Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- <u>14275</u>

GW Reviewer <u>Steve Ahlquist</u> Date Review Completed: <u>September 20, 2024</u>

Summary of Same Source Review:

The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

Summary of Injury Review:

The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

□ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.

OREGON				Ground Water Review Form:			
		gon Water Resou Summer Street NE	-	🖂 Water Right Transfer			
WATER RESOURCES	Sale	alem, Oregon 97301-1271		Permit Amendment			
D E P A R T M E N T	(503) 986-0900 www.wrd.state.or.us			□ GR Modification			
				□ Other			
Application: T- <u>1</u>	4275	5	Applicant Name: Klamath Falls RV Resort LLC				
Proposed Chang	es:	🛛 POA	□ APOA	□ SW→GW	\boxtimes RA		
		🖾 USE	🛛 POU	\Box other			
Reviewer(s): <u>Steve Ahlquist</u>				Date of Review: <u>9/20/2024</u>			
				Date Returned to WRSD: 9/20/2024			
The information	prov	vided in the ap	plication is insu	ifficient to evaluate	whether the prop	osed	

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the propos transfer may be approved because:

- ☐ The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- ☐ The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- Other _____
- -----
- 1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: <u>The applicant is proposing the</u> <u>following changes to Certificate 93607 which currently authorizes year-round mining and</u> <u>industrial use from a single POA (KLAM 11660) at a maximum rate of 0.18 cfs:</u>
 - change the character of use to primary irrigation for approximately 18 acres of the 28.6 acres within the currently authorized mining and industrial use boundary.
 - change the POU for 0.81 acres to be used for primary irrigation.
 - correct the location of the authorized POA (KLAM 11660). The corrected location is approximately 120 feet northwest of the metes-and-bounds location description listed in Certificate 93607.
- 2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA? ⊠ Yes □ No Comments: <u>The applicant has requested to correct the location of the</u> <u>authorized POA (KLAM 11660). KLAM 11660 will remain as the sole authorized POA.</u>
- a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?
 □ Yes ⊠ No _____

b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): \underline{NA}

4. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No Comments: <u>Assuming the pumping rate will not exceed the authorized</u> maximum rate of 0.18 cfs, the proposed changes will not result in an increase in interference with another groundwater right.

b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?

 \Box Yes \Box No If yes, explain: <u>NA</u>

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No Comments: <u>Assuming the pumping rate will not exceed the authorized</u> maximum rate of 0.18 cfs, the proposed changes will not result in an increase in interference with a surface water source.

6.

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?

Provide context for minimal/significant impact: NA

7. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?

 \Box Yes \Box No Comments: <u>NA</u>


- 8. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: <u>The application states</u> "the applicant is proposing to transfer 0.12 cubic foot per second to each acre of land being transferred to primary irrigation". However, the currently authorized rate for Certificate 93607 is 0.18 cfs. As written in the application, the proposed use may result in enlargement. The proposed use should be limited to not exceed the authorized maximum rate of 0.18 cfs.
- 9. Any additional comments: <u>NA</u>

References Used:

Application File: T-14275

Certificate: 93607

Well Location Map



T-14275 Klamath Falls RV Resort LLC

Service Layer Credits: Copyright@ 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed