Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- <u>13878</u>

GW Reviewer <u>Phillip I. Marcy</u> Date Review Completed: <u>11/07/2023</u>

Summary of Same Source Review:

The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

Summary of Injury Review:

The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

□ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.

	VATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT	Oregon Water Resou 725 Summer Street NE Salem, Oregon 97301- 503) 986-0900 www.wrd.state.or.us	, Suite A	Ground Wate Water Righ Permit Ame GR Modific Other	t Transfer endment	' m:
App	olication: T- <u>138</u>	<u>878</u>		Applicant Name: Nor	thstar Communitie	<u>s LLC</u>
Proj	posed Changes	$\begin{array}{c} : \boxtimes \text{ POA} \\ \Box \text{ USE} \end{array}$	□ APOA □ POU	$\Box SW \rightarrow GW$ $\Box OTHER$	\Box RA	
Rev	viewer(s): <u>Phi</u>	<u>llip I. Marcy</u>		Dat	te of Review: <u>11/07</u>	//2023
		Date Revie	wed by GW N	Agr. and Returned to V	WRSD: <u>JTI – 11/08</u>	3/2023
 The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because: The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer. The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed. Other 						
1.	 Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: <u>The applicant proposes to change</u> the POU for 14.5 acres authorized under GR-305, in addition to changing the authorized POA to a location on Tax Lot 1000 in Section 32. The proposed POA well has not yet been constructed. 					
2.	Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA? Yes Do Comments: <u>The authorized POA (MARI 4684) produces groundwater</u> <u>from sands and gravels from 75-103' BLS. The replacement POA well is proposed to</u> <u>produce from similar depths, at which similar lithologies are anticipated to be encountered.</u>					
3.	<u> </u>		-	under the right (e.g., b lees from alluvium.	asalt and alluvium)	?

b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): <u>NA</u>

4. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with another ground water right?
☑ Yes □ No Comments: <u>The proposed POA location is less than 100' from MARI 4675</u>, which is an authorized POA under Certificate 30706.

b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No If yes, explain: <u>Since these POA locations (proposed and existing) lie on</u> the same tax lot under the same ownership, any injury incurred by the use of the POA at the proposed location will be to the applicant.

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No Comments: <u>The proposed POA location is not significantly closer to</u> any surface water source.

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?

Stream: <u>NA</u>	🗆 Minimal	□ Significant
Stream: NA	\Box Minimal	□ Significant

Provide context for minimal/significant impact: NA

6. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?

\square I es \square NO Comments. NA		Yes	🗆 No	Comments: NA
--	--	-----	------	--------------

- 7. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: <u>None.</u>
- 8. Any additional comments:

