

Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- 14877

GW Reviewer Aaron Orr Date Review Completed: 7/24/2026

Summary of Same Source Review:

☐ The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

Summary of Water Level Decline Condition Review:

☐ Water levels at the original point(s) of appropriation have exceeded the allowed decline threshold defined by conditions in the originating water right.

Summary of Injury Review:

☐ The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

☐ The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.



Oregon Water Resources Department
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
(503) 986-0900
www.wrd.state.or.us

Ground Water Review Form:

- ☒ **Water Right Transfer**
☐ **Permit Amendment**
☐ **GR Modification**
☐ **Other**

Application: T-14877

Applicant Name: Pine Grove Water District

Proposed Changes: ☐ POA ☒ APOA ☐ SW→GW ☒ RA
☐ USE ☒ POU ☐ OTHER

Reviewer(s): Aaron Orr

Date of Review: 7/24/2026

Date Returned to WRSD: 7/24/2026

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- ☐ The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- ☐ The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- ☐ Other _____

-
1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: Applicant proposes adding additional POU and adding an APOA to Certificate 65577. The APOA will be a newly constructed well (Well 3).
 2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?
☒ Yes ☐ No Comments: The existing POA (WASC 3688) and proposed APOA (Well 3; PROP 860) are both completed in the Grande Ronde Aquifer. Based on the proposed construction for Well 3, the well would develop from the bottommost water-bearing zone as the existing POA (See "Lithology" figure).
 3. a) Is the existing authorized POA subject to a water level decline condition?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: N/A
b) If yes, for each POA identify the reference level, most recent spring-high water level, and whether an applicable permit decline condition has been exceeded: N/A
 4. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: N/A
b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): N/A

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: The nearest water right POD, WASC 52143 (Certificate 42447) is equidistant from the existing POA (WASC 3688) and proposed APOA (Well 3). An increase in interference is not expected at this well.
- b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?
☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, explain: N/A
6. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?
☐ Yes ☒ No Comments: The proposed well construction of the proposed APOA (Well 3) includes a 540-foot seal. Hydraulic connection with surface water is not expected.
- b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?
Stream: _____ ☐ Minimal ☐ Significant
Stream: _____ ☐ Minimal ☐ Significant
Provide context for minimal/significant impact: _____
7. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?
☐ Yes ☐ No Comments: N/A
8. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: N/A
9. Any additional comments: OWRD requests cuttings collected at 10 ft intervals during drilling of Well 3. The department can supply bags and arrange pickup if necessary. We request cuttings collection because they provide information about the geologic and hydrologic properties of the basalt unit, and information is scarce in this area.

Topographic map of the Mount Hood National Forest area, showing geological features, water bodies, and proposed wells. The map includes contour lines, roads, and various labeled areas. A legend in the top right corner identifies 'Late Tertiary Basalt Aquifers' with a red dot and 'Undefined' with a black dot. Key features include McCubbins Gulch, Kelly Gulch, and the Grande Ronde Basalt units. Specific wells are marked with yellow dots and labeled: WASC0052143, WASC0003688, WASC0003730, and WASC0003729. A scale bar at the bottom left indicates distances up to 1 mile. A north arrow is located at the bottom right.

