Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- <u>14543</u>
GW Reviewer <u>Darrick E. Boschmann</u> Date Review Completed: <u>4/11/2025</u>
Summary of Same Source Review:
☐ The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).
Summary of Water Level Decline Condition Review:
☐ Water levels at the original point(s) of appropriation have exceeded the allowed decline threshold defined by conditions in the originating water right.
Summary of Injury Review:
☐ The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as pe 690-380-0100(3).
Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:
☐ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.
This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.

Version: 20210204



Ground	Water	Review	Form:

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT	Oregon Water Resources Department 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A Salem, Oregon 97301-1271 (503) 986-0900 www.wrd.state.or.us		☑ Water Right Transfer☐ Permit Amendment☐ GR Modification☐ Other		
Application: T- <u>14543</u>		Applio	cant Name: Rattlesna	ake Creek Land Holdings	
Proposed Change	es:	⊠ APOA □ POU	□ SW→GW □ OTHER	□ RA	
.,		Date Reviewed	by GW Mgr. and Ro	ate of Review: 4/25/2025 eturned to WRSD:	
	provided in the approved because:	plication is inst	ifficient to evaluate	whether the proposed	
☐ The water water waffected by t		d with the appl	lication do not corres	spond to the water rights	
			-	on of the well construction proposed to be developed.	
Other	-				

a similar source.

Page 2 of 6 Version: 20210204

3.	a) Is the existing authorized POA subject to a water level decline condition? ⊠ Yes □ No Comments:
	Both certificates are subject to a water level decline condition, including annual measurement and reporting.
	b) If yes, for each POA identify the reference level, most recent spring-high water level, and whether an applicable permit decline condition has been exceeded:
	The permit decline condition has not been exceeded at the authorized wells, however, groundwater levels in this area are declining (see hydrograph), and at the current rate of
	decline the condition may be exceed within a period of several years.

Certificate 94899

POD	Log ID	Reference Level	March 2025	Decline	Exceeded?
1	HARN 51275	26.1	47.3	21.2	No
2	HARN 51475*	23.58	46.2	22.62	No
3	HARN 51823	23.22	44.3	21.08	No

Certificate 94961

POD	Log ID	Reference Level	March 2025	Decline	Exceeded?
1	HARN 51475*	26.15	46.2	20.05	No
2	HARN 51823	26	44.3	18.3	No
3	HARN 51987	23.2	44.4	21.2	No
4	HARN 51275	25.2	47.3	22.1	No

4.	 a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)? ☐ Yes ☐ No Comments:
	b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.):
5.	 a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with another ground water right? ☑ Yes ☐ No Comments:
	Pumping at the proposed APOA under Certificate 94899 will authorize pumping under this certificate up to ~4,700 feet south of the currently authorized wells. This will result in an incremental increase in interference with wells to the south.

b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?

Page 3 of 6 Version: 20210204

The well log correlation for POD 2 under certificate 94899 and POD 1 under certificate 94961 is unlikely given the known location of HARN 51475. Field confirmation of casing

size and well tag number at the well in use for these POD would help resolve this

discrepancy.

Page 4 of 6 Version: 20210204



