

# Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 14089

GW Reviewer Phillip I. Marcy Date Review Completed: 10/26/2023

## Summary of Same Source Review:

The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

## Summary of Injury Review:

The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

## Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

*This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.*



**Oregon Water Resources Department**  
 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A  
 Salem, Oregon 97301-1271  
 (503) 986-0900  
 www.wrd.state.or.us

## Ground Water Review Form:

- Water Right Transfer**
- Permit Amendment**
- GR Modification**
- Other**

Application: T-14089

Applicant Name: Hubbard Family LLC

Proposed Changes:     POA             APOA             SW→GW             RA  
                                   USE             POU             OTHER

Reviewer(s): Phillip I. Marcy

Date of Review: 10/26/2023

Date Returned to WRSD: 9/5/2024

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: The applicant proposes to change the point of appropriation for a portion of certificate 38019. This portion currently authorizes 3.81 CFS from wells 6, 7, and 8, to which a new POA is proposed (BENT 7623).
2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?  
 Yes     No    Comments: Existing authorized POA wells and proposed POA well produce from alluvium.
3. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?  
 Yes     No All wells are authorized to produce from alluvium.  
 b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): NA
4. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?  
 Yes     No    Comments: The proposed POA location is closer to authorized POA 1 under GR-3549, at a distance of 1,600'.  
 b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?

Yes  No If yes, explain: At the distance between the proposed POA well and the nearest GW right, the character of the target aquifer (high storativity), and the proximity to Perkins Slough, production from this well is not anticipated to substantially increase interference to any nearby right.

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?

Yes  No Comments: The proposed POA is closer to Perkins Slough than any existing authorized POA involved in this transfer.

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?

Stream: Perkins Slough  Minimal  Significant

Stream: \_\_\_\_\_  Minimal  Significant

Provide context for minimal/significant impact: Though interference may increase in the short term, all nearby wells producing from alluvium are producing from the same unconfined to poorly confined flow system that contributes to local surface water.

6. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?

Yes  No Comments: NA

7. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: None

8. Any additional comments: \_\_\_\_\_

