

Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 14653

GW Reviewer Phillip I. Marcy Date Review Completed: 09/19/2025

Summary of Same Source Review:

☐ The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

Summary of Water Level Decline Condition Review:

☐ Water levels at the original point(s) of appropriation have exceeded the allowed decline threshold defined by conditions in the originating water right.

Summary of Injury Review:

☐ The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

☐ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.



Oregon Water Resources Department
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
(503) 986-0900
www.wrd.state.or.us

Ground Water Review Form:

- ☒ **Water Right Transfer**
☐ **Permit Amendment**
☐ **GR Modification**
☐ **Other**

Application: T-14653

Applicant Name: Kenneth and Kami Good

Proposed Changes: ☒ POA ☐ APOA ☐ SW→GW ☐ RA
☐ USE ☐ POU ☐ OTHER

Reviewer(s): Phillip I. Marcy

Date of Review: 09/19/2025



Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: _____


The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- ☐ The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- ☐ The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- ☐ Other _____
-

1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: The applicant proposes to produce groundwater from a well on their property instead of the currently authorized wells not on their property.
2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?
☒ Yes ☐ No Comments: Both authorized POA wells, WALL 255 and WALL 257, produce from horizons within the Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG) sequence. The proposed POA, WALL 51568, also produces from these horizons and displays similar water level elevations (see attached hydrograph).
3. a) Is the existing authorized POA subject to a water level decline condition?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: Certificate 60584 has no decline condition.
b) If yes, for each POA identify the reference level, most recent spring-high water level, and whether an applicable permit decline condition has been exceeded: NA
4. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: All POA wells produce from basalt.
b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): NA

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: The proposed POA well is not significantly closer to any neighboring groundwater right and has a shallower total depth than either of the previously authorized POA wells.
- b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?
☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, explain: NA
6. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: The proposed POA well is continuously cased and sealed to a depth greater than the authorized POA wells, thus limiting anticipated hydraulic connection with nearby surface water.
- b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?
Stream: NA ☐ Minimal ☐ Significant
Stream: NA ☐ Minimal ☐ Significant
Provide context for minimal/significant impact: _____
7. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?
☐ Yes ☐ No Comments: NA
8. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: _____
9. Any additional comments: Currently authorized POA WALL 255 is a current State Observation Well (SOW) with an extended measurement record. Measurements exhibit modest declines over the period of record, being somewhat more severe in recent years (see attached hydrograph).





Scale: 1:24,000

0 0.130.25 0.5 0.75 1 Miles