Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- <u>13557</u>

GW Reviewer ______ Date Review Completed: ______01/12/2021___

Summary of Same Source Review:

The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

Summary of Injury Review:

The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

□ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.

	OREGON Oregon Water Resources Department 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A Salem, Oregon 97301-1271 (503) 986-0900 www.wrd.state.or.us	Ground Water Review Form: Water Right Transfer Permit Amendment GR Modification Other 			
Application: T-13557Applicant Name: Oregon State University College of Agriculture					
Proj	posed Changes: \Box POA \boxtimes APOA \Box USE \Box POU	$\Box SW \rightarrow GW \qquad \Box RA$ $\Box OTHER$			
Rev	viewer(s): Phillip I. Marcy	Date of Review: <u>01/12/2021</u>			
Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: JTI 2/2/21					
The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:					
	The water well reports provided with the app affected by the transfer.	incation do not correspond to the water rights			
	☐ The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.				
	Other				
1.	Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: <u>This application_proposes to</u> <u>correct the location of the existing authorized well (BENT 4658 under GR-2992), as well as</u> <u>adding other existing wells to allow operation of the system as a well field. The applicant</u> <u>has contemporaneously submitted GR modifications involving rights GR-2993, GR-2994,</u> <u>and GR-2995.</u>				
2.	Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA? \boxtimes Yes \square No Comments: <u>All existing wells produce from shallow alluvium, as will</u> the proposed new well (APOA "Well 3").				
3.	a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?				
	b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right sup limitations that will need to be placed on the	plied by each of the sources and describe any proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): <u>NA</u>			
4.	 a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with another ground water right? ☑ Yes □ No Comments: Moving a portion of pumping authorized under GR-2992 to APOA wells closer to nearby GR-400 to the southwest is anticipated to increase interference to a minor extent. Effects will likely be offset by contemporaneous GR modifications where portions of pumping on other existing rights are shared with other wells. 				

Page 1 of 3

b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No If yes, explain: <u>See 4a.</u>

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No Comments: <u>No proposed APOA is significantly closer to any surface</u> water source than the existing authorized POA well.

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?

Stream: Owl Creek	🖾 Minimal	
Stream:	\Box Minimal	□ Significant
	·	file and ADOA less

Provide context for minimal/significant impact: <u>None of the proposed APOA locations are</u> significantly closer to Owl Creek than the authorized POA location.

6. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?

 \Box Yes \Box No Comments: <u>NA</u>

- 7. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: <u>None.</u>
- 8. Any additional comments:

