

Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 13675

GW Reviewer Phillip I. Marcy Date Review Completed: 08/23/2021

Summary of Same Source Review:

☐ The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

Summary of Injury Review:

☐ The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

☐ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.



Oregon Water Resources Department
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
(503) 986-0900
www.wrd.state.or.us

Ground Water Review Form:

- ☒ **Water Right Transfer**
☐ **Permit Amendment**
☐ **GR Modification**
☐ **Other**

Application: T-13675

Applicant Name: J-S Ranch

Proposed Changes: ☐ POA ☒ APOA ☐ SW→GW ☐ RA
☒ USE ☒ POU ☐ OTHER

Reviewer(s): Phillip I. Marcy

Date of Review: 08/23/2021

Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: JTI 9/16/21

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- ☐ The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- ☐ The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- ☐ Other _____

-
1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: The applicant proposes to convert a portion of the underlying right (Cert 76538) to agricultural and stock water use for raising poultry, in addition to moving a portion of remaining irrigation to lands that will remain in crop production. The applicant also wishes to add an additional point of appropriation (APOA).
 2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?
☒ Yes ☐ No Comments: Both the existing well and the proposed well produce from alluvium.
 3. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?
☐ Yes ☒ No _____
b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): NA
 4. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?
☒ Yes ☐ No Comments: The existing authorized well is located 1775' from nearby LINN 344, an authorized POA under permit G-13126, versus a distance of 1725' from LINN 344 to the proposed APOA location.
b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?

☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, explain: The proposed configuration including the APOA well, is not anticipated to produce a significant increase in interference.

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?

☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: The proposed APOA is not significantly closer to any surface water source than the currently authorized POA.

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?

Stream: North Santiam River

☒ Minimal ☐ Significant

Stream: _____

☐ Minimal ☐ Significant

Provide context for minimal/significant impact: The proposed APOA well is not measurably closer to the North Santiam River than the existing authorized POA.


6. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?

☐ Yes ☐ No Comments: NA

7. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: None.

8. Any additional comments: _____

9.



Permit condition measurement record for the currently authorized POA, MARI 50649/LINN 6573.

