Watermaster Review Form: Water Right Transfer



Oregon Water Resources Department 725 Summer St NE, Suite A Salem, Oregon 97301-1266 (503) 986-0900 www.oregon.gov/OWRD

Transfer Application: T-			Review Due Date:			
Applica	ant Name:					
Propos	ed Changes:	POU	POD	РОА	USE	OTHER
Review	ver(s):				Date of Re	view:
1.		not likely be	e rebuttable	? Yes	No I	ast 5 years and that the presumption of f "Yes", attach evidence (e.g. dated yrs.)
2.	Is there a history transferred right(frequency of any	s) and dow	nstream wa	ter rights?	Yes	or these) right(s) that has involved the No Generally characterize the occurred:
3.	Have headgate no	otices been No		he source th ot available.		the transferred right(s)?
4.	-	er water rig	hts that wo	uld not have		ion of water for the right(s) result in if use under the original right(s) n:
5.	In your estimation be affected? most affected:	n, if the pro Yes N	•	•		ere upstream water rights that would nts would be affected and list the rights

6.	Check here	if it appears that downstream water rights benefit from return flows resulting from the
	current use of t	the transferred right(s)? If you check the box, generally characterize the locations where
	the return flow	s likely occur and list the water rights that benefit most:

N/A

7. For POD changes and instream transfers, check here if there are channel losses between the old and new PODs or within the proposed instream reach? If you check the box, describe and, if possible, estimate the losses:

N/A

8. <u>For instream transfers</u> that propose protection of a reach beyond the mouth of the source stream:

N/A Would the quantity be measureable into the receiving stream consistent with

OAR 690-077-0015(8)? Yes No

- **9.** For POU changes: N/A Is it likely the original place of use would continue to receive water from the same source? Yes No If "Yes", explain:
- **10.** For POU or USE changes: N/A In your best judgment, would use of the existing right at "full face value," result in the diversion of more water than can be used beneficially and without waste?

 Yes No If "Yes", explain:
- 11. For POU changes that involve micro-irrigation: N/A
 - a. Has the applicant made changes (absent a transfer) to convert to micro-irrigation within the current place of use boundary of the water right proposed for transfer, and previously demonstrated to the Department through monitoring and site inspections by the Watermaster that the proposed transfer will not result in injury or enlargement?

Yes No If "Yes", explain:

b. Has a temporary transfer of this nature been previously filed and approved on the same lands (or portions thereof) as those lands involved in this transfer?

Yes No If "Yes", answer the following:

- i. Were there any problems with more acres being irrigated (or wetted) than
 were authorized under the temporary transfer?
 Yes
 No
 If "Yes", explain:
- ii. Did the designated areas that were to remain dry (or not wetted) under the temporary transfer actually remain dry? Yes No If "No", explain:
- iii. Did the applicant comply with and meet all of the conditions of the temporary transfer? Yes No If "No", explain:
- iv. Do you have any other observations regarding the temporary transfer?

 Yes No If "Yes", describe:
- v. Did the applicant demonstrate to the Department through monitoring and site inspections by the Watermaster that neither injury nor enlargement occurred as a result of the temporary transfer? Yes No If "No", explain:
- c. To the best of your knowledge, if this transfer is approved, does it appear that:
 - i. "Injury" will occur to other water rights that share the same source?Yes No If "Yes", explain:
 - ii. "Enlargement" of the water right being transferred will occur?

 Yes No If "Yes", explain:

12. Are there other issues not identified through the above questions that should be consider	ed in
determining whether the change "can be effected without injury to other rights"?	

Yes No If "Yes", explain:

- 13. What alternatives may be available for addressing any issues identified above:
- 14. Do conditions need to be included in the transfer order to avoid enlargement of the right or injury to other rights? No Yes, as checked and provided below:

<u>For POU changes that involve micro-irrigation</u>, provide the monitoring and reporting conditions necessary to prevent injury/enlargement:

A Headgate should be required prior to diverting water.

Measurement Devices for POD or POA: (if this condition is selected, also fill in the top sections of Page 4)

- a. Before water use may begin under this order, the water user shall install a totalizing flow meter*, or, with prior approval of the Director, another suitable measuring device, at each point of diversion/appropriation (new and existing) OR at each new point of diversion/appropriation with the exception that water rights issued to the Bureau of Reclamation or an irrigation district (or similar entity) are not subject to this condition.
- **b.** The water user shall maintain the meters or measuring devices in good working order.
- **c.** The water user shall allow the Watermaster access to the meters or measuring devices; provided however, where the meters or measuring devices are located within a private structure, the Watermaster shall request access upon reasonable notice.

Reservoir water use measurement: (if this condition is selected, also fill in the top sections of Page 4)

- **a.** Before water use may begin under this order, the water user shall install staff gages*, or, with prior approval of the Director, other suitable measuring devices, that measure the entire range and stage between empty and full in each reservoir. Staff gages shall be United States Geological Survey style.
- **b.** Before water use may begin under this order, if the reservoir is located in channel, weirs or other suitable measuring devices must be installed upstream and downstream of the reservoir, and, an adjustable outlet valve must be installed. The water user shall maintain such devices in good working order. A written waiver may be obtained, if in the judgment of the Director, the installation of weirs or other suitable measuring devices, or the adjustable outlet valve, will provide no public benefit.
- * The following alternative device(s) should be substituted for the bold, underlined device in the above selected condition:

Weir	Submerged Orifice		
Parshall Flume	Flow Restrictor		
Other:			

Oregon Water Resources Department

Measurement Condition Information for the Applicant

(To be sent with the Draft Preliminary Determination or Final Order)

Transfer #: T-

In order to avoid enlargement of the right or injury to other rights, a will be required to be installed **prior to diversion of water**, as a condition of this transfer:

at each point of diversion/appropriation (new and existing) **OR**at each new point of diversion/appropriation.

For additional information, or to obtain approval of a different type of measurement device, the applicant should contact the area Watermaster:

Watermaster name:		
District:		
Address:		
City/State/Zip:		
Phone:		
Email:		
Note: If a device other than the one specified in the by the Watermaster, fill out and mail the form ***********************************	below to the Sai	lem office.
Approval of an Alternate (to be filled out after consultation		
On behalf of the Director, I authorize use of the fol	lowing suitable a	alternate measurement device:
		-
Watermaster signature	District	Date
If this form is used for approval of an alternative measureme	nt device, it must be	e mailed to:

Oregon Water Resources Department 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A Salem, OR 97301-1266

TACS Page 5 of 5 Last revised May 2019