т∩∙		Water	Rights Se	ction					Date	03	/24/202	3		
FROM ¹		Groun	dwater Se	ction		Ien Woo	odv		Dute	0.5	24/202	<u>5</u>		
I ROM	•	Groun	awater be			Review	ver's Nam	ie						
SUBJE	CT:	Applic	ation G- <u>1</u>	8820		Sup	ersedes	revi	iew of <u>9/1</u>	3/2019				
						1					Γ	ate of Revi	ew(s)	
οι τοι τ	C INTE	DECT	DDECIN	IDTION. (WATED								
	<u>C INTE</u>	$\frac{\mathbf{RESI}}{\mathbf{O}(1) T}$	PRESUN	<u>ir non; (</u>	5KUUND	WALER	Lanoun	huat		anna th		nation of	the nubli	
Walfare	v0-310-13 safety an	d healtl	he Depunn h as describ	eni snali pre od in ORS 5	37 525 De	a proposed	taff rev	iewai iew d	er use will er groundwater	annlies	tions un	der OAR	690-310	<i>c</i> _140
to deteri	nine whet	ther the	presumptio	on is establis	hed. OAR (590-310-1	40 allow	vs the	e proposed u	se be m	nodified	or conditi	oned to r	neet
the presi	umption c	riteria.	This review	w is based u	pon availa	ble inforn	nation a	nd a	agency polic	ies in p	lace at f	the time of	of evalua	tion.
					•					•				
A. <u>GEN</u>	NERAL	INFO	RMATIO	<u>N</u> : Арן	olicant's Na	ame: <u>N</u>	<u> Iiles Pe</u>	nnei	r		Co	ounty: <u> </u>	amhill	
A 1	A		$1_{2}(x) = 0.02$	5 . f. f	1) : 4 1		W/:11					Deele
A1.	Applicar	it(s) see	K(S) = 0.023	5 cis from		well(s) in the		willamette					Basin,
	C	hehaler	n			subbas	sin							
	-					~								
A2.	Proposed	l use	Irrig	ation		Seaso	nality:	Yea	ar-round					
12	Wall and	loquifo	r data (atta	ah and num	han laga fa	n ovicting	wollar	mon	r nronocod i	wolla or	anoh m	ndon logi	d).	
A3.	wen and	aquile	r dala (alla	ch and num	ber logs to	or existing	wens; I	mari	k proposed	wens as	s such u	lider logi	u):	
Well	Logi	d	Applicant'	s Propose	d Aquifer*	Propo	sed		Location		Locatio	n, metes a	ind bounds	s, e.g.
1	VAMIL	Well #				Rate(cfs)	T 20	(T/R-S QQ-C	2) / CE 1/	2250' N	I, 1200'E	fr NW cor	S 36
2	YAMH 1087 1			KBG	0.02	.5	123	5/K3W-2/ SE ½	4 SE 1/4	75 11, 555 W II ESE (OI DEC 52				
3														
4						-								
5 * A 11	m CDD I	Padroals												
* Anuviu	IIII, CKD, I	Seurock												
	Well	First	CWI	CWI	Well	Seal	Casir	ng	Liner	Perfo	orations	Well	Draw	Test
Well	Elev	Water	r SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Depth	Interval	Interv	als	Intervals	Or S	creens	Yield	Down	Type
1	ft msl	ft bls	200	<i>C</i> /1 <i>C</i> /2010	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	0	(ft)	($\frac{(ft)}{40,260}$	(gpm)	(ft)	· ·
1	870	210	200	6/16/2019	380	0-65	0-67, 0	60-)	n/a	320-3	40, 360- 380	1	160	aır
	_													
-														
Use data	from appli	cation fo	or proposed	wells.										
	G													
A4.	Comme	nts:												
A5. 🗖	Provisio	ons of t	he				Basir	n rule	es relative to	the dev	velopme	nt. classif	ication ar	nd/or
	managen	nent of	groundwate	er hydraulica	lly connect	ted to surf	<u> </u>	r [$are or \square$	are no	t activat	ed by this	annlicat	ion

(Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments:

Comments: <u>OAR 690-502-0200</u>: Permits may be issued, for a period not to exceed five years, for fire protection and for drip or equally efficient irrigation provided the Director finds the proposed use and amount do not pose a threat to the groundwater resource or existing permit holders. The amount of water used for irrigation shall be further limited to one acre-foot per acre per year. Permits may be extended for additional five-year periods if the Director finds that the groundwater resource can probably support the extended use.

