

# Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 14773

GW Reviewer Darrick E. Boschmann Date Review Completed: 1/9/2026

## Summary of Same Source Review:

The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

## Summary of Water Level Decline Condition Review:

Water levels at the original point(s) of appropriation have exceeded the allowed decline threshold defined by conditions in the originating water right.

## Summary of Injury Review:

The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

## Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

*This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.*



Oregon Water Resources Department
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
(503) 986-0900
www.wrd.state.or.us

Ground Water Review Form:

- Water Right Transfer (checked)
Permit Amendment
GR Modification
Other

Application: T-14773

Applicant Name: Badger Ventures LLC

- Proposed Changes: POA, APOA (checked), SW to GW, RA, USE, POU (checked), OTHER

Reviewer(s): Darrick E. Boschmann

Date of Review: 1/9/2026

Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: \_\_\_\_\_

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
Other \_\_\_\_\_

-----

1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
This application is related to certificates 67343 and 67721.  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Certificate 67343 authorizes groundwater pumping from one well (POD 1 = HARN 1229) for primary irrigation of 128.8 acres in the Malheur Lake Basin. The following changes are proposed:  
\_\_\_\_\_

1. Add 5 APOA:

\_\_\_\_\_  
B1 = HARN 1233

\_\_\_\_\_  
H1 = No well log.

\_\_\_\_\_  
H2 = HARN 50931

\_\_\_\_\_  
H3 = HARN 1228

\_\_\_\_\_  
H4 = HARN 1230

2. Rearrange the POU.  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Certificate 67721 authorizes groundwater pumping from one well (POD 1 = HARN 1233) for primary irrigation of 170.1 acres in the Malheur Lake Basin. The following changes are proposed:  
\_\_\_\_\_

1. Add 5 APOA:

\_\_\_\_\_  
B2 = HARN 1229

\_\_\_\_\_  
H1 = No well log.

\_\_\_\_\_  
H2 = HARN 50931

\_\_\_\_\_  
H3 = HARN 1228

\_\_\_\_\_  
H4 = HARN 1230

2. Rearrange the POU.  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Note: The application incorrectly lists HARN 1233 as the authorized well under both certificate 97343 and certificate 67721. The authorized POA locations for these two certificates are located ~2,500 feet away from each other within section 11. Department records indicate the authorized well for certificate 67343 is HARN 1229 and the authorized well for certificate 67721 is HARN 1233.  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Note: There is no well log available for proposed APOA H1.  
\_\_\_\_\_

2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?

Yes  No Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Groundwater in the Harney Basin flows from several upland recharge areas to a common discharge area near Malheur and Harney Lakes, with some apparent discharge to the Malheur Basin through one area along the eastern margin. Groundwater occurs in multiple hydrostratigraphic units, and groundwater within these units is hydraulically connected, making a single groundwater system composed of multiple hydrostratigraphic units (Gingerich and others, 2022). While the rocks and sediments making up the aquifer system in the Harney Basin do constitute a single groundwater flow system, sub-watersheds within the basin contribute recharge to different parts of the system depending on groundwater flow-paths from recharge to discharge areas. In general, within these sub-watersheds water within the aquifer system is sourced from a common recharge area and can therefore be considered a single source.

The currently authorized POA and the proposed POA are all located within ~1.75 miles and are located along groundwater flow paths receiving recharge from a similar source.

3. a) Is the existing authorized POA subject to a water level decline condition?

Yes  No Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

b) If yes, for each POA identify the reference level, most recent spring-high water level, and whether an applicable permit decline condition has been exceeded: \_\_\_\_\_

4. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?

Yes  No Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): \_\_\_\_\_

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?

Yes  No Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

The proposed APOA are located up to ~1.75 miles from the currently authorized wells. This will result in an incremental increase in interference with existing wells near the proposed APOA.

b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?

Yes  No If yes, explain: \_\_\_\_\_

Any increase in interference with existing wells will not meet the standard for substantial or undue interference given the thickness of the aquifer system in the Harney Basin.

6. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?

Yes  No Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
There are no perennial surface water sources in the vicinity of the authorized or proposed wells.

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?

Stream: \_\_\_\_\_  Minimal  Significant

Stream: \_\_\_\_\_  Minimal  Significant

Provide context for minimal/significant impact: \_\_\_\_\_

7. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?

Yes  No Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

8. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: none.

9. Any additional comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Note: The application incorrectly lists HARN 1233 as the authorized well under both certificate 97343 and certificate 67721. The authorized POA locations for these two certificates are located ~2,500 feet away from each other within section 11. Department records indicate the authorized well for certificate 67343 is HARN 1229 and the authorized well for certificate 67721 is HARN 1233.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Note: There is no well log available for proposed APOA H1.

