## PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS TO: Water Rights Section Date December 7, 2005 Ground Water/Hydrology Section \_\_\_\_\_ Michael Zwart FROM: Reviewer's Name Supersedes review of \_\_\_ N/A SUBJECT: Application G- 16564 PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION: GROUNDWATER OAR 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537,525. Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation. A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Rich Kortge County: Wasco Applicant(s) seek(s) 2.0 cfs from one well(s) in the Hood A1. Basin, subbasin Quad Map: The Dalles South A2. Irrigation, 454.9 ac. (P & S) Seasonality: March 1 to October 31 Proposed use: Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid): A3. Applicant's Proposed Proposed Location Location, metes and bounds, e.g. Well Logid Aquifer\* Well# Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q) 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 WASC 51357 CRB 2.0 1S/13E-3 SW-NE 1567' S, 2144' W fr NE cor S 13 2 3 4 5 Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock Well First Well Well Liner Seal Draw Casing Perforations **SWL** SWL Test Well Elev Water Depth Interval Intervals Intervals Or Screens Yield Down ft bls Date Type ft bls (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) ft msl (ft) (ft) (gpm) 8/8/05 1035 250\* 177 630 0-230 0-230 None None 350 123 Air

A4. estimat		t 25-120 feet was described, but this is cased and sealed off. Drawdown
A5. 🛛		Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or nected to surface water are, or are not, activated by this application.
A6. 🗆	Well(s) #,,,, Name of administrative area:,	, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.

ation (	G-16564 continued	Date: December 7, 2005
ROUN	ND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATION	S, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070
Bas	sed upon available data, I have determined that ground wa	er* for the proposed use:
a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;	cannot be determined to be over appropriated during an to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation
b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts is limited to the ground water portion of the injury det	requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding ermination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
c.	will not or will likely to be available within the c	apacity of the ground water resource; or
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing i.  The permit should contain condition #(s)	7C
	<ul><li>ii.  The permit should be conditioned as indicate</li><li>iii.  The permit should contain special condition(s)</li></ul>	
a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no	deeper than ft. below land surface;
b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no	shallower than ft. below land surface;
c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from water reservoir between approximately ft	n the ground , and ft. below land surface;
d.	occur with this use and without reconstructing are cite issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstru Water Section.	or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely d below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding ction is filed with the Department and approved by the Grounds likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/re, etc):
	·	
ever sma with B1c nota	ound water availability remarks: Potential for water-larywhere the Columbia River Basalt aquifers are developed. If no measurement/decline condition is used, as reconditionally the likely result being that one or both of the boxes 'we. Also, the attached hydrograph for nearby State Observably within the last 7-8 years. If future water-level mond that the basalt ground water resource is over appropri	ned, especially east of the Cascades where recharge is mmended above, then I would need to re-review the file, ill not likely be available' being checked under B1b or twation Well #1030 displays moderate water-level declinationing in this area discloses continued declines, I will like
11110	t that the basait ground water resource is over appropri	ateu.
_		1
-		
· <del>.</del>		
_	3000-300-300-3	
	700.700	
		10.00

Application G-16564	continued

Date: December 7, 2005

## C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Basalt of the Columbia River Basalt Group	$\boxtimes$	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>In this area, basalt aquifers are typically confined. The static water level is</u> well above the level of the first basalt water-bearing zone.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Japanese Hollow	858	1005	200		
1	_2	Fivemile Creek	858	880	3200		
1	3	Threemile Creek	858	800	10900		
1	4	Eightmile Creek	858	900	7000		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: All nearby stream reaches are above the elevation of the shallowest water-bearing zone. Hydraulic connection is likely at downstream reaches of the creeks and/or the Columbia River. However, I am not able to estimate these distances, due to the uncertain dip of beds. Water level trends at nearby wells also suggest that hydraulic connection is poor or distant from the wells.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>Japanese Hollow > Eightmile Cr at mouth (30410536)</u>.

