If more than one change is proposed, specify the acreage associated with each change. If there is more than one POD/POA involved in the proposed changes, specify the acreage associated with each POD/POA.

The	AUTHORIZED (the "from" or "off" lands) The listing that appears on the certificate BEFORE PROPOSED CHANGES List only that part or portion of the water right that will be changed.				Proposed Changes (see	nges (see are made.) CHANG	HANGES									
Twp	Rng	S	ec	1/4 1/4	Tax Lot	Gvt Lot or DLC	Acres	Type of USE listed on Certificate	POD(s) or POA(s) (name or number from Table 1)	Priority Date	"CODES" from previous page)	Т	wp	R	ng	Sec	1/4	1/4	Tax Lot	Gvt Lot or DLC	Acres	New Type of USE	POD(s)/ POA(s) to be used (from Table 1)	Priority Date
											POD/POU	1	S	4	W	32	SE	NW	401	59	0.5	Irrig	PD1	1941
		1									POD/POU	1	S	4	W	32	NE	SW	401& 500	59	6.0	Irrig	PD1	1941
											POD/POU	1	S	4	w	32	NW	SW	401& 500	59	1.1	Irrig	PD1	1941
		1									POD/POU	1	S	4	w	32	SW	SW	500	59	2.1	Irrig	PD1	1941
		_									POD/POU	1	S	4	W	32	SE	SW	401& 500	59	7.6	Irrig	PD1	1941
											POD/POU	1	S	4	w	32	NE	SE	401	59	0.3	Irrig	PD1	1941
											POD/POU	1	S	4	w	32	NE	SE	401	4	0.4	Irrig	PD1	1941
											POD/POU	1	S	4	w	32	NW	SE	401	59	5.1	Irrig	PD1	1941
											POD/POU	1	S	4	w	32	SW	SE	401& 500	59	1.6	Irrig	PD1	1941
											POD/POU	1	S	4	w	32	SE	SE	401	59	0.5	Irrig	PD1	1941
											POD/POU	1	s	4	W	32	SE	SE	401	5	0.4	Irrig	PD1	1941
				TOTAL	L ACR	ES:	25.6	= the comple	te right								Т	OTA	L ACR	ES:	25.6			

Additional remarks: This table has the same data as the temporary transfer T-12204, remarks are continued below and in Attachment "D".

RECEIVED

JUL 3 0 2018

1. The entire right is being transferred so the left side of the chart is not completed.

2. The proposed Place of Use (POU) is the same irrigated area as the existing right being 25.6 acres. However, because the several strips of irrigated land are within a larger area of land, it is needed to have to two area labels. In this application the irrigated area is named "Net Acres"; and the entire farmed area that includes all of the irrigated strips and the non-irrigated area, is called "Gross Acres".

3. The gross acres of irrigation were computed by a completing a site visit to map the land being farmed. Then the outside of the line of the land being farmed was offset 25 feet inward to account for a strip around the tree rows that is not to be irrigated and is instead used for

farming access. This offset line is my best estimate of the gross acres that will be irrigated.

4. Within these gross acres are rows of hazelnut trees that are 20.00 feet apart. The strips have been computed to need to be 5.03 feet wide centered on each row of hazelnut trees. Therefore for every lineal foot along a row there is (5.03 X 1.0 =) 5.03 square feet (SF) of irrigation (net area) within (20.0 x 1.0 =) 20.00 SF of gross area. The ratio of Net to Gross area is 5.03/20.00 = 0.2516; or in other words, the net acres is 25.16% of the gross acres. There is 101.8 Gross Acres and 25.6 Net Acres. I find this method reasonable to compute the actual area to be irrigated under this temporary transfer.