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
 - **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, *or* **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any a. period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130:
 - will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding b. is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - **will not** or **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or c.
 - **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: d.
 - The permit should contain condition #(s) 7I, Large Water Use reporting i.
 - ii. \square The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface; a.
 - **Condition** to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface; b.
 - Condition to allow groundwater production only from _____a single aquifer in the Columbia River Basalt Group ______ groundwater reservoir between approximately______ and______ft. below land surface; c. ft.
 - Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely d. to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury -as related to water availability- that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/	
senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):	

Groundwater availability remarks: _____ The applicant's proposed wells will produce from one or more water-bearing B3. zones in the Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG), a series of lava flows with a composite thickness that ranges from 300 to 400 feet in this area (Conlon et al., 2005). Each flow is characterized by a series of internal features, including a thin rubble zone at the contact between flows and a thick, dense, low porosity and low permeability interior zone. In some cases, sedimentary layers were deposited during the time between basalt flow emplacements. A flow top, sedimentary interbed and flow bottom are collectively referred to as an interflow zone. Unconfined groundwater occurs near the weathered top of the basalts, but most water occurs in interflow zones at the contacts between lava flows. CRBG flow features result in a series of stacked, thin aquifers that are confined by dense flow interiors. The low permeability of the basalt flow interiors usually results in little connection between stacked aquifers, which generally results in tabular aquifers with unique water level heads.

The proposed use of 14.3 acre-feet per year at a maximum rate of 11 gallons per minute (gpm) is unlikely to create drawdown interference with nearby wells that prevents access to water. Nearby water level data are not available. Wells access a variety of water-bearing zones within the CRBG aquifer system. Well logs in T2S/R3W- Section 27 report yields ranging from 1 to 60 gpm, with a median yield of 13 gpm. Water use and water level monitoring conditions are recommended to protect existing users.

Section B1a of this review was updated according to the Iverson (2023) memo. The water level data from nearby wells does not represent the same groundwater source as the proposed use. Therefore the reviewer finds B1a " cannot be determined".

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Columbia River Basalt Group	\boxtimes	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>Water-bearing zones within the CRBG typically display high degrees of</u> confinement. The well log for YAMH 1087 shows the water level 10' above the top of the water-bearing zone, indicating confined conditions.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Unnamed tributary	670	670	850		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Water-bearing zones are reported in the confined interflow zones</u> of the CRBG. These water-bearing zones, as well as the water level reported on the well log, are coincident with or above perennial reaches of the nearby creek. The creek has incised through several hundred feet of CRBG. Groundwater from the uplands likely discharges to surface water, providing baseflow or spring flow to sustain nearby perennial reaches of the creek.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Watershed ID #30200707 Chehalem Creek.

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ⊠ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1	\square					0.39	\boxtimes	*	\boxtimes

C3b. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	1	117	1		1	1	1	1	
SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: <u>* There is no appropriate model to estimate streamflow depletion from pumping in CRBG interflow zones that are incised by streams or discharge to point sources such as springs. Therefore, the percentage of interference at 30 days is not calculated.</u>

The proposed rate on this application (0.025 cfs) is greater than 1% of the minimum 80% natural flow in the Chehalem WAB (0.39 cfs), such that PSI is assumed for SW #1

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q) as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Well	c											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Mav	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	2.11	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well C) as CFS		, -	,,,	,.	,.		,,,	,		,.	,.	,,,
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q) as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q) as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
$(\Lambda) - T_0$	tal Interf								[
$(\mathbf{R}) = 10$ $(\mathbf{R}) = 90$	9/ Not O												
$(\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{U}$	70 Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = ($(\mathbf{A}) > (\mathbf{C})$	\sim	\checkmark	\checkmark	\sim	\sim	\checkmark	\sim	\sim	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A})$	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = 1	total interference as CFS; $(B) = WAB$ calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; $(C) = 1\%$ of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as
CFS;	(D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.
	Basis for impact evaluation: n/a

C4b.	690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.
C5. [☐ If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s)
	ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
C6. S	W / GW Remarks and Conditions: The applicant's well is within the Chehalem WAB and is likely hydraulically connected with a nearby stream reach in that WAB. The proposed rate is greater than 1% of the minimum natural flow in the WAB, so PSI was found.
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
R	References Used:
<u>C</u> G	Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, Fround-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168.
<u>Iv</u> <u>B</u>	verson, J., February 6, 2023, OWRD Memorandum: Clarification of current policy for determining over-appropriation in section 11 a of the Public Interest Review for Groundwater Applications.
<u>U</u>	S Geological Survey Topographic Map, Dundee Quadrangle.

OWRD water level and well log databases, includes reported water levels.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

•	Well #:	Logid:	
	THE WELL does not appear a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by c. report of CWPE	to meet current well construction standards based	upon:
	d other: (specify)		
•	THE WELL construction defi	ciency or other comment is described as follows: _	

D4.

Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Figure 1. Water Availability Tables

			DET	AI	LED REPO	RT	ON THE	WA	TER AVAI		BILITY CAL	CULATION	1	
					Water	AVa	allabil A CD > 1	ıty	as of	37.	11/2005 to	r		
W T	atersh ime:	ed 08	ID #: :35	3	0200707	1 Er	Ba	sin	: WILLAN	AET:	- AI MOOTH TE	Exceeda Date	nce :	e Level: 80 03/11/2005
	Month	N S F	atural tream low		CU + St Prior t 1/1/93	or o	CU + S After 1/1/93	tor	Expecte Stream Flow	ed	Reserved Stream Flow	Instrea Water Rights	ım 	Net Water Available
	1 2	 	101. 115.	00	3. 2.	11	0 0	.00	97. 112.	.90 .00	0.00 0.00	0. 0.	00	97.90 112.00
I	3	I	80.	60	2.	20	0	.00	78.	.40	0.00	0.	00	78.40
1	4 5	1	33. 14.	00 90	1. 1.	31		.00	31. 13.	. 70	0.00 I 0.00		001	31.70 13.00
i	6	i.	8.	48	3.	14	0	.00	5.	.34	0.00	0.	00	5.34
1	7		2.	13 59	4.	69		.00	-2.	.56	0.00	0.	00	-2.56
i	9	ì	0.	39	1 2.	26		.00	-1.	.87	0.00	0.	001	-1.87
ļ	10	I.	3.	05	0.	61	0	.00	2.	.44	0.00	0.	00	2.44
	11 12		11. 66.	50 20	1 0. 1 2.	90 44		.00	10. 63.	.60	I 0.00	I 0.	001	10.60 63.80
i	Stor	i	489	00	17	70	Ì	0	473	300	0		0	47300



G-18820 Penner

Figure 3. Water-Level Trends in Nearby Wells









Figure 4. Cross Sectional diagram shows the subject well and the nearest well with water level data. The two wells do not access the same aquifer in the CRBG.





Memo

To: Kristopher Byrd, Well Construction and Compliance Section Manager

From: Joel Jeffery, Well Construction Program Coordinator

Subject: Review of Water Right Application G-18820

Date: September 18, 2019

The attached application was forwarded to the Well Construction and Compliance Section by Water Rights. Jen Woody reviewed the application. Please see Jen's Groundwater Review and the Well Log.

