

# Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 14565

GW Reviewer Travis Brown Date Review Completed: 4/29/2025

## Summary of Same Source Review:

☐ The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

## Summary of Injury Review:

☐ The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available  
HydrO\_fl@sk

690-380-0100(3).

## Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

☐ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

*This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.*



Oregon Water Resources Department  
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A  
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271  
(503) 986-0900  
www.wrd.state.or.us

## Ground Water Review Form:

- ☐ Water Right Transfer  
☒ Permit Amendment  
☐ GR Modification  
☐ Other

Application: T-14565

Applicant Name: RB Webber Development, LLC

Proposed Changes: ☐ POA ☒ APOA ☐ SW→GW ☐ RA  
☐ USE ☐ POU ☐ OTHER

Reviewer(s): Travis Brown

Date of Review: 4/29/2025

Date Returned to WRSD: 4/29/2025

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- ☐ The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- ☐ The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: Applicant proposes to add 3 APOA – “Well 5” (LINN 61766/64287), “Well 6” (LINN 61767/64290), and “Well 7” (LINN 64531) to Permit G-17493. Only 1 of the 4 originally authorized wells has been drilled: “Well 4” (LINN 64532). All of the proposed APOA have been drilled.
2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?  
☒ Yes ☐ No Comments: The authorized POA and proposed APOA all produce groundwater from the alluvial aquifer system.
3. a) Is the existing authorized POA subject to a water level decline condition?  
☒ Yes ☐ No Comments: Use may discontinued or reduced if any of the wells exhibit a water level decline of 25 or more feet.
- b) If yes, for each POA identify the reference level, most recent spring-high water level, and whether an applicable permit decline condition has been exceeded: “Well 1”, “Well 2”, and “Well 3” have not yet been constructed. Per the permit conditions, reference levels have not yet been established for these wells. Although a measurement was submitted for “Well 4,” the well was noted as flowing artesian; thus, the measurement could not be verified as static or used as a reference level. The suggested reference levels for the proposed APOA “Well 5” and “Well 6” are listed below. An annual water level measurement has not yet been submitted for “Well 7”; therefore, no reference level can be set for this APOA at this time.

POA	Reference Level [ft bls]	Most Recent Annual High Water Level [ft bls]	Decline Condition Exceeded?
"Well 5"	8.00	9.00 (2023)	No
"Well 6"	9.00	5.00 (2024)	No

4. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?  
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: The authorized POA all produce groundwater from the alluvial aquifer system.
- b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): N/A
5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?  
☒ Yes ☐ No Comments: The proposed APOA "Well 7" is significantly closer than any of the authorized POA to the residence on Tax Lot 100, which is presumably served by a domestic exempt well. The reduced intervening distance will likely increase interference with this domestic well.
- b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?  
☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, explain: Proposed APOA "Well 7" is still likely at least 1,200 ft away from the presumed domestic well on Tax Lot 100. In the high-storage, weakly-confined alluvial aquifer system, interference from proposed APOA "Well 7" is unlikely to injure the domestic well on Tax Lot 100 or similarly-located wells.
6. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?  
☒ Yes ☐ No Comments: Proposed POA "Well 7" is closer to the South Santiam River than any of the authorized POA. The closer proximity to the South Santiam River may increase interference with the South Santiam River.
- b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?  
Stream: South Santiam River ☒ Minimal ☐ Significant  
Provide context for minimal/significant impact: Due to the substantial distance between proposed APOA "Well 7" and the South Santiam River, >9,500 ft to the north, and the high-storage of the weakly-confined alluvial aquifer system, a significant increase in acute interference with the South Santiam River due to the proposed change is unlikely.
7. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?  
☐ Yes ☐ No Comments: N/A
8. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: The permit contains a condition that, during any pump test required by this permit, observation water-level measurements shall be made simultaneously in at least one nearby well. This condition should be propagated to any subsequent permit.
9. Any additional comments: None

**T-14565**

