

Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 14490

GW Reviewer James Hootsmans Date Review Completed: 11/18/2024

Summary of Same Source Review:

☐ The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

Summary of Water Level Decline Condition Review:

☐ Water levels at the original point(s) of appropriation have exceeded the allowed decline threshold defined by conditions in the originating water right.

Summary of Injury Review:

☐ The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

☐ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.



Oregon Water Resources Department
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
(503) 986-0900
www.wrd.state.or.us

Ground Water Review Form:

- ☐ Water Right Transfer
☐ Permit Amendment
☒ GR Modification
☐ Other

Application: T-14490

Applicant Name: Youngblood Properties LLC

Proposed Changes: ☐ POA ☒ APOA ☐ SW→GW ☐ RA
☐ USE ☐ POU ☐ OTHER

Reviewer(s): James Hootsmans

Date of Review: 11/18/2024

Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: JTI 6/4/25

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- ☐ The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- ☐ The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- ☐ Other _____

1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: The applicant is proposing two additional Points of Appropriation (POAs) to help supplement the production of the main POA (MARI 4963) on Claim GR 1914. The two additional POAs are listed as PROP 558 and PROP 559 on the Location Map included below.
2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?
☒ Yes ☐ No Comments: The existing and proposed POA are within 50 feet of each other and all wells are targeted to develop within the alluvial floodplain of the Willamette River. The well locations are approximately 0.3 miles from the Willamette River. Nearby well logs at similar depths to the proposed POAs display similar geology to the existing POA.
3. a) Is the existing authorized POA subject to a water level decline condition?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: No water level conditions decline conditions are attached to Claim GR 1914.
- b) If yes, for each POA identify the reference level, most recent spring-high water level, and whether an applicable permit decline condition has been exceeded: NA
4. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: All POAs currently or will be developed in alluvium.
- b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): _____

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: The additional POAs are close to the original POA, therefore at its maximum allowed rate of use, no increase in interference is likely to occur.
- b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?
☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, explain: _____
6. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: The additional POAs are close to the original POA, therefore at its maximum allowed rate of use, no increase in interference is likely to occur. The existing POA and proposed POA are similar distances to the Willamette River.
- b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?
Stream: _____ ☐ Minimal ☐ Significant
Stream: _____ ☐ Minimal ☐ Significant
Provide context for minimal/significant impact: _____
7. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?
☐ Yes ☐ No Comments: NA
8. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: NA
9. Any additional comments: NA

T14490 Youngblood Properties LLC