5. Because of this non-typical Net to Gross acres irrigation pattern, and the requirement to not increase the right, the Water Resources Department (WRD) has mentioned the need for a method to determine the actual irrigation area. The site is very flat and appears to have four major soil types according to the NRCS. Further review of the NRCS soil types shows that all of the four soils have similar aspect, drainage, and area all the typical silty, clay loams with similar soil moisture characteristics. Therefore, Mike McCord of WRD stated that

one baseline or control site and one or two measuring sites would be required by WRD.

6. An Moisture Monitoring Plan (MMP) has been in place and has been satisfactory for the Water Resources Department as described in an email from the local Watermaster, see Attachment G. The current system has Meter (formerly Decagon) GS1 soil sensors. See "https://www.metergroup.com/environment/products/ech2o-gs-1/". There are currently soil moisture monitoring sensors at soil depths of 1', 2', and 3' in the control, the drip line and at a distances of 2'6" from the centerline of the dripline. The applicant proposes to maintain the current moisture monitoring and reporting system, however, if WRD needs a system other than what follows, or various upgrades to the system, the applicant wants WRD to work with the applicant to find a mutually agreeable solution. The applicant provides Attachment D to describe how the future MMP that we expect to be required in the Final Order for this application can be adapted to prevent potential issues that have come up with certain other transfers similar to this one.

7. The owner has already installed an ODFW approved fish screen as required by T-12204.

RECEIVED

JUL 3 0 2018

For Place of Use or Character of Use Changes

Are there other water right certificates, water use permits or ground water registrations associated with the "from" or the "to" lands? ⊠ Yes □ No

If YES, list the certificate, water use permit, or ground water registration numbers: 89459 and 68069

Note: Cert 89459 is for stockwater and the POU for it is on the same property, but is not on the same POU as this transfer POU. The POD for this transfer is the same POD as is called "PD1" in Cert 89459. No other water rights were found applicable to this property other than the city of Hillsboro and Forest Grove service areas, which are not known to be utilized on this site.



Pursuant to ORS 540.510, any "layered" water use such as an irrigation right that is supplemental to a primary right proposed for transfer must be included in the transfer or be cancelled. Any change to a ground water registration must be filed separately in a ground water registration modification application.

For Substitution (ground water supplemental irrigation will be substituted for surface water primary irrigation)

RECEIVED

Ground water supplemental Permit or Certificate # <u>N/A</u>: Surface water primary Certificate # <u>N/A</u>.

JUL 3 0 2018

For a change from Supplemental Irrigation Use to Primary Irrigation Use

OWRD

Identify the primary certificate to be cancelled. Certificate # N/A

For a change in point(s) of appropriation (well(s)) or additional point(s) of appropriation:

Well log(s) are attached for each authorized and proposed well(s) that are clearly labeled and associated with the corresponding well(s) in Table 1 above and on the accompanying application map.

Tip: You may search for well logs on the Department's web page at:

http://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/gw/well_log/Default.aspx

AND/OR

Describe the construction of the authorized and proposed well(s) in Table 3 for any wells that do not have a well log. For *proposed wells not yet constructed or built*, provide "a best estimate" for each requested information element in the table. The Department recommends you consult a licensed well driller, geologist, or certified water right examiner to assist with assembling the information necessary to complete Table 3.

Table 3. Construction of Point(s) of Appropriation

Any well(s) in this listing must be clearly tied to corresponding well(s) described in Table 1 and shown on the accompanying application map. Failure to provide the information will delay the processing of your transfer application until it is received. The information is necessary for the department to assess whether the proposed well(s) will access the same source aquifer as the authorized point(s) of appropriation (POA). The Department is prohibited by law from approving POA changes that do not access the same source aquifer.

POA Name or Number		OWRD Well ID Tag No. L-	Total well depth	Casing Diameter	Casing Intervals (feet)	Seal depth(s) (intervals)	Perforated or screened intervals (in feet)	level of completed well (in feet)	Source aquifer (sand, gravel, basalt, etc.)	rate (cfs or gpm). If less han full rate of water right
Proposed or Authorized	Is well	If an existing well:						Static water		Well -specific

RECEIVED

JUL 3 0 2018



Water Resources, Water Rights, Land Surveying, Engineering, Land Use Planning

> ERICURSTADT@HOTMAIL.COM 971-250-1520 (MOBILE)

Oregon Water Resources Department Transfer Section 725 Summer Street NE, Ste. A Salem, OR, 97301

July 23, 2018



RECEIVED

JUL 3 0 2018

Subject: Narrative for Proposed Permanent Transfer of Temporary Transfer T-12204

OWRD

To Whom It May Concern,

Some in the Water Resources Department (WRD) have mentioned that these types of transfers, sometimes referred to as a "strip/drip" transfers, are being discouraged by WRD. Strip/drip transfers come with Moisture Monitoring Plans (MMP) to ensure WRD that the right will not be expanded.

On this particular property, the current MMP has worked. The flat ground and types of soil on this property works well with the technology available, and WRD would be preventing wise water use if this application were not approved in some form. The applicant is a sophisticated farmer with many operations in the Willamette Valley. He has utilized vast amounts of funds to make the current temporary transfer work to the satisfaction of WRD. Since he had heard that the temporary transfer was going fine and had not heard that WRD was having problems with other such transfers, he purchased land and water rights to make the temporary transfer become permanent. When WRD is happy with the results as shown in Attachment G, it is not fair to the applicant to not allow the transfer to be made permanent.

Everyone agrees that the "strip/drip" transfers allow a great increase in the production of certain agricultural products using the same amount of water. For these reasons, the strip/drip transfers should be promoted by WRD if they can be proven to have relatively simple and reliable MMPs to assure compliance with Oregon water laws.

Three main issues have sometimes come up on some of these transfers: (1) the sensors do not produced clear data, (2) the analysis of the data reported is time consuming for WRD, and (3) WRD wants more assurance that the right will not be expanded.

I have been involved in number of the past strip/drip transfers, and well as the "polka dot/drip" transfers

and their MMP's. The earlier MMPs were limited by the instrumentation and systems available at that time. Some systems were very cumbersome to install and even more cumbersome to obtain the readings. Some MMP's required that the owner would give raw data to WRD, and WRD had to decipher the data. Some sensors did not read in direct moisture percent and instead gave some other form of reading that needed to be converted to moisture. Taking measurements was often labor intensive and time consuming In their initial stages these transfers have had some weaknesses, but that should be expected for new techniques, and technology has improved significantly.

The applicant currently uses a more recent MMP and the Watermaster and Regional Director have told me that the current MMP being used for the *temporary* transfer (T-12204) being on the exact same site as this proposed *permanent* transfer has been working very well and does not have problems mentioned above, see Attachment G. Nevertheless, the owner, the moisture monitoring contractor, and I, all believe that MMP's for these transfers can be further improved economically. All three of the main concerns can be addressed.

<u>Instrumentation and Sensors:</u>

The intent of the MMP is to make sure the water being applied by the drip lines is not getting outside the prescribed strip. Currently, when the moisture readings increase (without precipitation) at the edge of the strip, the water is shut off. As preventative measure, another set of sensors could be placed about 1-2" inside the edge of the strip and monitored to see if moisture was getting *near* the edge of the strip, so the water could be shut off *before* the moisture gets to the edge of the strip. This would give WRD further confidence that the right will not be expanded.

The current instrumentation is comprised of permanently set moisture sensors that directly read moisture percent. Field observations indicate the readings are accurate to around 0.1 to 0.2%, which has shown to be accurate enough for the intended use. The current sensors are Meter (Decagon) GS1 sensors; they have proven to be reliable during the past few years.

