### **Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form**

Transfer/PA # T- <u>14269</u>
GW Reviewer <u>Grayson Fish</u> Date Review Completed: <u>11/13/2023</u>
Summary of Same Source Review:
☐ The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).
Summary of Injury Review:
☐ The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as pe 690-380-0100(3).
Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:
$\Box$ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.
This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the

Version: 20210204

## OREGON

Application: T-14269

Reviewer(s): Grayson Fish

transfer may be approved because:

affected by the transfer.

Other

"37 S".

⊠ Yes

☐ Yes

 $\square$  No

⊠ No

source is not changing.

Proposed Changes:

#### **Oregon Water Resources Department** 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A Salem, Oregon 97301-1271 (503) 986-0900 www.wrd.state.or.us

 $\square$  APOA

□ POU

 $\square$  POA

 $\square$  USE

<b>Ground Water Review Form:</b>
$\square$ Water Right Transfer

☐ Permit Amendment **⊠** GR Modification ☐ Other Applicant Name: Erick Clements  $\square$  SW $\rightarrow$ GW  $\square$  RA  $\boxtimes$  OTHER Date of Review: 11/13/2023 Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed. 1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: The applicant proposes to correct the location of the POA (Well #1, JACK 35507) and POU listed on Groundwater Claim GR 2512 from T37S R2W S35 NW NW to T37S R2W S34 NE NE. The physical location of the POA and POU are not changing. Note: the applicant lists the POU on Table 2 of the application as being in Township "39 S", this is likely an error and should read Township 2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA? Comments: The physical location of the POA is not changing, therefore 3. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)? b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): N/A a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with another ground water right? No Comments: The physical location of the POA is not changing, so no increase in interference is anticipated with another groundwater right.

> Page 1 of 3 Version: 20210204

b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in

another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?

# Ground Water Review Form Transfer Application: T-14269 Yes □ No If yes, explain: N/A Solution: N/A Transfer Application: T-14269 No Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with another surface water source? □ Yes □ No Comments: The physical location of the POA is not changing, so no increase in interference with another surface water source is anticipated. b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any surface water sources resulting from the proposed change? Stream: □ Minimal □ Significant Stream: □ Minimal □ Significant

6. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?


☐ Yes ☐ No Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Provide context for minimal/significant impact:

- 7. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: \_\_\_\_\_
- 8. Any additional comments:

Page 2 of 3 Version: 20210204

#### **Transfer Review Map**



Page 3 of 3 Version: 20210204