Applicant's Well #1 (YAMH 1087): Based on a review of the Well Report, Applicant's Well #1 seems to protect the groundwater resource.

The construction of Well #1 may not satisfy hydraulic connection issues.

NOTICE TO WATER WELL CONTRACTOR The original and first copy of this report are to be filed with the WATER WEL	LL REPORT YAMH		
WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT, STATE OF SALEM, OREGON 97310	OREGON IA 777 State Well No	Co13W-27	
within 30 days from the date (Please type of well completion (Do not write a)	boro print) // 8/ State Permit No.		
(1) OWNER:	(10) LOCATION OF WELL:		
Name Richard Krueger	County Vamhill Driller's well number		
Address 16270, 5. W. Hart	14 14 Section 27 T. 25 R. 3W W.M.		
$\frac{1}{(2)} \text{ TYPE OF WORK (sheet)} $	Bearing and distance from section or subdivision	corner	
New Well II Deepening I Recorditioning I About the			
If abandonment, describe material and procedure in Item 12.			
(3) TYPE OF WELL: (4) PROPOSED USE (check)	(11) WATER LEVEL: Completed wel	1.	
Rotary Driven D	Depth at which water was first found 2/0 ft.		
Cable Jetted Domestic Industrial Municipal	Static level 200 ft. below land surface. Date $6-16$		
	Artesian pressure lbs. per square inch. Date		
CASING INSTALLED: Threaded D Welded	(12) WELL LOG: Dispeter of well holes in 6		
	Depth drilled 380 ft. Depth of completed well 380 ft		
" Diam from ft to ft Gage 10	Formation: Describe color, texture, grain size and structure of materials:		
I. Gage	and show thickness and nature of each stratum and aquifer penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of formation. Report each share in		
PERFORATIONS: Perforated? Yes I No.	position of Static Water Level and indicate principal water-bearing strata.		
Type of perforator used /orch	MATERIAL	From To SWL	
Size of perforations 78 in. by 6 in.	Topsoil	02	
20 perforations from 360 ft. to 320 ft.	Brown Clay	2 14	
perforations fromft toft	Medium brauin rock	58 72	
	Broken rock	72 275	
(1) SCREENS: Well screen installed? Yes No	Medium brown rock a	75 380	
Type Model No	,		
Diam Slot size Set from ft. to ft			
Diam Slot size Set from ft. to ft.			
(8) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level			
Was a pump test made? 🗌 Yes 🔄 No If yes, by whom?	RECEIVED		
Yield: gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.	101 0 1070		
<u> </u>	JIII - 6 1979		
and """""	WATER RESOURCES DEPT		
Artagian flow	SALEM, OREGON		
Derature of water Depth arterion flow accounting a			
personale of water Depth artestan now encountered	Work started 6-/0 19 79 Completed	6-16 1979	
(9) CONSTRUCTION:	Date well drilling machine moved off of well	6-16 1979	
Well seal-Material used	Drilling Machine Operator's Certification:		
Diameter of well hore to bottom of seel 10 in	Materials used and information reported above are true to my		
Diameter of well bore below seal	Isimula I and Frankin - 7-4 79		
Number of sacks of cement used in well seal sacks	[Signed], S. Bell		
How was cement grout placed?pumped	Drilling Machine Operator's License No.		
	Water Well Contractor's Certification:		
	This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is		
Was a drive shoe used? [] Yes Dro Plugs Size: location ft.	true to the best of my knowledge and belief.		
Did any strata contain unusable water? 🗌 Yes 🚺 🕅	Name ////////////////////////////////////		
Type of water? depth of strata	Address Kt/ Box 522 Fort	land, Dre.	
Method of sealing strata off	[Signed] Don Frakin	97231	
Was well gravel packed? [] Yes [] Yo Size of gravel:	(Water Well Contracto	r)	
Gravel placed from ft. to ft.	Contractor's License No. 7/5 Date	<u> </u>	

•

.

(USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)

SP*45658-119

_