

Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 14748

GW Reviewer Travis Brown Date Review Completed: 2/18/2026

Summary of Same Source Review:

☐ The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

Summary of Water Level Decline Condition Review:

☐ Water levels at the original point(s) of appropriation have exceeded the allowed decline threshold defined by conditions in the originating water right.

Summary of Injury Review:

☐ The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

☐ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.



Oregon Water Resources Department
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
(503) 986-0900
www.wrd.state.or.us

Ground Water Review Form:

- ☐ **Water Right Transfer**
☐ **Permit Amendment**
☒ **GR Modification**
☐ **Other**

Application: T-14748

Applicant Name: City of Salem

Proposed Changes: ☐ POA ☒ APOA ☐ SW→GW ☐ RA
☐ USE ☐ POU ☐ OTHER

Reviewer(s): Travis Brown

Date of Review: 2/18/2026

Date Returned to WRSD: 2/18/2026


The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- ☐ The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- ☐ The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- ☐ Other _____

-
1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: Applicant proposes to add 4 APOA (proposed "Vertical Well A", "Vertical Well B", "Vertical Well C", and "Vertical Well D") to Claim GR-3510, which currently authorizes 12.3766 cfs of year-round Municipal Use from 3 POA: "Infiltration Gallery #1" (a.k.a. "Collector Well 1" / LINN 2676), "Collector Well 2" (Not Built), and "Collector Well #3" (MARI 71038).
 2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?
☒ Yes ☐ No Comments: All of the authorized and proposed wells produce/would produce groundwater from the alluvial aquifer system.
 3. a) Is the existing authorized POA subject to a water level decline condition?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: Groundwater Claim.
b) If yes, for each POA identify the reference level, most recent spring-high water level, and whether an applicable permit decline condition has been exceeded: N/A
 4. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: _____
b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): N/A

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: The authorized and proposed POA locations are on an island between two channels of the North Santiam River and produce from shallow alluvium which is very hydraulically connected to surface water. Any impacts to groundwater would likely be minimal and limited to within the boundaries of the island. Although other groundwater rights (claims) do exist on the island, they are all owned by the City of Salem. Therefore, the proposed change is unlikely to increase interference with another groundwater right.
- b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?
☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, explain: N/A
6. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: The authorized and proposed POA are very hydraulically connected to the North Santiam River. No increase in interference with surface water is anticipated due to the proposed change.
- b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?
Stream: _____ ☐ Minimal ☐ Significant
Provide context for minimal/significant impact: N/A
7. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?
☐ Yes ☐ No Comments: _____
8. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: None
9. Any additional comments: None

Well Location Map

T-14748**Legend**

- Authorized POA
- Proposed APOA



0 500 1,000 1,500 2,000
Feet

Main Map Scale = 1:12,000

0 10
Miles

Service Layer Credits: Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community
Copyright © 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed