

Ross

Name Daniel H. Ross

4390

By _____
Address 4185 81st St. NE
Salem, OR 97303

DESCRIPTION OF WATER RIGHT

Name of stream a well

Trib. of _____ County of Marion

Use irrigation

Quantity of water _____ No. of acres 86²

Name of ditch _____

Date of priority Sept 15, 1960

In name of _____

_____ Adjudication, Vol. _____, page _____

App. No. G-1758 Per. No. G-1670 Cert. No. 34622

Certificate cancelled _____

Notation made on record by _____

OK cert

Change in POA

Date filed Feb 12, 1980

Date of hearing _____

Place of hearing _____

Date of order 7-9-80 Vol. 33, page 415

Date for application of water complete

Proof mailed _____

Proof received _____

Certificate issued FEB 9 1989 Vol. _____, page 59982

REMARKS

ORIGINAL FINAL PROOF 100 APR G-6224
- finish -

W.R.I.S.	
Assembled	<u>2-7-83</u> by <u>SM</u>
Entered	_____ by _____
Verified	_____ by _____

FEES PAID

Date	Amount	Receipt No.
<u>2-12-80</u>	<u>35-</u>	<u>16050</u>
TOTAL . . .		
	Cert. Fee	

FEES REFUNDED

Date	Amount	Check No.

TO BE ENTERED WHERE CHECKED	INDEX CARDS:	Entered	Checked
	☒ Name	_____	_____
	☒ Stream	_____	_____
	☐ Pt. of Div.	_____	_____
	☒ Calendar	_____	_____
	CHECKED TO RECORDS:		
	☐ Twp. & Rge.	_____	_____
	☐ Decree-vault	_____	_____
	☐ Decree-safe	_____	_____
	☒ Cert. of W/R	_____	_____
	☒ Per. Folder	_____	_____
	☐ Chaindex	_____	_____
	☐ Cross Ref.	_____	_____
	☒ Power Claim	_____	_____
	☐ Abstracts	_____	_____

MARI 6994/6967

T-4390
OLD WELL

G1758

WATER WELL REPORT
STATE OF OREGON

State Well No. 7/2W-11

State Permit No.

and
with the
ENGINEER,
OREGON

OWNER:

Daniel Ross

LOCATION OF WELL:

SECTION

Owner's number, if any—

1/4 Section

T.

R.

W.M.

and distance from section or subdivision corner

TYPE OF WORK (check):

Well ☒ Deepening ☐ Reconditioning ☐ Abandon ☐
When abandonment, describe material and procedure in Item 11.

PROPOSED USE (check):

Domestic ☐ Industrial ☐ Municipal ☐
Irrigation ☒ Test Well ☐ Other ☐

(5) TYPE OF WELL:

Rotary ☐ Driven ☐
Cable ☒ Jetted ☐
Dug ☐ Bored ☐

(6) CASING INSTALLED:

Threaded ☐ Welded ☒16" Diam. from 0 ft. to 1279 ft. Gage 1279
" Diam. from ft. to ft. Gage
" Diam. from ft. to ft. Gage

(7) PERFORATIONS:

Perforated? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Type of perforator used mills

SIZE of perforations in. by 1/2 in.
180 perforations from 164 ft. to 180 ft.
240 perforations from 98 ft. to 138 ft.
perforations from ft. to ft.
perforations from ft. to ft.
perforations from ft. to ft.

(8) SCREENS:

Well screen installed ☐ Yes ☒ NoManufacturer's Name
Type Model No.
Slot size Set from ft. to ft.
Slot size Set from ft. to ft.

(9) CONSTRUCTION:

Was well gravel packed? ☐ Yes ☒ No Size of gravel:
Gravel placed from ft. to ft.
Was a surface seal provided? ☒ Yes ☐ No To what depth? 12 ft.
Material used in seal—Puddle clay
Did any strata contain unusable water? ☐ Yes ☒ No
Type of water? Depth of strata
Method of sealing strata off

(10) WATER LEVELS:

Static level 35 ft. below land surface Date
Artesian pressure lbs. per square inch Date

Log Accepted by:

(Signed) Virginia M. Ross Date June 3RD 1960
(OWNER)

by Daniel Ross

(11) WELL TESTS:

Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level

Was a pump test made? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, by whom? -Yield: 390 gal./min. with 130 ft. drawdown after 4 hrs.
" 370 " 106 " 4 "
" 300 " 83 " 4 "

Bailer test gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.

