Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- _14859_

GW Reviewer _Dennis Orlowski_ Date Review Completed: _May 20, 2026_

Summary of Same Source Review:

☐ The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

Summary of Water Level Decline Condition Review:

☐ Water levels at the original point(s) of appropriation have exceeded the allowed decline threshold defined by conditions in the originating water right.

Summary of Injury Review:

☐ The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

☐ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.

Oregon Water Resources Department
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
(503) 986-0900
www.wrd.state.or.us

Ground Water Review Form:

☒ **Water Right Transfer**
☐ **Permit Amendment**
☐ **GR Modification**
☐ **Other**

Application: T-14859 Applicant Name: MCP Perm, LLC

Proposed Changes: ☒ POA ☐ APOA ☐ SW→GW ☐ RA ☐ USE ☐ POU ☐ OTHER

Reviewer(s): Dennis Orlowski Date of Review: May 20, 2026

Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: _____

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

☐ The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.

☐ The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.

☐ Other _____

- -

1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: This proposed transfer relates to certificates 62491, 62492, and 81412. All three certificates are for irrigation of 202.2 acres (combined) in Washington County using a single POA, WASH 10447 ("Well-1").

   This transfer proposes a new POA ("Well-2", to be drilled) to replace the existing authorized POA WASH 10447.

2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?
   ☒ Yes ☐ No Comments: Existing WASH 10447 is 302 feet deep, cased and sealed to 165 ft bls, and obtains groundwater from water-bearing portions of the Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG) aquifer system (Conlon and others, 2005; Woodward and others, 1998). Proposed "Well-2" is planned to be located within ~50 feet of WASH 10447, with a planned total depth of ~320 ft bls and sealed to ~200 ft bls; thus the proposed POA will develop the same aquifer source as the existing authorized POA.

3. a) Is the existing authorized POA subject to a water level decline condition?
   ☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: None of the three relevant certificates (62491, 62492, 81412) contain water level decline conditions, nor is there any language related to the setting of reference levels.

   b) If yes, for each POA identify the reference level, most recent spring-high water level, and whether an applicable permit decline condition has been exceeded: N/A

4. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments:_____

b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): N/A

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: The proposed replacement POA ("Well-2") is planned to be located very near to the existing authorized POA WASH 10447, and will also be constructed similarly. Thus there should be no changes in interference with any other groundwater rights.

b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?
☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, explain: N/A

6. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: Same explanation provided in 5a.

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?
Stream: _____ ☐ Minimal ☐ Significant
Stream: _____ ☐ Minimal ☐ Significant
Provide context for minimal/significant impact: N/A

7. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?
☐ Yes ☐ No Comments: N/A

8. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: None

9. Any additional comments: None

References

Application: T-14859

Certificates: 62491, 62492, 81412

Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168.

Woodward, D.G., Gannett, M.W., and Vaccaro, J.J., 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B, 82 p.

Application T-14859 MCP Perm LLC
T1S, R2W, Section 31