

Dear Jim,-

We have a copy of Judge Corkins' decision in the Hillis case, and it looks to me to be a bad thing to let go as it is without an appeal. We have surveyed Hillis' land and find only about 40 acres irrigated, but I do not see how the water master could cut his water down to adjust the quantity for that acreage or to any quantity less than the 1.49 second feet, in view of the restraining order.

I would be glad to have your views on the matter, and will be interested to know whether anybody is going to appeal. Mr. Luper does not feel that the state should take any action.

P:G

R. W. POTTER.

We can't put it  
on hand already  
having a right, and  
thus increase the  
quantity used per acre.  
He must specify a  
particular 10 acres.

1.50 acres

See 5690 O.C.

es. on. Ch. 194

L-1427

WM. HILLIS

Application for transfer of  
water right, Objections  
filed thereto, and  
correspondence - Dec. 1927.

NOTE: Related to this matter  
is the decision of the Circuit  
Court (Judge Corkins of Lake  
County) in the suit brought  
by Wm. Hillis against Hoffman,  
copy of which is in the  
Water Master's file, District  
No. 15. Also map of the survey  
made by Ed. Humphrey and  
Chester Cummings, Dec. 1927.