Artesian flow g.p.m. Date

Temperature of water Was a chemical analysis made? ☐ Yes ☐ No

(12) WELL LOG:

Diameter of well 10 inches.

Depth drilled 180 ft. Depth of completed well 180 ft.

Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and show thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of formation.

MATERIAL	FROM	TO
top soil	0	4
yellow clay	4	16
Brown sandy shale	16	34
grey gritty shale	34	63
Brown sandy shale	63	88
Brown sandy shale with scattered gravel	88	98
Brown sand & gravel	98	135
Brown sandy shale with scattered gravel	135	164
Brown sand & gravel	164	180

RECEIVED

FEB 12 1980

WATER RESOURCES DEPT.
SALEM, OREGON

Work started 3/17 1960 Completed 4/29 1960

(13) PUMP:

Manufacturer's Name

Type: H.P.

Well Driller's Statement:

This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NAME Wilhamette Drilling Co.
(Person, firm, or corporation) (Type of print)

Address RT 2 Salem

Driller's well number

(Signed) Dennis L. Ross
(Well Driller)

License No. 251 Date 5/3 1960

Hull

Contractor's License No. 13 Date 7-31, 1973

DANIEL H. ROSS
4185 81st NE
Salem, Oregon 97303



State of Oregon
Water Resources Dept.

6 20 80

RE: File No. T4390

I, Douglas M Ross, am in full agreement for the transfer
of the appropriation of ground water as set forth in the
applicaton for transfer of water right (file # T-4390)
and dated 11 Febuary 1980.

Signed


Douglas M Ross


RECEIVED
JUN 20 1980
WATER RESOURCES DEPT.
SALEM, OREGON

RECEIVED

JUN 20 1980

WATER RESOURCES DEPT.
SALEM, OREGON

T-4390

RECEIVED
FEB 12 1980

WATER RESOURCES DEPT.
SALEM, OREGON

Application for Transfer of Water Right

To the WATER RESOURCES DIRECTOR OF OREGON:

I, Daniel H. Ross
(Name of applicant)
of 4185 81st, N.E., Salem
(Mailing address) (City)
State of Oregon, 97303, do hereby make application for change
(Zip Code) (Phone number)
point of appropriation
(In point of diversion; place of use; use heretofore made of the water)

1. Is the water right recorded in your name? Yes
(If not, give name)

2. Was your water right determined by Decree of Court? No If so, give title of proceedings
(Yes or No)
number of certificate

3. Was your water right acquired by Water Right Permit? Yes If so, give number
App'l. G-1758
(Yes or No)
of permit G-1670 Number of certificate 34622

NOTE: If the entire right of record is not directly involved in the requested change, only that part of the right that is directly involved should be considered in answering the balance of the questions on this form.

4. The source of water is a well

5. What is the date of priority of your water right? September 15, 1960

6. The authorized point of diversion is located 3030 ft. N and 925 ft. E from the SW
57 (N. or S.) (E. or W.)
corner of Greenwood DLC being within the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 11 Tp. 7S R. 2W W. M.,
(No. N. or S.) (No. E. or W.)
in the county of Marion The name of the ditch used is

NOTE: Answer question if the application is for change in point of diversion.

7. The proposed point of diversion is located 3630 ft. N and 270 ft. E from the SW
57 (N. or S.) (E. or W.)
corner of Greewood DLC being within the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 11 Tp. 7S R. 2W W. M.,
(No. N. or S.) (No. E. or W.)
in the county of Marion The name of the ditch to be used is

8. The use to which the water is applied is irrigation
(Irrigation, Mining, Power, Manufacturing, etc.)

Location of area irrigated, or place of use if other than irrigation:

Township North or South	Range E. or W. of Willamette Meridian	Section	List $\frac{1}{4}$ - $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section	Number Acres To Be Irrigated
7S	2W	11	NE NE	4.9
			NW NE	28.6
			SW NE	37.5
			SE NE	3.0
			NE NW	3.7
			SE NW	6.7
			NW SE	1.6

(If more space required, attach separate sheet)

9. Are you the legal owner of the above described lands? Yes & No -
(If not owner, explain your interest)

10. To your knowledge, has any portion of the water right above described undergone a period of five or more consecutive years of nonuse? No
(Yes or No)

NOTE: Answer questions 11, 12 and 13 if application is for change in use or place of use.

