

# Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 14322

GW Reviewer Grayson Fish Date Review Completed: 12/19/2023

## Summary of Same Source Review:

☐ The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

## Summary of Injury Review:

☐ The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

## Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

☐ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

*This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.*



Oregon Water Resources Department  
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A  
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271  
(503) 986-0900  
www.wrd.state.or.us

## Ground Water Review Form:

- ☒ **Water Right Transfer**  
☐ **Permit Amendment**  
☐ **GR Modification**  
☐ **Other**

Application: T-14322

Applicant Name: Sunshine Village Water Association

Proposed Changes: ☒ POA ☐ APOA ☐ SW→GW ☐ RA  
☐ USE ☐ POU ☐ OTHER

Reviewer(s): Grayson Fish

Date of Review: 12/19/2023

Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: \_\_\_\_\_

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- ☐ The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- ☐ The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

- 
1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: The Applicant proposes to transfer use under **Certificates 50993 and 50995** from authorized POA **JACK 35263/JACK17190** to proposed POA **JACK 65702**.
  2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?  
☒ Yes ☐ No Comments: Both the authorized and proposed POA source water from the fractured bedrock of the Western Hayfork Terraine.
  3. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?  
☐ Yes ☒ No Only a single source of water is developed.  
b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): N/A
  4. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?  
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: The minor change in location (<100') of the proposed POA compared to the location of the authorized POA is not likely to result in an increase in interference with another ground water right.  
b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?  
☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, explain: N/A

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?

☒ Yes   ☐ No   Comments: The reduced intervening distance between the proposed POA and Forest Creek to the northwest will likely result in an increase in interference when compared to the original, authorized POA.

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?

Stream: Forest Creek   ☒ Minimal   ☐ Significant

Stream: \_\_\_\_\_   ☐ Minimal   ☐ Significant


Provide context for minimal/significant impact: The relatively minor reduction in distance (<100') when compared to the authorized POA would likely result in a minimal change in interference with Forest Creek. Additionally, due to the nature of fractured bedrock aquifers, it is expected that there would be a relatively inefficient connection to surface water that would buffer the timing of impacts to the stream in a manner that would result in a minimal degree of change between the POA locations.

6. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?

☐ Yes   ☐ No   Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

7. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Any additional comments: \_\_\_\_\_

**T-14322**

Service Layer Credits: Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community  
 USGS The National Map: National Boundaries Dataset, 3DEP Elevation Program, Geographic Names Information System, National Hydrography Dataset, National Land Cover Database, National Structures Dataset, and National Transportation Dataset; USGS Global Ecosystems; U.S. Census Bureau TIGER/Line data; USFS Road Data; Natural Earth Data; U.S. Department of State