

Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 13465

GW Reviewer Aurora Bouchier Date Review Completed: 8/3/2020

Summary of Same Source Review:

The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

Summary of Injury Review:

The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.



Oregon Water Resources Department
 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
 Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
 (503) 986-0900
 www.wrd.state.or.us

Ground Water Review Form:

- Water Right Transfer
- Permit Amendment
- GR Modification
- Other

Application: T-13465

Applicant Name: Shotgun Ranch LLC

Proposed Changes: POA APOA SW→GW RA
 USE POU OTHER

Reviewer(s): Aurora Bouchier

Date of Review: 8/3/2020

Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: JTI 8/4/2020

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- Other _____

-
1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: The application proposes adding 2 additional PODs to Permits G-17700 (app G-18112) and G-18245 (app G-18604) because the authorized well (CROO 54453) does not yield sufficient water for the supplemental irrigation (both covering the same 41 acres covered under surface water Certificate 94454), reservoir storage and wildlife uses authorized by the permits.
 2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?
 Yes No Comments: It is likely that the additional PODs will likewise be completed in the Clarno Formation.
 3. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?
 Yes No _____
 b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): _____
 4. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?
 Yes No Comments: _____
 b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?
 Yes No If yes, explain: _____

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?
 Yes No Comments: _____

- b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?
 Stream: _____ Minimal Significant
 Stream: _____ Minimal Significant
 Provide context for minimal/significant impact: _____

6. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?
 Yes No Comments: NA

7. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: NA

8. Any additional comments: None.

Location Map

