

# Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 13659

GW Reviewer Phillip I. Marcy Date Review Completed: 04/07/2021

## Summary of Same Source Review:

The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

## Summary of Injury Review:

The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

## Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

*This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.*



**Oregon Water Resources Department**  
 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A  
 Salem, Oregon 97301-1271  
 (503) 986-0900  
 www.wrd.state.or.us

## Ground Water Review Form:

- Water Right Transfer
- Permit Amendment
- GR Modification
- Other

Application: T-13659

Applicant Name: Dale Barrett, Barrett Ranches

Proposed Changes:     POA             APOA             SW→GW             RA  
                                   USE             POU             OTHER

Reviewer(s): Phillip I. Marcy

Date of Review: 04/07/2021

Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: JTI 4/8/21

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: Change POU to match revised pivot locations, omit wells 2 and 3, and amend wells 4 and 5.
2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?  
 Yes     No    Comments: All wells produce from fractured rock at depths greater than 100 feet BLS.
3. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?  
 Yes     No NA  
 b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): NA
4. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?  
 Yes     No    Comments: The proposed changes are not anticipated to increase interference with any nearby water right.  
 b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?  
 Yes     No    If yes, explain: NA

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?  
 Yes  No Comments: The proposed APOA locations do not move pumping significantly closer to any surface water source.
- b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?  
 Stream: Crooked Creek  Minimal  Significant  
 Stream: NA  Minimal  Significant  
 Provide context for minimal/significant impact: Proposed Well 4 is roughly 400' closer to Crooked Creek than authorized POA location 3. However, Proposed Well 5 is 1,400' further from the creek, with the resulting pumping geometry not anticipated to increase overall interference to Crooked Creek.
6. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?  
 Yes  No Comments: NA
7. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: None
8. Any additional comments: \_\_\_\_\_





