## **Groundwater Application Review Summary Form**

Application # G- <u>19116</u>

GW Reviewer <u>Darrick E. Boschmann</u> Date Review Completed: <u>01/25/2023</u>

### Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

### Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

□ There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

### **Summary of Well Construction Assessment:**

The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

### WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

### MEMO

### \_01/25/2023\_

TO: Application G-<u>19116</u>

FROM: GW: <u>Darrick E. Boschmann</u> (Reviewer's Name)

### **SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation**

- □ YES The source of appropriation is hydraulically connected to a State Scenic Waterway or its tributaries
- □ YES
  Use the Scenic Waterway Condition (Condition 7J)
  ⋈ NO
- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below
- □ Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway

### DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in <u>[Enter]</u> Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBL	LIC IN	TEREST R	EVIEWI	FOR GRO	DUNDW	ATER APPLICATION	S					
TO:		Water Ri	ghts Section	on	Date01/25/2023							
FROM	1:	Groundw	ater Section	on		Darrick E. Boschmann						
						Reviewer's Name						
SUBJI	ECT:	Applicati	on G- <b>_19</b>	116_	Su	persedes review of <u>05/10</u>	0/2021					
								Date of F	Review(s)			
DIIDI			DECUMD	TION. CI								
PUBL	<u>IC IN 1</u>	IERESI PI	<u>KESUMP</u>	$\frac{110N; GI}{110N; GI}$	KUUNDV	VATER	•11					
OAR 6	90-310-	-130 (1) The I	Department	t shall presi	ume that a p	proposed groundwater use v	will ensure	e the preservation	of the public			
welfare	e, safety	and health as	s described	in ORS 532	7.525. Depa	artment staff review groundy	water appl	lications under OA	AR 690-310-140			
to deter	rmine w	hether the pre	esumption i	s establishe	ed. OAR 69	0-310-140 allows the propo	osed use be	e modified or con	ditioned to meet			
the pre	sumptio	n criteria. <b>Th</b>	is review is	s based up	on availabl	e information and agency	policies in	n place at the tin	ne of evaluation.			
1	1			•			•					
A. GE	NERA	L INFORM	<b>IATION:</b>	Appli	icant's Nan	ne: Allah Bakhsh		County:	Harney			
A1.	Applie	cant(s) seek(s	s) <u>4.9</u>	cfs from	5	_well(s) in theMalher	ur Lake		Basir			
		Catlow Vall	ev			subbasin						
A2.	Propo	sed use	Nursery	7		Seasonality: Year Rour	nd					
	1											
A3.	Well a	and aquifer da	ata ( <b>attach</b>	and numb	er logs for	existing wells; mark prop	osed wells	s as such under le	ogid):			
					Location							
Wel	Logid	Applicant's	Proposed	Proposed	$(T/R_{-}S)$	Locatio	on, metes a	and bounds, e.g.				
1	Logiu	Well #	Aquifer*	Rate(cfs)	(1/R-3)	2250' 1	N, 1200' E	fr NW cor S 36				
1	DDOD	1	Volcenia	4.0	26.005	20 FEET SOLITH AND 1280		T EDOM NW CODN	ED SECTION 7			
1	PROP	1	voicanic	4.9	30.005- 20.00E	50 FEET SOUTH AND 1280	U FEET EAS		ER, SECTION /			
			TOCK		29.00E- 7-NW							
					NW							
2	PROP	2	Volcanic	49	36.008-	980 FEET SOUTH AND 60	) FEET EAS	T FROM NW CORN	ER. SECTION 7			
-	11101	_	rock	,	29.00E-	,001221500111112500						
					7-NW							
					NW							
3	PROP	3	Volcanic	4.9	36.00S-	50 FEET NORTH AND 90	FEET EAS	T FROM SW CORNE	ER, SECTION 7			
			rock		29.00E-							

-			rock		29.00E- 7-SW SW	
4	PROP	4	Volcanic rock	4.9	36.00S- 29.00E- 7-SW SW	50 FEET NORTH AND 790 FEET EAST FROM SW CORNER, SECTION 7
5	PROP	5	Volcanic rock	4.9	36.00S- 29.00E- 7-SE SW	50 FEET NORTH AND 50 FEET WEST FROM S1/4 CORNER, SECTION 7

\* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	4872	-	-	-	405	0-32	+1.5-32	-	-	-	-	-
2	4877	-	-	-	405	0-32	+1.5-32	-	-	-	-	-
3	4947	-	-	-	405	0-32	+1.5-32	-	-	-	-	-
4	4970	-	-	-	405	0-32	+1.5-32	-	-	-	-	-
5	4973	-	-	-	405	0-32	+1.5-32	-	-	-	-	-

Use data from application for proposed wells.

