Transfer Application Watermaster Review Form

Watermaster Review Form: Water Right Transfer



Oregon Water Resources Department 725 Summer St NE, Suite A Salem, Oregon 97301-1266 (503) 986-0900 www.oregon.gov/OWRD

Transfer Application: T-14447

Review Due Date: 05/29/2024

Applio	cant Name: City of John Day					
Propo	sed Changes: POU POD POA USE OTHER					
Revie	wer(s): Eric W. Julsrud Date of Review: 05/08/2024					
1.	Do you have <u>evidence</u> that the right has not been used in the last 5 years and that the presumption of forfeiture would not likely be rebuttable? Yes No If "Yes", attach evidence (e.g. dated aerial photo showing pavement or building on the land for >5 yrs.)					
2.	Is there a history of regulation on the source that serves this (or these) right(s) that has involved the transferred right(s) and downstream water rights? Yes No Generally characterize the frequency of any regulation or explain why regulation has not occurred:					
	The John Day River is regulated annually for deficiency in supply. The source for the right involved in this transfer is a "sump" which is most likely hydraulically connected to the John Day River.					
3.	Have headgate notices been issued for the source that serves the transferred right(s)? Yes No Records not available.					
4.	In your estimation, after the proposed change, would distribution of water for the right(s) result in regulation of other water rights that would not have occurred if use under the original right(s) was/were maximized? Yes No If "Yes", explain:					
	Increasing demand on the John Day River will only result in increased regulation beyond what is already ocurring. Moving the POA upstream to the proposed location as well as moving the POU away from the river will likely result in less water available in the form of return flows for other appropriators.					
5.	In your estimation, if the proposed change is approved, are there upstream water rights that would be affected? Yes No If "Yes", describe how the rights would be affected and list the rights most affected:					
	Increased demand on the river (through shallow sumps) as is the case with this water right will deplete available water therefore regulation due to deficiency in supply will occur sooner in my opinion on the John Day River. The list of affected water rights would include all junior water rights upstream of the City of John Day to the headwaters of the John Day River (C-82326, 65546, 91713, 80045, 56662 and many others)					

Watermaster Review Form Transfer Application 6. Check here | If it appears that downstream water rights benefit from return flows resulting from the current use of the transferred right(s)? If you check the box, generally characterize the locations where the return flows likely occur and list the water rights that benefit most: This water right has not been exercised since September of 2002. If it were being used the water rights on the Luce Long Ditch could receive some of the return flows from the log deck sprinkling. This could include C-91793,91795, 91796 and many others. N/A 7. For POD changes and instream transfers, check here if there are channel losses between the old and new PODs or within the proposed instream reach? If you check the box, describe and, if possible, estimate the losses: For instream transfers that propose protection of a reach beyond the mouth of the source stream: Would the quantity be measureable into the receiving stream consistent with OAR 690-077-0015(8)? No N/A Is it likely the original place of use would continue to receive water For POU changes: No If "Yes", explain: from the same source? The applicant may at some point in the future make a "green space" where the original footprint of the "Log Deck Sprinkling" used to be. Otherwise, the answer to this question is "No". N/A In your best judgment, would use of the existing right at "full 10. For POU or USE changes: face value," result in the diversion of more water than can be used beneficially and without waste? No If "Yes", explain:

11. For POU changes that involve micro-irrigation: N/A

a. Has the applicant made changes (absent a transfer) to convert to micro-irrigation within the current place of use boundary of the water right proposed for transfer, and previously demonstrated to the Department through monitoring and site inspections by the Watermaster that the proposed transfer will not result in injury or enlargement?

Yes No If "Yes", explain:

Watermaster Review Form Transfer Application

b.	Has a temporary transfer of this nature been previously filed and approved on the same lands (or portions thereof) as those lands involved in this transfer?					
	Yes No If "Yes", answer the following:					
	 i. Were there any problems with more acres being irrigated (or wetted) than were authorized under the temporary transfer? Yes No If "Yes", explain: 	8				
	ii. Did the designated areas that were to remain dry (or not wetted) under the temporary transfer actually remain dry? Yes No If "No", explain:					
	iii. Did the applicant comply with and meet all of the conditions of the temporary transfer? Yes No If "No", explain:					
	iv. Do you have any other observations regarding the temporary transfer? Yes No If "Yes", describe:					
	v. Did the applicant demonstrate to the Department through monitoring and site inspections by the Watermaster that neither injury nor enlargement occurred as a result of the temporary transfer? Yes No If "No", explain:					
	c. To the best of your knowledge, if this transfer is approved, does it appear that:					
	i. "Injury" will occur to other water rights that share the same source? Yes No If "Yes", explain:					
	See question# 1,4 and 5. Resurrecting a defunct water right from a likely hydraulically connected sump and allow the original foot print to be spread over the entire city of John Day property will make a mess of potential return flor patterns and increase the demand on the available resource thus creating increased regulation activities.					
	ii. "Enlargement" of the water right being transferred will occur? Yes No If "Yes", explain:					
	The applicant proposes to take an area originally identified as Log Deck Sprinkling (approximately 3.5 acres in size) and transfer this "area" to potentially every "gree space" within the city limits. This is enlargement of the original water right.					