<u>Improvements in Monitoring:</u>

In the current MMP for the subject site, a person was required to go to the site weekly, plug in a data collector, and take readings for each of the three sets of sensors. While this is much simpler than some MMPs of the past, it is still relatively time consuming. The data is manually put into a spreadsheet and sent to the Watermaster as seen in Attachment B-1/5 to B-3/5. That data is then reorganized so that it can be placed in a graph per Attachment B-4/5 and B-5/5. Although this has worked satisfactorily for WRD and the landowner, we found ways to improve on this system by adding automation.

There are now data loggers for these sensors that allow for automatic reading up to every 15 minutes instead of weekly. With a connection to the "web", the information can be stored on a local device or sent to the cloud or other device.

<u>Improvements in Data Presentation:</u>

Typically, what has been presented to the Watermaster and WRD is a table of data reading from a number of sensors for each period the data was collected. The table of data is difficult to decipher to determine what is going on. Furthermore, although soils are often shown as being constant on the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) soils maps for various areas; we know that in Western Oregon, soils are still variable from place to place, even within constant NRCS soil types. For this reason, it cannot be expected that moisture readings in soils will all match even if sensors are close to each other and are at

the same depth. For example, a sensor at depth 36" may read 24% and another sensor a few feet away 36" may read 28% - even at the same time with the same watering conditions. This is confusing to anyone viewing the tables of data.

Even though the sensors from identical depths might be at different moisture readings, the relative pattern of moisture over time can be easily understood with a graphical representation. After all, it is really the *change* in moisture over time that is relevant and not the exact moisture percent. In 2017, we used graphical methods to illustrate to the Watermaster how the system is working. An example of the charts that summarize a full year of watering are attached. See Attachment B-5/5.

[Note: There is a chart for *each depth* of sensor, and here is the reasoning why. It is obvious that the top layers of any soil type (especially silty/clay/loams) are affected by precipitation, arid conditions, or irrigation much faster than the deeper depths. The upper soil layers would typically be increased in moisture by an irrigation event sooner and with greater magnitude than lower depths especially in the especially silty/clay/loams of the subject site. The upper layers are closer to the sun, rain, wind and drip systems and as such they get affected much more by that. So it is clear that comparing the moisture of different depths is irrational.]

For a given depth of soil, the moisture reading for location and depth should drop slowly over the typical local summer drought conditions unless the soil has been irrigated or there has been an odd precipitation event. The moisture will trend downward over time unless irrigation has been applied or there was a rain event. Rain events are not typical and can be easily verified by various websites. Those events can be labelled on the charts. The dates of the start of watering and the end of watering can also be shown on the charts. This all worked very well in the current MMP for the subject site.

The applicant has been told that the new data loggers can remotely record data, send it to a remote site, and present the data in graphical form for both ease of use by the applicant, but also ease of monitoring by the Watermaster and WRD, and the applicant plans to put this system in place.

Improvements in Watering Control:

With the new ways to automatically collect the data, to automatically graphically show the data, and the ability to place the data on the "cloud", both WRD and the applicant will be able to know the moisture conditions whenever needed, and watering can be controlled quickly. But further conditions could be added if necessary.

The Final Order might state that the applicant must self-monitor the moisture and control watering, instead of adding this work to the Watermaster's workload.

The Final Order could state that "only after 5 years of proven compliance to the MMP" can a Claim of Beneficial Use can be completed for the transfer. This would enable WRD to know that the MMP is working and therefore will be working into the future.

Summary:

WRD should approve this application because:

- 1. The strip/drip transfers are a wise use of water.
- 2. WRD should encourage wise use of water.
- 3. Technological advances have made these transfers easier to manage.

RECEIVED

JUL 3 0 2018

4. The applicant is only proposing to make permanent a temporary transfer that has been proven to be working well; and is proposing to improve the MMP.

5. If the application is not approved, the applicant will be severely harmed because he relied on WRD's past history of approving these applications, that his MMP was working well; and the fact that WRD did not inform water users that they might change their attitude toward these applications.

Sincerely,

Aspen Rural Land Consulting

Eric Urstadt, PE, PLS

RECEIVED

JUL 3 0 2018