### A4. Comments:

This re-review addresses the finding in section B1a in accordance with the 1/18/2023 clarification memo on the current policy for determining over-appropriation for new groundwater applications.

The proposed wells are located in southwest Harney County, along the border with Lake County on the north flank of Beatys Butte. The area underlying the proposed wells is mapped as Tb (Basalt) by Walker and Repenning, 1965. There are no existing wells in this area.

4

A5. A5. A5. A5. A5. A5. A5. A5. Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water  $\Box$  are, or  $\boxtimes$  are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments:

A6. Well(s) # \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: Currently no administrative area.

5

### B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that <u>groundwater</u>\* for the proposed use:
  - a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, *or* cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. \* This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. \* This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - c.  $\Box$  will not or  $\Box$  will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
  - d. 🛛 will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
    - . X The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N; Flow meter/reporting
    - ii.  $\Box$  The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
    - iii.  $\Box$  The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;
  - b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;
  - c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. and \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;
  - d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

**Describe injury** –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

### B3. Groundwater availability remarks:

There are no existing wells or groundwater developments anywhere in this immediate area. Over 5 miles to the west LAKE 51567 was completed to a depth of 760 feet in basalt and reportedly encountered no water. Approximately 8 miles to the east HARN 52610 penetrated 193 feet of clay, ash and pumice overlying basalt and broken rock to a depth of 650 feet and was also reported as a dry hole.

There are no water level data available for this area. Given the lack of any nearby development it is very unlikely that water levels in this area would meet the Division 8 definition of excessively declining or declined excessively (for the *storage* portion of the source of water to wells).

Very little information exists for this location and it is not known if sufficient groundwater will be present at the proposed depth for the proposed use.

If a permit is issued, the following conditions are recommended:

7N: Annual Measurement and Decline Condition

Flow meter condition: Use the water rights "large" permit condition requiring a totalizing flow meter and reporting.

### C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1-5	Volcanic rock		$\boxtimes$

### Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:

There is very little information available about groundwater conditions in this area but elsewhere in this basin groundwater exists under unconfined conditions in both volcanic rock and sedimentary deposits.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (mi)	H YES	Hydra Conn NO	ulically ected? ASSUMED	Potentia Subst. Int Assum YES	l for terfer. ed? <b>NO</b>
1-5	1	Willow Spring	<5360	5360	2.25-3.25		X			$\boxtimes$
1-5	2	North Spring	<4570	4570	2.5-3.5		X			$\boxtimes$
1-5	3	DL Spring	<5380	5380	2.25-3.25		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation:

The only perennial surface water sources in this area are several springs located upgradient on the flanks of Beatys Butte. Although the groundwater elevation at the location of the proposed wells is unknown, it is below the elevation at which these springs discharge from the butte.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: No WAB data available.

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water (SW) source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that SW source, not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ⊠ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts <u>by total appropriation</u> for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

### Comments:

This section does not apply.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	Q as CFS												
Interfei	rence CFS												
Dictrik	wtod Woll	a											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	Q as CFS												
Interfei	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well 0	Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
				1								1	
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}$	otal Interf.												
( <b>B</b> ) = 80	) % Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
( <b>D</b> ) =	(A) > (C)	$\checkmark$	$\sim$	$\checkmark$	$\sim$	$\checkmark$							
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation:

This section does not apply.

;

# C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

- C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
  - i.  $\Box$  The permit should contain condition #(s)
  - ii.  $\Box$  The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

### C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:

**References Used:** <u>Walker, G.W. and Repenning, C.A., 1965. Reconnaissance geologic map of the Adel quadrangle, Lake,</u> Harney, and Malheur counties, Oregon, US Geological Survey Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-446, scale 1:250,000.

OWRD water well reports, water level data, and/or hydrographs.

## D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:
D2.	THE WELL does not appear to meet of      a.    review of the well log;      b.    field inspection by	urrent well construction standards based upon: ; ;
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency of	r other comment is described as follows:
D4.	<b>Route to the Well Construction and C</b>	ompliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Water Availability Tables No WAB data available.



10

# Water-Level Measurements in Nearby Wells None available.