Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- <u>13420 (temporary drought)</u>			
GW Reviewer <u>Michael Thoma</u> Date Review Completed: <u>05/18/2020</u>			
Summary of Enlargement (Same Source) Review:			
\Box The proposed transfer fails to keep the original place of use from receiving water from the same source.			
Summary of Injury Review:			
☐ The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source.			
Summary of Similarity Review:			
☐ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.			
This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.			

Version: 20200326



Cround Water Deview Form.

WATER	WRD S S	Oregon Water Resour 25 Summer Street NE, alem, Oregon 97301-1 503) 986-0900 www.wrd.state.or.us	Suite A			
Applic	ation: T- <u>134</u>	-20 (temporary di	rought)		t Name: <u>Deborah Walla</u>	<u>ce</u>
Propos	ed Changes:	□ POA □ USE	⊠ APOA* □ POU	□ SW→GW □ OTHER	□ RA	
Review	ver(s): Mic	hael Thoma		Da	te of Review: <u>05/18/202</u>	<u>20</u>
		Γ	Date Reviewed	by GW Mgr. and R	eturned to WRSD:	
<i>applica</i> The inf	ation descrip	ption, the applica	nt explains that	t they propose to us	transfer application; in e both wells. whether the proposed	the
	The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.					
	The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.					
□ Ot	ther					
<u>ter</u> <u>of</u> <u>pro</u>	Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: <u>The applicant proposes</u> temporarily adding an APOA to existing certificate Cert. 91588. Cert. 91588 authorizes use of one well (KLAM 14690) for irrigation of 311.5 acres at a rate of 2.48 cfs. The applicant proposes adding a second well (KLAM 51848) to the certificate, providing the option to use either well.					<u>nt</u>
\boxtimes	Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA? Yes Do Comments: both wells are producing from mixed volcanic deposits and have similar static water levels.					
		re than one source No	e developed un	der the right (e.g., b	pasalt and alluvium)?	
	•	-		lied by each of the roposed change (ra	sources and describe ante, duty, etc.):	ıy

4.	a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with another ground water right ?			
	Yes No Comments: The proposed change would move appropriation less than 0.5 miles from the original POA and farther from the nearest groundwater rights. Several large irrigation wells exist in this part of the Klamath Basin and are generally sufficiently deep that well-to-well interference has not been an issue. Additionally, there are very few domestic wells in the vicinity.			
	b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled? \[\subseteq \text{Yes} \quantering \text{No} \quantering \text{If yes, explain:} \]			
 a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an incin interference with another surface water source? Yes No Comments: There are no surface water sources (as it relates to OA) 690-009) in the vicinity the proposed POA. 				
	b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any surface water sources resulting from the proposed change?			
	Stream:			
	Stream:			
6.	For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer? \[\textstyle \text{Yes} \textstyle \text{No} \text{Comments:} \text{L}			
7.	What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above:			
8.	Any additional comments:			

Page 2 of 4 Last Revised: 1/17/2018

Transfer Review Map

