Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- <u>13778</u>		
GW Reviewer Jen Woody Date Review Completed: 1/12/2022		
Summary of Same Source Review:		
☐ The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).		
Summary of Injury Review:		
☐ The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).		
Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:		
☐ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.		
This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.		

Version: 20210204



Application: T-13778

Reviewer(s): Jen Woody

Proposed Changes:

☐ Yes

 \square No

Oregon Water Resources Departmen 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A Salem, Oregon 97301-1271 (503) 986-0900 www.wrd.state.or.us

 \square POA

 \square USE

	Ground Water Review Form:
s Department uite A 71	Water Right Transfer ■ Mater Right
	☐ Permit Amendment
	\square GR Modification
	\square Other
	Applicant Name: Oregon Berry Packing
\square APOA	\square SW \rightarrow GW \square RA
⊠ POU	☐ OTHER

Date of Review: 1/12/2022 Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: - JTI 2/1/22

	e information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed asfer may be approved because:
	The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
	The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed
	Other
1.	Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: T13778 proposes several changes to surface water rights, which are outside the scope of a groundwater review. Certificates 62442 (WASH 9985/Well 1, WASH 9986/Well 2, WASH 10022/Well 3, WASH 10017/Well 4) and 83064 (WASH 9988/Well 5, WASH 9990 /Well 6, WASH 9939/Well 7 are included in this transfer for a place of use change. There are no proposed changes to POAs, so a technical groundwater review is not applicable.
2.	Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA? ☐ Yes ☐ No Comments:

a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with another ground water right? ☐ Yes \square No Comments:

b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.):

3. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?

b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?

8. Any additional comments:_____

5.

	☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, explain:
5.	 a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with another surface water source? Yes No Comments:
	b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any surface water sources resulting from the proposed change? Stream:
	Stream:
6.	For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer? \[\sum \text{Yes} \text{No} \text{Comments:} \sum_{}
7.	What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above:

Transfer Application: T-

Page 2 of 3 Version: 20210204

Transfer Application: T-

Page 3 of 3 Version: 20210204