11. Are the lands from which you propose to transfer your water right free of all encumbrances, including taxes, mortgages, liens, etc.?
(Answer Yes or No)

12. If not, give below a description of existing encumbrances:

NATURE OF ENCUMBRANCE	HELD BY	AMOUNT

13. The use to which the water is to be applied is
(Irrigation, power, mining, manufacturing, domestic supplies, etc.)

Location of area to be irrigated, or place of use if other than irrigation:

Township North or South	Range E. or W. of Willamette Meridian	Section	List $\frac{1}{4}$ - $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section	Number Acres To Be Irrigated

(If more space required, attach separate sheet)

I, Daniel H Ross do own an undevide*d* one half interest in the said land and am purchasing the balance of the land on a sales contract from my brother Douglas M Ross whom resides in No Fort Myers Florida.

14. Reasons for the proposed changes are Newer well Meets all
needs so desire to return the original Well -
AND Transfer from old well to New well on Water Rights.

15. Construction work will be completed on or before Complete

16. The water will be completely applied to the proposed use on or before Complete

Remarks _____

NOTE: THIS APPLICATION MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY A MAP OR MAPS SHOWING THE BEFORE AND AFTER LOCATIONS OF THE POINT OF DIVERSION AND/OR PLACE OF USE, AS THE CASE MAY BE.

AFFIDAVIT OF APPLICANT

I, DANIEL H. ROSS, the applicant herein, being first duly sworn, depose and say that I have read the above and foregoing application for transfer of water right; that I know the contents thereof and that the statements therein made are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this 11th day of February, 1980.

Daniel H. Ross
(Name of applicant)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 11 day of February, 1980.

[Notarial Seal]

Charles
NOTARY PUBLIC FOR OREGON

My commission expires 2-26-83

WELL

WFT-0982-C1

*** OREGON WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT ***
 *** WATER RIGHTS DIVISION ***
 *** WATER RIGHT DATA INPUT FORM ***

PAGE 1
 RUN ON: 3/20/81 AT: 9:08

WATER RIGHT NO. 1000043901 PERMIT NO. G01670 CERTIF. NO.
 ORIG. NO. G000017581 PREV. NO. G000017581 SUPER. NO.

NAME: DANIEL H. ROSSADDRESS: 4185 81ST ST NECITY/STATE/ZIP/COUNTY: SALEM, OR 97303

24

STREAM-ID	RIV.MI.	WM.DIST.	W.R.TYPE	GA	STATUS	V	
CANCEL YEAR--->	CONSTR.COMPLT-->	07 09 1980	YR.LAST USED----->	---	NEXT ACTION DUE-->	---	
PRIORITY----->	09 15 1980	PUT TO USE----->	07 09 1980	LAST TRANS.DATE-->	---	LAST TRANS.TYPE-->	---
APPLICATION--->	02 12 1980	SURVEYED----->	---	EXAM.FEE----->	20.00	RECORDING FEE---->	15.00
PERMIT ISSUE-->	07 09 1980	CONCURRENCE----->	---	CYCLE STATUS----->	---	REPORTED FLAG---->	---
CONSTR.STRT.-->	07 09 1980	CERTIFIED----->	---	CORRES.INIT.----->	---	CORRES.DATE----->	---

POINT-OF-DIVERSION DATA:
 TWP/SP RGE SECT QTR/QTR STREAM-ID
0705 020W 11 A B

RIV.MI. RATE STATUS S OR P
0.670 V P

** REMARKS: - - - - ->
 ** DATED-->
 ** POA: 3630' N & 270' E FM SW
 ** FOR DLE ST. CHANGES POA ALL
 ** OF C-39622

PLACE-OF-USE DATA:
 TWP/SP RGE SECT QTR/QTR CNTY USE % CHSMTV ACRES STATUS S OR P

SEE ATTACHED

V.D.I.S.

