

# Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 14761

GW Reviewer Stacey Garrison Date Review Completed: 12/31/2025

## Summary of Same Source Review:

The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

## Summary of Water Level Decline Condition Review:

Water levels at the original point(s) of appropriation have exceeded the allowed decline threshold defined by conditions in the originating water right.

## Summary of Injury Review:

The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

## Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

*This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.*



**Oregon Water Resources Department**  
 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A  
 Salem, Oregon 97301-1271  
 (503) 986-0900  
 www.wrd.state.or.us

## Ground Water Review Form:

- Water Right Transfer**
- Permit Amendment**
- GR Modification**
- Other**

Application: T-14761

Applicant Name: B2 Land, LLC c/o Blake Crosby

Proposed Changes:     POA             APOA             SW→GW             RA  
                                   USE             POU             OTHER

Reviewer(s): Stacey Garrison

Date of Review: 12/31/2025

Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: JTI 3/13/26

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

- 
1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: Applicant proposes to add APOA, POA-2 (PROP 767), to irrigate a 196 ac portion of the POU under Certificate 49806. Certificate 49806 authorizes POA-1 (MARI 1280) to irrigate 236.4 ac at a maximum rate of 2.4 cfs (1,077 gpm) and a maximum annual volume of 591 AF. Because the APOA, POA-2 (PROP 767) is proposed to irrigate only 196 ac of the POU, it is assessed at the prorated rate of 1.99 cfs (893 gpm) and volume of 490 AF.
  2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?  
 Yes     No    Comments: The authorized POA, POA-1 (MARI 1280) has a maximum depth of 186 ft [- 5 ft amsl]. In this area, the bottom of the Willamette Aquifer is approximately 120 ft bls [60 ft amsl] and overlies the Willamette Confining unit (Woodward et al., 1998). The APOA, POA-2 (PROP 767) is proposed to have a maximum depth of 350 ft [-170 ft amsl]. Both the authorized and APOA would utilize groundwater from the Willamette Aquifer and the Willamette Confining Unit.
  3. a) Is the existing authorized POA subject to a water level decline condition?  
 Yes     No    Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
 b) If yes, for each POA identify the reference level, most recent spring-high water level, and whether an applicable permit decline condition has been exceeded: N/A
  4. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?  
 Yes     No    Comments: Only the alluvial source is developed.

- b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): N/A
5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?  
 Yes  No Comments: APOA is not substantially closer to another groundwater user, so there is no anticipated increase in interference with another groundwater user.
- b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?  
 Yes  No If yes, explain: N/A
6. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?  
 Yes  No Comments: APOA is not substantially closer to another surface water source, so there is no anticipated increase in interference with another surface water source.
- b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?  
 Stream: \_\_\_\_\_  Minimal  Significant
- Provide context for minimal/significant impact: N/A
7. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?  
 Yes  No Comments: N/A
8. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: \_\_\_\_\_
9. Any additional comments: \_\_\_\_\_

### References

#### Transfer File: T-14761

Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon, Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168: U. S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA.

O'Connor, J.E., Sarna-Wojcick, A., Woznikak, K.C., Polette, D.J., Fleck, R.J., 2001, Origin, Extent, and Thickness of Quaternary Geologic Units in the Willamette Valley, Oregon; U.S. Geological Survey, Professional Paper 1620, 51 p.

Woodward, D.G., Gannett, M.W., and Vaccaro, J.J., 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B, 82 p.

Map

