

## Water Resources Department

Commerce Building 158 12th Street NE Salem, OR 97310-0210 (503) 378-3739 FAX (503) 378-8130

## INTEROFFICE MEMO

TO:	TRANSFER SECTION DATE: $3-8-0$ /
FROM:	WATERMASTER, DISTRICT # GROUNDWATER SECTION
	(SIGNATURE) Mike Zwart date signed 3/19
SUBJECT:	PERMIT AMENDMENT # 10331
A change in:	
In the name(s	of The Miller Ranch Co.
In my opinion	(assuming the right is valid), the proposed change
MAY BE MA right.	ADE WITHOUT INJURY WOULD RESULT IN INJURY* to an existing water
*The approval of this transfer application would result in injury to other water rights because	
The existing right may not be valid because	
Headgate noti serve(s) this ri	
If for change in point of diversion, is there any intervening point(s) for diversion between the authorized and proposed points of diversion? (Yes or No)	
In my opinion, the order approving the subject transfer application should include the following in regard to the appropriator installing suitable measuring devices in the diversion works:	
(1)	PRIOR to the diverting of water at the new point of diversion
(2)	) WHEN IN the judgement of the watermaster it becomes necessary
The enclosed	copy of the transfer application and map(s) is for your records.

## OREGON WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT INTEROFFICE MEMO

To: Ground Water Rights Files Date: March 19, 2007

From: Michael Zwart

Subject: Application Review: T-10331, The Miller Ranch Co.

(Permit Amendment)

This application proposes two additional POAs, which are actually two changed well locations for proposed wells 2 and 3 under file G-16439. The wells will develop the same water-bearing zones in basalt regardless of the location. The proposed locations will have no effect on the findings made for the original well locations which were proposed.

I find that the proposed change may be made without injury provided the following: the rights are valid and the Watermaster can approve the proposal. I recommend that the water-level measurement condition be replaced with current condition 7N. This action would obviate the need for the Director to order the continuation of the water-level measurement requirement at a later time, which is otherwise likely to be recommended by Ground Water Section staff.