



State of Oregon
Water Resources Department
725 Summer Street NE, Suite "A"
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
(503) 986-0900

Watermaster Review Form: Allocation of Conserved Water Application

Conserved Water Application #: CW-150

Review Date: 5/11/2026

Involving Certificate(s) #: 92000

Reviewer: Robert Wood

For purposes of this analysis, please consider that 100% of the Applicant's portion of conserved water is consumptive.

Pre-Project Water Right(s)

1. In my opinion, the water right(s) is/are valid and not subject to forfeiture.
☒ Yes ☐ No Explain if needed: _____
2. Is there evidence to suggest that the applicant is NOT ready, willing, and able to use the full rate associated with the water right(s)?
☐ Yes ☒ No Explain, if needed: _____

Is the current system capacity as described in the application reasonable and accurate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Explain, if needed: Page 4, Column B System Capacity. I'm not sure what is actually being asked here. The EFID Canal can actually handle between 132 to 140 cfs and over 30,000 ac-ft annually.

Issues Associated with Injury/Harm and Mitigation *See ORS 537.470 and OAR 690-018-0012(1)*

3. In my opinion, this water right could be beneficially used at maximum rate and duty without having return flows. (Note: The question is not asking whether historically there have been return flows).
☒ Yes ☐ No Explain, if needed: _____
4. In my opinion, the proposed project:
☒ MAY BE MADE WITHOUT HARM ☐ WOULD RESULT IN HARM
Please explain: _____

The project could be modified to avoid harm by (suggestions may include possible mitigation measures) _____.

Instream Water Right to be Created by the Project

5. In my opinion, the proposed instream reach(es), instream rate and volume, and time-period to be protected instream are appropriate.
☒ Yes ☐ No Explain, if needed: I discussed the proposed instream rate and season with Jaylene Hattig, EFID prior to the application being submitted.

6. Is/Are the instream reach(es) proposed to be protected beyond the mouth of the stream and into a receiving stream? ☐ Yes ☒ No
If yes, is it a measurable quantity? ☐ Yes ☒ No

7. Are there any stream channel losses in the proposed instream reach(es)? ☐ Yes ☒ No
If yes, please identify the percentage of loss, and the associated reach(es): There are no KNOWN channel losses. Not enough data available at this time.

8. ENAF is available on the Department's website. ☒ Yes ☐ No
If estimated natural flow (ENAF) is not available, what is the estimated natural flow of the stream for the period the instream right is to be protected? _____.

Measuring Devices

In my opinion, the order approving the allocation of conserved water application should include the following in regard to the appropriator installing suitable measuring devices in the diversion works and/or at a lateral.

Post-Project Water Right (Existing lands)

8. Specify when and where (POD or lateral) the measuring devices should be required and explain if needed. OWRD gaging station 14114000 monitors canal flow. EFID works with the Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs to monitor flows below the EFID diversion in the East Fork Hood River.
- ☒ EXISTING and maintain
☐ PRIOR to using the conserved water
☐ WHEN IN the judgment of the watermaster it becomes necessary

New Out-of-Stream Water Right to be Created by the Project (New lands)

9. Specify when and where (POD or lateral) the measuring devices should be required and explain, if needed. _____.
- ☐ EXISTING and maintain
☐ PRIOR to using the conserved water
☒ WHEN IN the judgment of the Watermaster it becomes necessary

Other Comments

8. _____

Please call the caseworker to discuss any additional concerns you may have.

Robert Wood, District 3 Watermaster
Printed Name

Date: 5/11/2026

Signature *(not needed if review sheet is returned via e-mail)*