Approved 2-7-85 1 SPHE.D. ---Verified ---

PLACE - OF - USE

DATA:

[illegible]

POD
POU
USE

NSFER
POD - Well - G/w

County MARION

1. Name Daniel H. Ross

2. Source of Supply well, trib Little Ruddy, Trib of

4. Amount of Water 0.67 cfs Priority Date Sept. 15, 1960

5. Proposed Point of Diversion 3630' N & 270' E from SW Corner Greenwood DLC 57,

Lw/in GREENWOOD DUC 57

7. Place of Use:

[illegible]

10-28-81

Info: Mr. Daniel Ross

Source: one well , depth 177 ft
casing 10 inch
access port

Use: irrigation

Crop: row ,

pump: US Motors 40 hp @ 1800 RPM
Berkley Turbine 6" out

pipe: 6" x 5" ALUM MAIN

heads: 45 to 60 RB 30's 1/4" x 0 max

lift: discharge 0 ft

tie: photo DFN-666-208

inspection:

note: old well is being used for
domestic & C&G.

Al Brown
F.E.


$$\frac{40(6.6)}{63+102+127} = .904$$

$$\frac{45 \text{ or } 60(6.0)}{448.8} = .601 \text{ or } .802$$

T-4390

T.7 S. R.2 W. W.M.

RECEIVED
FEB. 1, 1968
COUNTY CLERK DEPT
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH



GR-16

FINAL PROOF SURVEY UNDER

Application No. G-1758 Permit No. G-1670
IN NAME OF

DANIEL H. ROSS

Surveyed Nov 20 1967, by V. Garner

4.9
28.6
-3.6
0.1
0.7
6.0
37.5
3.0
1.6
6-6

6202
264
6224
6437
8000
9715

224 G-58.77

ss, Daniel H

Info: Talked with Jerry Roth who farms place

Well: unk case, 1 1/4" access port

Pumps: ~~US 55 Hp @ 1765~~ US 40 Hp @ 1000

? Forbine 8" out Berkeley Turbine 6" out

Pipe:

Heads: 1 1/4 - 60 "6" - 5" pumps 60 "1/4" inside

Lift: $\pm 10'$

Crops: row crops wheat

Ties: DFN-644-208

Remarks: The northern portion of the small orchard around the well is not completely cleaned out and is not irrigated. The Timber and brush toward the SW is also still there.

According to Mr. Roth the well under permit G-1670 is not being used for irrigation. Mr. Roth said the pump has been removed. He said that Ross was using that well for a house well.

Also Mr. Roth said he is not irrigating around the buildings.

$$\frac{154}{80} = .19$$

$$\frac{660}{448.8} = .80$$

5-17-79
Larry L. Funn
Field Engineer


40/1000

40
45

RECEIVED

FEB 12 1980

WATER RESOURCES DEPT
SALEM, OREGON



WELL Loc. 3630' N & 270' E. From S.W. Cor. DLC 57

LAND IN QUESTION
COVERED BY COBT. 34622

Application No. Permit No.
IN NAME OF

Surveyed 19..... by

BEFORE THE WATER RESOURCES DIRECTOR OF OREGON

MARION COUNTY

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)
OF DANIEL H. ROSS FOR APPROVAL OF)
A CHANGE IN POINT OF APPROPRIATION)
OF WATER)

ORDER APPROVING
TRANSFER NO. 4390

On February 12 , 1980, an application was filed in the office of the Water Resources Director by Daniel H. Ross for approval of a change in point of appropriation from a well, pursuant to the provisions of ORS 537.705.

The certificate recorded at page 34622, Volume 26, State Record of Water Right Certificates, in the name of Daniel H. Ross, describes a right for the use of not to exceed 0.67 cubic foot per second from a well for irrigation of:

4.9 acres NE 1/4 NE 1/4 as projected within Greenwood DLC 57
28.6 acres NW 1/4 NE 1/4 as projected within Greenwood DLC 57
37.5 acres SW 1/4 NE 1/4 as projected within Greenwood DLC 57
3.0 acres SE 1/4 NE 1/4 as projected within Greenwood DLC 57
3.6 acres NE 1/4 NW 1/4 as projected within Greenwood DLC 57
0.1 acre NE 1/4 NW 1/4 as projected within Murphey DLC 58
0.7 acre SE 1/4 NW 1/4 as projected within Murphey DLC 58
6.0 acres SE 1/4 NW 1/4 as projected within Greenwood DLC 57
1.6 acres NW 1/4 SE 1/4 as projected within Greenwood DLC 57

Section 11

Township 7 South, Range 2 West, W.M.,

with a date of priority of September 15, 1960.

