Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- <u>13461</u>

GW Reviewer J. Hackett Date Review Completed: June 23, 2020

Summary of Same Source Review:

The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

Summary of Injury Review:

The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

□ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.

SINTE OF ORECOM		Oregon Water Resources Department 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A Salem, Oregon 97301-1271 (503) 986-0900 www.wrd.state.or.us		Ground Water Review Form:			
Application: T-13461Applicant Name: Stephen & Belin						Stephen & Belinda Bylund	
Proj	posed Change	es:	\square POA \square USE	□ APOA □ POU	$\Box SW \rightarrow GW$ $\Box OTHER$	\Box RA	
Reviewer(s): J. Hackett Date of Review: J. Hackett							
Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: <u>JTI 7/</u> 1/2020							
	 The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because: The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer. The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed. Other 						
1.	Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: <u>This application seeks a change in</u> <u>POA to reflect use of a historic POA that has not been authorized.</u>						
2.	Yes Do Comments: <u>The authorized POA (BENT 4709) is 43 feet deep and</u> produces from coarse-grained alluvial sediments. The Historic POA (BENT 51636) is 114 feet deep and also produces from coarse-grained sediments.						
3.	a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)? Yes Xo						
	b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.):						

4. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?

☐ Yes ⊠ No Comments: <u>Both wells are located similar distances from existing</u> groundwater users, so interference should not increase.

b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?

 \Box Yes \Box No If yes, explain: _____

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?

☐ Yes ⊠ No Comments: <u>Both wells are located similar distances from nearby</u> <u>streams, so interference should not increase.</u>

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?

Provide context for minimal/significant impact:

6. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?

□ Yes □ No Comments: _____

- 7. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: _____
- 8. Any additional comments:

Well Location Map

