Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # LL- <u>1924</u>

GW Reviewer _James Hootsmans/Josh Hackett_ Date Review Completed: _1/25/2023_

Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

□ There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

TO: Application LL-<u>1924</u>

FROM: GW: James Hootsmans/Josh Hackett (Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

- YES The source of appropriation is hydraulically connected to a State Scenic Waterway or its tributaries
- □ YES
 □ Use the Scenic Waterway Condition (Condition 7J)
 □ NO
- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below
- □ Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in <u>[Enter]</u> Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: FROM SUBJE	Water Rights Section Date January 25, 2023 A: Groundwater Section James Hootsmans/Josh Hackett Reviewer's Name Reviewer's Name ECT: Application LL - 1924 Supersedes review of Date of Review(s)									
PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER OAR 690-310-130 (1) <i>The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525.</i> Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation .										
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL IN	FORMATION:	Applicant's Na	me: Wesley	Wise	County: Morrow				
A1.	Applicant(s) seek(s) <u>0.446</u> umbia-Umatilla Pla	cfs from <u>1 sump</u> tteau	well(s) in the subbasin	Umatilla	Basin,				
A2.	Proposed u	se <u>Mining</u>		Seasonality:	Year-Round					
A3.	Well and a	quifer data (attach	and number logs for	r existing wells;	mark proposed wells a	s such under logid):				
Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S OO-O)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36				
	Droposed Su	mp 1	Alluvial ^a	0.446	5N/26E-26 SE ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄	1610' S, 270' W fr NW cor S 26				
1	rioposeu Su	<u>p</u>								
1										

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
Sump					10							

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** The proposed POA is ~1 mile south of the Columbia River and ~1.3 miles southwest of Irrigon, Oregon (See Site Map).

The proposed POA is a sump 270 feet west of an existing irrigation well, MORR 1234. As per by OAR 690-200-0050 (103), a "sump" means a hole dug to a depth of ten feet or less with a diameter greater than ten feet in which groundwater is sought or encountered. MORR 1234 develops water within a sand and gravel unconfined aquifer. The well is within the Ordnance Basalt Critical Groundwater Area, so a permit for a gravel well is possible. Therefore, the proposed POA would develop water within the surficial sediments of the sand and gravel alluvium.

Requested discharge rate is 200 gpm = 0.45 cfs

A5. **Provisions of the** <u>Umatilla</u> Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or

management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water \boxtimes are, or \square are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Comments:

The sump would be outside of and downgradient of the Ordnance Gravel Critical Groundwater Area (CGWA) but within the Ordnance Basalt CGWA. The sump should not access the basalt aquifers.

A6. Well(s) # ____, ___, ___, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.

Name of administrative area: <u>The sump is within the Ordnance Basalt CGWA so any wells associated with this</u> <u>application must not penetrate the basalt aquifers.</u> Comments: _____

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that <u>groundwater</u>* for the proposed use:
 - a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, *or* cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. \Box will not or \Box will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
 - d. 🛛 will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. \Box The permit should contain condition #(s) <u>7B Interference</u>,
 - ii. \Box The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. \Box The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the <u>sand and gravel alluvial</u> groundwater reservoir between approximately______ft. and ______ft. below land surface;
 - d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** <u>The proposed POA is a sump 270' away from MORR 1234. The surficial geology is</u> the sand and gravel alluvial aquifer system which is unconfined and hydraulically connected to the Columbia River. Water level data, where available, show that groundwater levels are stable in the unconfined aquifer in this area (See Observation Well data).

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
2	Sand and Gravel Alluvium		\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>Based on the nearby existing POA, the proposed POA is located in the Sand and</u> Gravel Alluvial aquifer system that is unconfined and hydraulically connected to the Columbia River.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GWSWElevElevft mslft msl		Distance (ft)	H YES	Iydra Conn NO	ulically ected? ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO	
2	1	Columbia River			5300	X				\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>The existing POA and the proposed POA are situated in the unconfined</u> <u>sand and gravel aquifer in the alluvial sediments in the Columbia River flood plain.</u>

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>N/A – No WAB associated - floodplains of Columbia River</u>

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water (SW) source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that SW source, not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖂 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

C3b. **690-09-040** (**4**): Evaluation of stream impacts <u>by total appropriation</u> for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: NA

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
D' / 'I	4 1 3 37 11												
Well	SW#	I s Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Well Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
			1	[1				1	1	[1	1
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80) % Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
				-									
(D) =	(A) > (C)	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation:

The proposed POA is located just over a mile away from the Columbia River. Since the POA is situated in the sand and gravel surficial alluvium and within the floodplain of the Columbia River, the impacts from pumping to the Columbia River will be minimal in comparison to the natural flow of the river. The POA is not located in any associated WAB as it is in the floodplains of the Columbia River.

41-	(00, 00, 040, (5), (b)) The notantial to immain an detaim antally offer the multiplication of is to be determined by the Wet
4D.	Rights Section.
5. [☐ If properly conditioned , the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
	ii. \Box The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
6. S	W / GW Remarks and Conditions:
_	
_	
_	
R	References Used: <u>Applications G14471, LL1924</u>
<u>v</u>	Vell Log MORR 1924
_	
_	

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:
D2.	THE WELL does not appear to meet of a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by	current well construction standards based upon: ; ;
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency o	r other comment is described as follows:
D4. 🗆	Route to the Well Construction and 	Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

10

Well Location Map



Water-Level Measurements in Nearby Wells

