

# Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 14678

GW Reviewer Grayson Fish Date Review Completed: 9/23/2025

## Summary of Same Source Review:

☐ The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

## Summary of Water Level Decline Condition Review:

☐ Water levels at the original point(s) of appropriation have exceeded the allowed decline threshold defined by conditions in the originating water right.

## Summary of Injury Review:

☐ The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

## Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

☐ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

*This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.*



Oregon Water Resources Department  
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A  
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271  
(503) 986-0900  
www.wrd.state.or.us

## Ground Water Review Form:

- ☒ **Water Right Transfer**  
☐ **Permit Amendment**  
☐ **GR Modification**  
☐ **Other**

Application: T-14678

Applicant Name: Splawn Disclaimer Trust

Proposed Changes: ☒ POA ☐ APOA ☐ SW→GW ☐ RA  
☐ USE ☐ POU ☐ OTHER

Reviewer(s): Grayson Fish

Date of Review: 9/23/2025

Date Returned to WRSD: 9/23/2025

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- ☐ The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- ☐ The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: The application states the following: "It is proposed to construct a new well 5 to replace the old well 5 which was authorized by certificate 43464. Old well 5 was destroyed by river bank erosion, so the proposed new well 5 location is on higher ground above high water."

The well report number for Well 5 (POA 5) is LANE 6030. The proposed location of new well 5 is 450 feet south of the Well 5.

2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?  
☒ Yes ☐ No Comments: Both authorized Well 5 and the proposed construction of new well 5 will develop water from sands and gravels of the recent alluvial sediments of the Willamette River.
3. a) Is the existing authorized POA subject to a water level decline condition?  
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: Certificate 43464 does not contain any water level decline condition language.
- b) If yes, for each POA identify the reference level, most recent spring-high water level, and whether an applicable permit decline condition has been exceeded: N/A
4. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?  
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: All authorized POA source water from shallow alluvium.

- b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): N/A
5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?  
☒ Yes   ☐ No   Comments: The changes proposed by this transfer will move groundwater use under this permit closer to POAs associated Certificate 35755 and 43165 to the south. The largest reduction in distance due to this change is approximately 400 feet closer than the currently authorized POA (3,480 vs 3,080 feet). This reduction in distance is likely to result in an increase in interference with other groundwater rights located to the south of the currently authorized POAs.
- b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?  
☐ Yes   ☒ No   If yes, explain: Given the relatively minor reduction in distance and the presence of nearby recharge boundary of the Willamette River that would buffer the amount of drawdown observed at distance, it is unlikely that the increase in interference resulting from this transfer would result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled.
6. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?  
☐ Yes   ☒ No   Comments: The proposed change moves use slightly further away (~100 feet) from the Willamette River and is unlikely to result in an increase with that surface water source.
- b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?  
Stream: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Minimal   ☐ Significant  
Stream: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Minimal   ☐ Significant  
Provide context for minimal/significant impact: \_\_\_\_\_
7. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?  
☐ Yes   ☐ No   Comments: N/A
8. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: N/A
9. Any additional comments: N/A

**T-14678**