The point of appropriation is located 3030 feet North and 925 feet East from the Southwest corner of Greenwood DLC 57, being within the NW 1/4 NE 1/4 of Section 11, Township 7 South, Range 2 West, W.M.

The applicant herein, owner of the lands above described, proposes to change the point of appropriation to a well located 3630 feet North and 270 feet East from the SW corner of Greenwood DLC 57, being within the NW 1/4 NE 1/4 of Section 11, Township 7 South, Range 2 West, W.M.

Mr. Clifton R. King, Watermaster, and Mr. William S. Bartholomew, Hydrologist, have filed statements to the effect that the proposed change in point of appropriation may be made without injury to existing rights.

No objections having been filed and it appearing that the proposed change in point of appropriation may be made without injury to existing rights, the application should be approved.

NOW, THEREFORE, it hereby is ORDERED that the requested change in point of appropriation, as described herein, without loss of priority, is approved.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the quantity of water appropriated at the new point of appropriation shall not exceed the quantity of water available at the old point of appropriation under the subject right.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the following provisions shall be effective when in the judgment of the watermaster it becomes necessary to install headgates and measuring devices:


That the diversion works shall include an in line flow meter, a weir, or other suitable device for measuring the water to which the applicant is entitled;

That the type and plans of the measuring device be approved by the watermaster before the beginning of construction work and that the weir or measuring device be installed under the general supervision of said watermaster.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that NO time need be fixed for completion as the change in point of appropriation is completed.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the certificate recorded at page 34622, Volume 26, State Record of Water Right Certificates, is canceled; and upon proof satisfactory to the Water Resources Director of completion of works and beneficial use of water to the extent intended under the provisions of this order, a confirming certificate of water right shall be issued to the applicant herein.

Dated at Salem, Oregon this 9th day of July, 1980.


JAMES E. SEXSON
Director



STATE OF OREGON

INTEROFFICE MEMO

TO: TRANSFERS, WATER RIGHTS DIVISION

DATE: March 13, 1980.FROM: ** Clifton R. King, WatermasterSUBJECT: WATER RIGHT TRANSFER APPLICATION NO. 4390IN THE NAME OF Daniel H. Ross

In my opinion the proposed change in Point of appropriation from groundwater
MAY BE MADE WITHOUT INJURY ~~WOULD RESULT IN INJURY~~ to an existing water right.

Headgate notices ~~HAVE~~ HAVE NOT been issued for diversion from the sources which
serves this right.

If for change in point of diversion, is there any intervening point of diversion
between the authorized and the proposed points of diversion? NO
(yes or no)

In my opinion, the order approving the subject transfer application should include
the following in regard to the appropriator installing suitable measuring devices
in the diversion works:

- (1) PRIOR to the diverting of water at the new point of diversion . . .
✓ (2) When in the judgment of the watermaster it becomes necessary . . .

* The approval of this transfer application would result in injury to other water
rights because _____

**

Clifton R. King
Watermaster's signature or initials



STATE OF OREGON

INTEROFFICE MEMO

TO: TRANSFERS, WATER RIGHTS DIVISION

DATE: February 19, 1980.FROM: ** William Bartholomew, HydrogeologistSUBJECT: WATER RIGHT TRANSFER APPLICATION NO. 4390IN THE NAME OF Daniel H. Ross

In my opinion the proposed change in point of appropriation from ground water
MAY BE MADE WITHOUT INJURY WOULD RESULT IN INJURY* to an existing water right.

Headgate notices HAVE HAVE NOT been issued for diversion from the sources which
serves this right.

If for change in point of diversion, is there any intervening point of diversion
between the authorized and the proposed points of diversion? NO
(yes or no)

In my opinion, the order approving the subject transfer application should include
the following in regard to the appropriator installing suitable measuring devices
in the diversion works:

- (1) PRIOR to the diverting of water at the new point of diversion . . .
✓ (2) When in the judgment of the watermaster it becomes necessary . . .

* The approval of this transfer application would result in injury to other water
rights because _____

Nearest neighboring well owned by G.E. Roth (Sec 6-8007) in Section 2, T7S, R2W. Well develops shallow alluvial gravels of the Pudding drainage. We do not anticipate any injury or interference problems in approval of this transfer request.

** Wm. S. Bartholomew 2/19/80 4pm
Watermaster's signature or initials

well logs in xfr folder