

Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 14730

GW Reviewer Stacey Garrison Date Review Completed: 10/30/2025

Summary of Same Source Review:

- The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

Summary of Water Level Decline Condition Review:

- Water levels at the original point(s) of appropriation have exceeded the allowed decline threshold defined by conditions in the originating water right.

Summary of Injury Review:

- The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

- The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.



Oregon Water Resources Department
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
(503) 986-0900
www.wrd.state.or.us

Ground Water Review Form:

- Water Right Transfer**
- Permit Amendment**
- GR Modification**
- Other**

Application: T-14730

Applicant Name: Todd Gaylord

Proposed Changes: POA APOA SW→GW RA
 USE POU OTHER

Reviewer(s): Stacey Garrison

Date of Review: 10/30/2025

Date Returned to WRSD: 11/17/2025

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- Other _____

1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: Applicant proposes to add an APOA, POA-2 (PROP 745), to irrigate 12.7 ac under Certificate 38320. Certificate 38320 authorizes existing POA-1 (CLAC 8661) to irrigate 37.4 ac at 0.47 cfs (211 gpm) and a maximum annual volume of 93.5 AF. This review considers the prorated rate and volume for the 12.7 ac of POU to be irrigated by the proposed APOA/POA-2 (PROP 745): 0.16 cfs (71.8 gpm) and 31.75 AF.
2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?
 Yes No Comments: POA-1 (CLAC 8661) develops the alluvial sand and gravel Willamette Aquifer. The APOA, POA-2 (PROP 745) is anticipated to develop the same groundwater source.
3. a) Is the existing authorized POA subject to a water level decline condition?
 Yes No Comments: _____
b) If yes, for each POA identify the reference level, most recent spring-high water level, and whether an applicable permit decline condition has been exceeded: _____
4. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?
 Yes No Comments: No, only the alluvial source is developed.
b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): _____

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?

Yes No Comments: The authorized POA (CLAC 8661) is approximately 1,000 ft NW of the domestic well serving tax lot 902 at 12661 NE Cedar Brook Rd. The proposed APOA (PROP 745) is approximately 900 ft NW of the TL 902 well. The reduced distance is likely to result in an increase in interference with the TL 902 well.

b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?

Yes No If yes, explain: Pumping the proposed APOA (PROP 745) at the prorated rate of 0.16 cfs (71.8 gpm) is not likely to result in the TL 902 well not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled.

6. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?

Yes No Comments: The authorized POA (CLAC 8661) and the proposed APOA (PROP 745) are approximately the same distance from the Willamette River.

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?

Stream: _____ Minimal Significant

Provide context for minimal/significant impact: _____

7. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?

Yes No Comments: NA

8. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: NA

9. Any additional comments: _____

References

Transfer File: T-14730

Pumping Test Files: CLAC 8661, CLAC 68261, MARI 163

Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon, Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168: U. S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA.

O'Connor, J.E., Sarna-Wojcick, A., Wozniak, K.C., Polette, D.J., Fleck, R.J., 2001, Origin, Extent, and Thickness of Quaternary Geologic Units in the Willamette Valley, Oregon; U.S. Geological Survey, Professional Paper 1620, 51 p.

Woodward, D.G., Gannett, M.W., and Vaccaro, J.J., 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B, 82 p.

Map

