Transfer Application Watermaster Review Form

Watermaster Review Form: Water Right Transfer



Oregon Water Resources Department 725 Summer St NE, Suite A Salem, Oregon 97301-1266 (503) 986-0900 www.oregon.gov/OWRD

Transfer Application: T-13877

Review Due Date:

Applicant Name: Price Seed Farms, LLC					
Propo	sed Changes: POU POD POA	USE OTHER			
Reviev	wer(s): Jered Hoshaw	Date of Review: 02/14/2022			
1.		en used in the last 5 years and that the presumption of es Mo If "Yes", attach evidence (e.g. dated he land for >5 yrs.)			
2.	Is there a history of regulation on the source the transferred right(s) and downstream water right frequency of any regulation or explain why regulation	MAN OF THE STATE O			
3.	Have headgate notices been issued for the sour Yes No Records not available				
4.	regulation of other water rights that would not	would distribution of water for the right(s) result in have occurred if use under the original right(s) If "Yes", explain:			
5.		proved, are there upstream water rights that would be how the rights would be affected and list the rights			

Wat	termaster Review Form	Transfer Application
6.	Check here if it appears that downstream water rights benefit from return flows rescurrent use of the transferred right(s)? If you check the box, generally characterize the I the return flows likely occur and list the water rights that benefit most:	
	N/A For POD changes and instream transfers, check here if there are channel losses between and new PODs or within the proposed instream reach? If you check the box, describe an estimate the losses:	
8.	N/A For instream transfers that propose protection of a reach beyond the mouth of the sour N/A Would the quantity be measureable into the receiving stream consistent with OAR 690-077-0015(8)? Yes No	
9.	For POU changes: N/A Is it likely the original place of use would continue to receive from the same source? N/A Is it likely the original place of use would continue to receive from the same source? N/A Is it likely the original place of use would continue to receive from the same source?	eive water
10.	For POU or USE changes: N/A In your best judgment, would use of the existing reface value," result in the diversion of more water than can be used beneficially and with Yes No If "Yes", explain:	(VIII)
11.	 For POU changes that involve micro-irrigation: N/A a. Has the applicant made changes (absent a transfer) to convert to micro-irrigation w place of use boundary of the water right proposed for transfer, and previously demonstrated through monitoring and site inspections by the Watermaster that the proposed for transfer in the proposed f	onstrated to the

TACS Page 2 of 5 Last revised May 2019

will not result in injury or enlargement?

Yes No If "Yes", explain:

b.	b. Has a temporary transfer of this nature been previously filed and approved on the same lands (or portions thereof) as those lands involved in this transfer?				
	Yes No If "Yes", answer the following:				
	i. Were there any problems with more acres being irrigated (or wetted) than were authorized under the temporary transfer? Yes No If "Yes", explain				
	ii. Did the designated areas that were to remain dry (or not wetted) under the temporary transfer actually remain dry? Yes No If "No", explain:				
	iii. Did the applicant comply with and meet all of the conditions of the temporary transfer? Yes No If "No", explain:				
	iv. Do you have any other observations regarding the temporary transfer? Yes No If "Yes", describe:				
	v. Did the applicant demonstrate to the Department through monitoring and site inspections by the Watermaster that neither injury nor enlargement occurred as a result of the temporary transfer? Yes No If "No", explain:				
	c. To the best of your knowledge, if this transfer is approved, does it appear that: i. "Injury" will occur to other water rights that share the same source? Yes No If "Yes", explain:				
	ii. "Enlargement" of the water right being transferred will occur? Yes No If "Yes", explain:				