TACS Page 3 of 5 Last revised May 2019

Wa	ermaster Review Form Tra	ansfer Applicatio
12.	Are there other issues not identified through the above questions that should be considered determining whether the change "can be effected without injury to other rights"?	d in
	Yes No If "Yes", explain:	
13.	What alternatives may be available for addressing any issues identified above:	
	In my opinion this transfer should be withdrawn. I don't see a way to accomplish whapplicant is trying to achieve.	at the
14.	Do conditions need to be included in the transfer order to avoid enlargement of the right of other rights? No 🔽 Yes, as checked and provided below:	injury to
	For POU changes that involve micro-irrigation, provide the monitoring and reporting onecessary to prevent injury/enlargement:	onditions:
	A Headgate should be required prior to diverting water.	
	Measurement Devices for POD or POA: (if this condition is selected, also fill in the top sections of Page 4)	
	 a. Before water use may begin under this order, the water user shall install a totalizing or, with prior approval of the Director, another suitable measuring device, at each diversion/appropriation (new and existing) OR at each new point of diversion/appropriation with the exception that water rights issued to the Bureau of Reclamation or an irrigitarict (or similar entity) are not subject to this condition. 	point of ation
	b. The water user shall maintain the meters or measuring devices in good working ore. c. The water user shall allow the Watermaster access to the meters or measuring devices however, where the meters or measuring devices are located within a private structure, Watermaster shall request access upon reasonable notice.	ices; provided
	Reservoir water use measurement: (if this condition is selected, also fill in the top sec of Page 4)	tions
	a. Before water use may begin under this order, the water user shall install staff gage or, with prior approval of the Director, other suitable measuring devices, that measure trange and stage between empty and full in each reservoir. Staff gages shall be United Staff Geological Survey style.	he entire
	b. Before water use may begin under this order, if the reservoir is located in channel, we suitable measuring devices must be installed upstream and downstream of the reservoir adjustable outlet valve must be installed. The water user shall maintain such devices in order. A written waiver may be obtained, if in the judgment of the Director, the installation other suitable measuring devices, or the adjustable outlet valve, will provide no public be	r, and, an good working tion of weirs o
	The following alternative device(s) should be substituted for the bold, underlined device selected condition:	in the above
	Weir Submerged Orifice	
	Parshall Flume Flow Restrictor	
	Other:	

Watermaster Review Form Transfer Application

Oregon Water Resources Department

Measurement Condition Information for the Applicant

(To be sent with the Draft Preliminary Determination or Final Order)

Transfer #: T- 14447

Salem, OR 97301-1266

	In order to avoid enlargement of	the right or injury to other	or rights a totalizing fl	owmeter:u					
	In order to avoid enlargement of								
	be required to be installed prior			er.					
	at each point of diversion		existing) UK						
	at each new point of dive	ersion/appropriation.							
For additional information, or to obtain approval of a different type of measurement device, the applicant should contact the area Watermaster:									
Waterm	aster name: Eric W. Julsrud								
District:	4								
Address	: 201 South Humbolt Street, Su	ite 180							
City/Sta	te/Zip: Canyon City, OR 97820								
Phone:	541-575-0119								
Email:	Eric.W.Julsrud@water.oregon	.gov							
V.S	a device other than the one specij he Watermaster, fill out and mail t	And the second s		der is approved					
*****	*********	********	******	***					
	살기 아래면 가게 하면 하는데 가게 되었다.	ernate Measurement	Device i	4447					
On beha	alf of the Director, I authorize use o	of the following suitable a	ilternate measurement o	device:					
-									
Wat	termaster signature	District	Date						
If this forn	n is used for approval of an alternative m	easurement device, it must be	e mailed to:						
Oregon W	ater Resources Department								
725 Summ	ner Street NE, Suite A								

TACS Page 5 of 5 Last revised May 2019

T-14447 Supporting Information

Question #1:

C-48135 is a sump right for the purpose of Log Deck Sprinkling. The original POU for this right is just north of a large ditch I routinely visit throughout the summer months for regulation purposes. The mill who used to own the property was called Grant Western Lumber Company. I have routinely regulated the John Day River annually since July of 2000. The last time this right was exercised was September of 2002 based upon personal knowledge and aerial photographs available via Google Earth. (see attached aerial photos)



