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	sw #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Ann	licatio	n G-1	6564
Whh:	псано	11 / 1-1	UJUM

\_\_continued

Date: December 7, 2005

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

100	 	 	001 100						
	 w #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
								181	

Comments:	This section does not apply.

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	11/0	%	1/6	%	1/1	%	9/0	1/₀	9/0	%
Well Q	as CFS						ĺ						
Interfere	ence CFS												
Dictrib	outed Well	6				·							
Well	SW#	s Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
***************************************	5117	%	%	171.01	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS			- 755	- 07			705					
	ence CFS								-				
***************************************	1	9/11	1/0	9/0	1/0	%	1/0	%	0/10	%	%	%	9/0
Well Q	oe CES									,,,			
	ence CFS												
interiere	elice CFS	1/11	9/0	9/0	0/0	11/0	º/s	%	n/o	%	%	¶/0	0/0
Well Q	on CES	70	70	70	70	70	76	70	70	70	70	70	70
interiere	ence CFS	0.4			0.6								
	650	°/a	%	9/0	%	%	%	%	%	°/u	1/10	9/s	%
Well Q													
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	9/0	u/o	%	%	%	⁰/₀	%	⁰/₀	%	%
Well Q	as CFS ence CFS		<del> </del>										
intertere	ence CrS	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/6	8/0	%	⁰⁄₀	%	%
Well Q	as CES	/"	/0	70	76	70	/6	70	70	/0	70	76	76
	ence CFS												
interiere	ence CrS												
(A) = To	tal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1 °	% Nat. Q												
(D) = (A	(C)	Ą		A A	A lie-1	A.	A liv I	e <sup>A</sup>		£	is A	167	A
	/ B) x 100	0/a	9/0	%	9/0	%	%	%	9/n	9/4	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Version: 08/15/2003

olication G- <u>16564</u> continued	Date: December 7, 2005
Basis for impact evaluation: This section does not	apply.
690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or det Rights Section.	rimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the W
Rights Section.	
☐ If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) under this permit can be regulated if it is found to sub i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s)	can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water ostantially interfere with surface water:
ii. The permit should contain special condit	tion(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
·	
SW / GW Remarks and Conditions	
Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	
References Used: <u>Local well logs; local knowledge; rommunication with Marc Norton.</u>	reviews of nearby files, especially G-16307 and G-16318; person
ommunication with Marc 1101 tolds	
- Sale	

App	licatio	G- <u>16564</u> continued Date: <u>December 7, 2005</u>
D. <u>\</u>	<u>WEL</u>	CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200
D1.	1	ell #: 1 Logid: WASC 51357
D2.	a b c	HE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon:  review of the well log; field inspection by report of CWRE other: (specify)
D3.	a b	HE WELL construction deficiency:  constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules;  commingles water from more than one ground water reservoir;  permits the loss of artesian head;  permits the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs;  other: (specify)
D4.	- - -	HE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:
D5.	7	HE WELL  a. was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.  b. don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.
D6.		oute to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.
TH	IS SE	CTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL
D7.	□ \ -	ell construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:
	-	
	-	
	-	, 200
D8.		(Enforcement Section Signature) oute to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).

## Water Resources Department

МЕМО							_	Dece	mber	7,2	005	3		
TO				tion G- <u>/</u>			ŧ.					8		
F	ROM	G	w: <u>/</u>	1 chael	Zu wer's Name)	<i>lart</i>								
SUBJECT		T Se	Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation											
	Ye No	Ti	The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway											
	Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J).  No													
PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE FINDING: (Check box only if statement is true)  At this time the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use of ground water will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway in quantities necessary for recreation, fish and wildlife.														
				ar quari	itios nec	cossary .	(	eation,	iish and	l Wildli	fe.	6		
Exe Vat	Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in Scenic Vaterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which urface water flow is reduced.													
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec		
							100				-			

