

Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 14214

GW Reviewer Darrick E. Boschmann Date Review Completed: 11/22/2023

Summary of Same Source Review:

☐ The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

Summary of Injury Review:

☐ The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

☐ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.



Oregon Water Resources Department
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
(503) 986-0900
www.wrd.state.or.us

Ground Water Review Form:

- ☒ **Water Right Transfer**
☐ **Permit Amendment**
☐ **GR Modification**
☐ **Other**

Application: T-14214

Applicant Name: Idleway Improvement District

Proposed Changes: ☒ POA ☒ APOA ☐ SW→GW ☐ RA
☐ USE ☐ POU ☐ OTHER

Reviewer(s): Darrick E. Boschmann

Date of Review: 11/22/2023

Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: _____

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- ☐ The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- ☐ The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- ☐ Other _____

1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: _____

This application is related to certificate 96650 which authorizes groundwater pumping from two wells (POD 1 = "Well#5" = CROO 51820; POD 2 = "Well#6" = CROO 52334) for quasi-municipal and human consumption uses in the Deschutes Basin (Crooked River Basin). The following changes are proposed:

1. Add one APOA ("Well#7" = CROO 55124).

It is not clear from the application materials if any pumping is expected to continue at Well#6 if this transfer is approved, or if this is simply a change in POA from Well#6 to Well#7. The application checks both POA and APOA under the list of proposed changes. The findings in this review remain consistent under both scenarios.

2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?

☒ Yes ☐ No Comments: _____

The authorized and proposed wells all produce groundwater from water bearing strata within the John Day Formation.

3. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?
☐ Yes ☒ No _____
- b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): _____
4. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: _____

The proposed APOA is located within ~150 feet of currently authorized well CROO 52334. No significant increase in interference with other groundwater rights is expected to occur.

- b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?
☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, explain: _____
5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: _____

The proposed APOA is located within ~150 feet of currently authorized well CROO 52334. It is not located significantly closer to perennial reaches of surface water than currently authorized well CROO 52334.

- b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?
Stream: _____ ☐ Minimal ☐ Significant
Stream: _____ ☐ Minimal ☐ Significant
Provide context for minimal/significant impact: _____
6. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?
☐ Yes ☐ No Comments: _____
7. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: none.
8. Any additional comments: none.

