# **Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form**

#### Transfer/PA # T- <u>14145</u>

#### GW Reviewer <u>Grayson Fish</u> Date Review Completed: <u>3/18/2024</u>

#### Summary of Same Source Review:

The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

#### Summary of Water Level Decline Condition Review:

Water levels at the original point(s) of appropriation have exceeded the allowed decline threshold defined by conditions in the originating water right.

#### Summary of Injury Review:

The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

#### Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

□ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.

C	) R E G O N			Ground Wa	ter Review Form:			
		Oregon Water Resources Departmer		🛛 Water Rig	ght Transfer			
W	ATER RESOURCES	Salem, Oregon 97301	1-1271	🗌 Permit Ar	nendment			
D	EPARTMENT	(503) 986-0900 www.wrd.state.or.us		🗌 GR Modif	fication			
				□ Other				
App	lication: T- <u>1</u> 4	4145		Applicant N	Name: <u>Tim and Darla Parks</u>			
Prop	osed Change	es: 🛛 POA	□ APOA	□ SW→GW	$\Box$ RA			
1	C	□ USE	$\Box$ POU	□ OTHER				
Rev	iewer(s): G	rayson Fish, Justi	in Iverson		Date of Review: 3/18/2024			
		Da	te Reviewed by	GW Mgr. and Retu	rned to WRSD: JTI 4/6/25			
		2			<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			
The trans	information sfer may be a	provided in the a pproved because	pplication is ins	sufficient to evaluat	e whether the proposed			
	The water w affected by t	ell reports provic he transfer.	led with the app	olication do not corr	respond to the water rights			
	The applicat details suffic	ion does not incl cient to establish	ude water well the ground wate	reports or a descript er body developed o	tion of the well construction or proposed to be developed.			
	Other							
1	Basic descrit	ntion of the chan	ves proposed in	this transfer: (Note	this March 18, 2024			
1.	review super	sedes a previous	review complet	ted on October 19, 2	2023) The applicant			
	proposes to t	ransfer 115.3 acr	es of suppleme	ntal irrigation use a	ssociated with Certificate			
	<u>96331 from</u> (KLAM 613	authorized POA <sup>•</sup> <b>28</b> ) located appr	<u>"Well I" (KLA</u> oximately 3 300	<u>M 53717) to prope</u> ) feet to the southw	osed POA "Parks Well" est. Proposed POA "Parks			
	Well" is not	currently an auth	orized POA for	any water right.	est. Hoposed FOA Tarks			
	Neter Treesed		141(2) -1					
	the POA ( <b>K</b> )	$\mathbf{LAM}  61440$ ) for	-14162 also pro	use, separate from t	the 115.3 acres proposed by			
	this transfer.							
2	Will the prov	oosed POA devel	on the same ad	uifer (source) as the	existing authorized $POA?$			
2.	$\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$	No Commen	ts: Despite the o	difference in total d	epths. 556 feet for "Well 1"			
	and 1365 feet for the " <b>Parks Well</b> ", both wells source water from Late Tertiary volcanic							
	rock at depth	and exhibit simi	ilar static water	elevations during the	he May – October, 2022			
	timeframe.							
3.	a) Is the exis	ting authorized F	OA subject to a	a water level decline	e condition?			
	⊠ Yes ∟	JNo Con	nments:					

b) If yes, for each POA identify the reference level, most recent spring-high water level, and whether an applicable permit decline condition has been exceeded: \_\_\_\_\_

Existing Authorized POA for <u>Cert 96331</u>	Reference Level Depth Below Land Surface (feet)	Max Decline Below Reference Level (feet)	March 2025 water level at KLAM 53717 ( <u>hydrograph</u> )	Permit decline condition exceeded?
KLAM 53717	29.2	25	55.67	<mark>Yes</mark>

a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?
□ Yes ⊠ No Both wells source water from Late Tertiary volcanic rock at depth.

b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): \_\_\_\_\_

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?

Yes No Comments: The closest senior groundwater right would likely be a domestic exempt well associated with **Map/Taxlot 4010-03600-00200** located ~0.25 miles to the south of proposed POA "**Parks Well**" compared to the ~0.8 miles from the authorized POA "**Well 1**". The reduced intervening distance of the proposed POA compared to the authorized POA will likely cause an increase in interference with any exempt domestic wells located on nearby tax lots.

b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?

□ Yes ⊠ No If yes, explain: <u>A Theis Time-Distance drawdown analysis was used to</u> estimate the potential drawdown that would be observed in a well 1,300 feet away from the proposed POA at the maximum allowable rate (115.3 acres \* 1/80 cfs/ac = 1.44 cfs) for the duration of the irrigation season (214 days). Results indicate that use from the proposed POA would likely cause less than 6 feet of drawdown over the course of the irrigation season when compared to less than 4 feet of drawdown if that use was to remain at the authorized POA (see attached Theis analysis). Due to the generally high-transmissivity and thickness of the aquifer it is unlikely that the proposed change at the maximum allowed rate of use would result in a nearby reasonably efficient well that fully penetrates the aquifer not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled to.

6. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?

☐ Yes ⊠ No Comments: <u>The proposed change would not substantially change the</u> <u>impacts to nearby surface water sources in the area.</u>

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?

Stream:

□ Minimal □ Significant

Stream:

\_\_\_\_

☐ Minimal ☐ Significant

Provide context for minimal/significant impact:

7. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?

 $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No Comments:

- 8. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: \_\_\_\_\_
- 9. Any additional comments: <u>Groundwater level data from wells in the Merril area indicate significant declines have occurred since 2001 (See attached area well hydrograph). KLAM 53717, authorized POA "Well 1", has seen 40.25 feet of decline from an annual high of 24.38 feet below land surface (bls) in April 8, 2004 to 64.63 feet bls in March 27, 2023 (See attached hydrograph of KLAM 53717).</u>

A point of concern for this proposed transfer is that the authorized From-POA "Well 1" (KLAM 53717) has triggered the decline conditions stipulated in the associated water right.

The certificate associated with the From-POA transfer is **96331**. Certificate **96331** lists use as "Supplemental Irrigation" and establishes a reference level for **KLAM 53717** with the following language: "*The reference level against which any further measurements will be compared to 29.20 feet below land surface.*"

Certificate **96331** contains three water level decline conditions. The most relevant, and most likely to be validated is the following: *"The water user shall discontinue use of, or reduce the rate or volume of withdrawal from, the well(s) if annual water level measurements reveal any of the following events: (C) A water level decline of 25 or more feet."* 

OWRD staff have collected water level measurements from KLAM 53717 since 2004. Water level measurements indicate that KLAM 53717 has triggered the 25 feet or more decline condition starting in 2022 when water levels dropped below 54.20 feet below land surface.

### **Recommended Condition**

Area hydrographs indicate that groundwater levels in the vicinity are very similar to each other (within 3 feet) and exhibit the same trends. It is expected that static groundwater elevations would be very similar between FROM POA KLAM 53717 and TO POA KLAM 61328 and allows for a transfer of the established reference level listed on Cert. 96331. The reference level listed on Cert. 96331 for KLAM 53717 is 29.20 feet below land surface. The land surface elevation as determined by LIDAR measurement is 4,082.48 feet NAVD1988 which equates to a reference level elevation of 4,053.28 feet NAVD1988. The land surface elevation at the location of KLAM 61328 as determined by LIDAR measurement is 4074.02 feet NAVD1988 which results in an equivalent reference level of 20.74 feet below land surface elevation.

<u>The following provision is recommended as a condition for T-14162 (if approved) for</u> future groundwater use management of KLAM 61328 under the resulting permit:

Certificate 96331 establishes a reference static water level of 29.20 feet below land surface to which decline conditions are to be compared to. If approved, the certificate resulting from this transfer should establish 20.74 feet below land surface elevation as the reference static water level which decline conditions are compared to for KLAM 61328.

#### References

Jenks, M.D., unpublished, Geologic compilation map of part of the Upper Klamath Basin, Klamath County, Oregon: Portland, Oreg., Oregon Dept. of Geology and Mineral Industries, scale 1:100,000.

<u>Oregon Water Resources Department. Groundwater Information System (GWIS) – Accessed</u> 3/18/2024

Oregon Water Resources Department. Water Rights Information Query - Accessed 3/18/2024

**Transfer Review Map** 

T-14145



Service Layer Credits: Sources: Esrl, HERE, Gamin, Internap, Increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esrl Japan, METI, Esrl China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIB User Community USGS The National Map: National Map: National Section 2014, Section 2

**Theis Time-Distance Drawdown** 

#### Theis Time-Drawdown Workshee v.5.00

Calculates Theis nonequilibrium drawdown and recovery at any arbitrary radial distance, r, from a pumping well for 3 different T values and radial distance, r, from a pumping well for 3 different T values and 2 different S values. Written by Karl C. Wozniak September 1992. Last modified December 17, 2019

Input Data:	Var Name	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Units	
Total pumping time	t		214		d	
Radial distance from pumped well:	r		1300		ft	Q conversions
Pumping rate	Q		1.44		cfs	646.27 gpm
Hydraulic conductivity	K	15	25	30	ft/day	1.44 cfs
Aquifer thickness	b		1000		ft	86.40 cfm
Storativity	S_1		0.01			124,416.00 cfd
	S_2	]	0.001			2.86 af/d
Transmissivity Conversions	T_f2pd	15000	25000	30000	ft2/day	
	T_ft2pm	10.416667	17.361111	20.833333	ft2/min	Recalculate
	T apdpft	112200	187000	224400	apd/ft	



Use the Recalculate button if recalculation is set to manual

## Area Hydrographs



![](_page_7_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_5.jpeg)