Watermaster Review Form	Transfer Application
12. Are there other issues not identified through the above questions that should be consider determining whether the change "can be effected without injury to other rights"?	ered in
Yes No If "Yes", explain:	
See attached Watermaster notes.	
13. What alternatives may be available for addressing any issues identified above:	
Review tables and maps for errors and correct as necessary.	
14. Do conditions need to be included in the transfer order to avoid enlargement of the right other rights? No Ves, as checked and provided below:	t or injury to
For POU changes that involve micro-irrigation, provide the monitoring and reporting necessary to prevent injury/enlargement:	ig conditions
A Headgate should be required prior to diverting water.	v
Measurement Devices for POD or POA: (if this condition is selected, also fill in the t sections of Page 4)	:ор
a. Before water use may begin under this order, the water user shall install a totalist or, with prior approval of the Director, another suitable measuring device, at each diversion/appropriation (new and existing) OR at each new point of diversion/appropriation or an adjusted to the Bureau of Reclamation or an district (or similar entity) are not subject to this condition.	ach point of opriation
b. The water user shall maintain the meters or measuring devices in good working c. The water user shall allow the Watermaster access to the meters or measuring a however, where the meters or measuring devices are located within a private structu Watermaster shall request access upon reasonable notice.	devices; provided
Reservoir water use measurement: (if this condition is selected, also fill in the top s of Page 4)	ections
a. Before water use may begin under this order, the water user shall install staff gas or, with prior approval of the Director, other suitable measuring devices, that measur range and stage between empty and full in each reservoir. Staff gages shall be United Geological Survey style.	re the entire
b. Before water use may begin under this order, if the reservoir is located in channel suitable measuring devices must be installed upstream and downstream of the reservadjustable outlet valve must be installed. The water user shall maintain such devices order. A written waiver may be obtained, if in the judgment of the Director, the installed other suitable measuring devices, or the adjustable outlet valve, will provide no publication.	voir, and, an in good working allation of weirs or
* The following alternative device(s) should be substituted for the bold, underlined devi selected condition:	ice in the above
Weir Submerged Orifice	
Parshall Flume Flow Restrictor	
Other:	

Watermaster Review Form Transfer Application

Oregon Water Resources Department

Measurement Condition Information for the Applicant

(To be sent with the Draft Preliminary Determination or Final Order)

Transfer #: T- 13877

Salem, OR 97301-1266

In order to avoid enlargement of the	right or injury to o	other rights, a Totalizing flow m	eter will
be required to be installed prior to di	iversion of water, a	as a condition of this transfer:	
at each point of diversion/ap		ind existing) OR	
at each new point of diversio	n/appropriation.		
For additional information, or to obtain approx should contact the area Watermaster:	val of a different ty	rpe of measurement device, the	applicant
Watermaster name: Jered Hoshaw			
District: 9			
Address: 251 B Street West			
City/State/Zip: Vale, Oregon 97918			
Phone: (541)473-5130			
Email: Jered.L.Hoshaw@water.oregon.g	ov		
Note : If a device other than the one specified by the Watermaster, fill out and mail the f			approved
***********	******	********	
Approval of an Alternative (to be filled out after consul			
On behalf of the Director, I authorize use of th	e following suitable	e alternate measurement device	: :
Watermaster signature	District	Date	
If this form is used for approval of an alternative measu	rement device, it must	t be mailed to:	
Oregon Water Resources Department			
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A			

TACS Page 5 of 5 Last revised May 2019

T-13877 Watermaster Notes

- Certificate 39150 authorized POD location description on map does not match Certificate or table 1.
- Map legend reflects wrong Certificates.
- Certificate 39150 "TO" acres not identified on table 2.
- Certificate 47309 Table 1 & map POD descriptions do not match certificate.
- Certificate 47309 (Description of water delivery system) System capacity needs to be checked. (It appears that the acreage may have been listed here).
- Certificate 47309 Table 2 proposed lands list wrong qtr, qtr for the 4.3 acres and 2.7 acres (should be listed as NE, SW).
- In Section 3 (SE, SW) our records show 1.4 acres conflicting (Ridgeview Irrigation District Primary right). There is currently a District Transfer (T-13886) under review to move the 1.4 acres which will resolve the conflicting right.