

# Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 13626

GW Reviewer Phillip Marcy Date Review Completed: 03/12/2021

## Summary of Same Source Review:

The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

## Summary of Injury Review:

The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

## Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

*This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.*



**Oregon Water Resources Department**  
 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A  
 Salem, Oregon 97301-1271  
 (503) 986-0900  
 www.wrd.state.or.us

## Ground Water Review Form:

- Water Right Transfer
- Permit Amendment
- GR Modification
- Other

Application: T-13626

Applicant Name: Case Family LLC

Proposed Changes:     POA             APOA             SW→GW             RA  
                                   USE             POU             OTHER

Reviewer(s): Phillip I. Marcy

Date of Review: 03/12/2021

Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: JTI 3/12/21

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: The applicant proposes to move use (POU) from tax lot 2200 to tax lot 700, and as a result, use proposed POA wells "1-4", in place of "Pump Well", currently authorized under GR 1703.
2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?  
 Yes     No    Comments: All wells produce from relatively shallow alluvium, constructed with open intervals ranging from 14-30' BLS.
3. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?  
 Yes     No NA  
 b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): NA
4. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?  
 Yes     No    Comments: It is likely that nearby rights GR-2960 and permit G-18152 to the west (~1150') of the proposed POAs will experience a minimal increase in interference due to the redistribution of pumping from the authorized POA to the SE.  
 b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?

Yes  No If yes, explain: The currently authorized combined rate of production from the four proposed POAs is 2.228 cfs under GR-2286, GR-2287, GR-2288, and GR-2289. The addition of 0.0668 cfs represents less than a 3 percent increase in authorized rate across 4 wells. Therefore, it is unlikely that the proposed changes in this application would result in any nearby right not receiving water to which it is legally entitled.

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?

Yes  No Comments: Any increase in interference to nearby surface water is anticipated to be minimal.

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?

Stream: Santiam River  Minimal  Significant

Stream: Willamette River  Minimal  Significant

Provide context for minimal/significant impact: The significant distance to these surface water sources, and the modest increase in pumping rate suggest it is not likely that the proposed change in use will measurably increase interference. The disuse of currently authorized LINN 4816 is expected to offset increased pumping from proposed POAs.

6. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?

Yes  No Comments: NA

7. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: None.

8. Any additional comments: \_\_\_\_\_

