Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- <u>14157</u>

GW Reviewer J. Hootsmans/J. Hackett Date Review Completed: <u>10/5/2023</u>

Summary of Same Source Review:

The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

Summary of Injury Review:

☐ The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

□ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.

W	ATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT	Oregon Water Reso 725 Summer Street N Salem, Oregon 97301 (503) 986-0900 www.wrd.state.or.us	E, Suite A			
App	lication: T- <u>14</u>	157		Applicant Name	: Weslie and Karen Adams	
Prop	posed Change	s: \square POA \square USE	□ APOA □ POU	$\Box SW \rightarrow GW$ $\Box OTHER$	\Box RA	
Rev	iewer(s): <u>J. H</u>	lootsmans/J. Hac	<u>kett</u>]	Date of Review: <u>10/5/2023</u>	
			Date Reviewed	l by GW Mgr. and I	Returned to WRSD: <u>JTI 6/</u> 4/25	
	sfer may be a	pproved because	:		e whether the proposed espond to the water rights	
					ion of the well construction or proposed to be developed.	
	Other					
	the point of a	ppropriation (PC	DA) for a portion		pplicant proposes to change 9. Authorized POA is Well Γ 58446).	
	Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA? \boxtimes Yes \square No Comments: <u>The authorized and proposed POAs are drilled to similar</u> <u>depths and produce from the same aquifer.</u>					
	a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)? \Box Yes \boxtimes No <u>The authorized and proposed POAs develop water-bearing zones in the</u> <u>Columbia River Basalt Group aquifer system.</u>					
	•	-		plied by each of the proposed change (r	e sources and describe any ate, duty, etc.):	
	in interferenc	e with another g	ground water r	ight?	e, likely result in an increase	
				ll be located simila ected to increase.	r distances from existing	

b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?

 \Box Yes \Box No If yes, explain: _____

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?

☐ Yes ⊠ No Comments: <u>The authorized and proposed POAs are located similar</u> <u>distances from nearby surface water sources</u>, so interference is not expected to increase.

Provide context for minimal/significant impact:	
---	--

6. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?

 \Box Yes \Box No Comments:

- 7. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: _____
- 8. Any additional comments:

