PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	r Rights S	ection				Dat	e <u>April 25</u>	, 2006		
ROM	[:	Grou	nd Water/	Hydrology	Section _							
SUBJI	ECT:	Appli	ication G-	16605			ewer's Name persedes re	view of	N/A			
		• • •				,				Date of Re	view(s)	
OAR 6	<mark>90-310-1</mark> e, <i>safety a</i> rmine who	30 (1) and heal ether the	The Depari th as descr e presumpt	<i>ibed in ORS</i> ion is establ	oresume tha 537,525. I ished. OAR	t a propos Department . 690-310-	ed groundw t staff reviev 140 allows t	v ground wat the proposed	ensure the prese er applications use be modified icies in place a	under OA I or condi	R 690-31	l 0-140 meet
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL	INFC	<u>PRMATIO</u>	<u>ON</u> : A	pplicant's l	Name:	Herb Day	is		County:	Harney	<i>i</i>
A1.	Applica	ınt(s) se	ek(s) <u>1.5</u>	71 cfs fro	m <u>one</u>	well(0	Malheur I	Lake Ialheur Lake E	act/New	Princeto	_ Basin,
A2. A3.	Propose Well an	ed use: _ id aquif	Irr er data (att	igation, 125 ach and nu	5.6 acres (P mber logs		sonality:	March 1 to	o October 31 wells as such		·	
Well	Log	id	Applican		oposed	Propose		Location		n, metes		
1	HARN :		Well #		quifer* /sandstone	Rate(cf		'/R-S QQ-Q) 33E-27 SW-S		N, 1200' E 'W, 40'N I		
3												
4												
5	CRD	Dadasal										
Alluvi	um, CRB,	Bearock	ζ						_			
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL Ble	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	4108	58	20	4/28/01	165?	0-30	0-130?	None	None	1800	19	P
<u> </u>												
									<u> </u>			
A4.	Comme lled in th	ents: <u>Tl</u> ere whi	ich disagr	is difficult	log.			s. The applic	cation is also n	o help sin	nce some	<u>items</u>
											- 19	
A5. 🛛	manage (Not all	ment of basin r	ules contai	nter hydrauli n such prov	cally conne isions.)	cted to sur	face water	are, or 🗵	o the developm] are not, activ	ated by th	iis applica	ation.
A6. □	Name o	f admin	istrative ar	ea:					er limited by an		-	triction.

D-	ND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070
Da	sed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or annot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
c.	will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s)
a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
d.	 Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/
	senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
Gr <u>bel</u>	ound water availability remarks: <u>SOW #183 is near the well here. However, the proposed use is such that I</u> lieve that a measurement/decline/interference condition is warranted.
_	
=	
=	

Date: April 25, 2006

Application G-16605 continued

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Basalt, sand and sandstone, likely Tvs of GW Report #16		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>Locally, the aquifer is confined to semiconfined below a clay bed and basalt; regionally, it may be in hydraulic connection with younger valley-fill sediments which are typically unconfined.</u>

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Malheur Lake	4088	4090±	18000±*		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: *Both the surface water elevation and distance to the well will vary as the lake level changes. Currently, the lake is more distant than shown on the quadrangle map. It is very likely that the aquifer penetrated by the well ultimately discharges to Malheur Lake.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: None

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
							[
<u></u>										
<u></u>	<u> </u>									
					i					

App	lication	G-16605

_continued

Date: April 25, 2006

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
--	---------	-------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--

	SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Water Right ID	Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	Natural Flow (cfs)	of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
ı									
								_	

Comments: This section does not apply.

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

	istributed	Wells											*
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Wel	le											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	⁰ / ₀	%	9/
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	º/p	%	9/
Well Q	as CFS						1						
	ence CFS												
	1	%	%	%	1/11	%	%	1%	%	%	%	%	9/
Well Q	as CFS	<u> </u>											
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	0/6	%	9%	%	1/a	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS							i					
Interfere	ence CFS							<u> </u>					
		%	%	%	%	0/0	%	%	%	0/n	%	%	9/
Well Q	as CFS					·							
Interfere	ence CFS												
		1/0	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	º/u	%	%
Well Q													
Interfere	ence CFS					<u> </u>							
(A) = To	tal Interf.												
	% Nat. Q						Ì						
(C) = 1 °	% Nat. Q												
(D) = (A	1) > (C)	V	V	1	1	V	V	1	1	1	1	1	/
	/ B) x 100	9/0	9/6	9/0	0/10	9/6	€/u	9/0	1/0	%	%	6/4	9/

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed, as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed, as FS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Version: 08/15/2003

oplication G- <u>16605</u>	continued	Date: April 25, 2006
calculate interfer application (G-16	ence as a percentage of natural flo 058), very small values of interfer	plies. Since Malheur Lake is not flowing, it is not possible to w. In using the Wozniak/Hunt analytical model for a nearby ence were calculated. There is likely no point to running the model and interference will therefore be less.
b. 690-09-040 (5) Rights Sectio	(b) The potential to impair or de n.	trimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Wat
under this permi i. 🔲 The	t can be regulated if it is found to su permit should contain condition #(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water us bstantially interfere with surface water:)tion(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
i. SW / GW Remarks	and Conditions	
References Used:	A. R. Leonard, GW Report 16, O	WDR, 1970; USGS WSP #841, 1939; local well logs.

App	licat	on G- <u>16605</u> continued Date: <u>April 25, 2006</u>
D. V	WEI	LL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200
סו.		Well #:1
D2.		THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon: a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by report of CWRE d. other: (specify)
D3.		THE WELL construction deficiency: a.
D4.		THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:
D5.		THE WELL a. was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification. b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction. Route to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction
٥٠٠.		is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.
TH	IS S	ECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL
D7.		Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:
D8.		(Enforcement Section Signature) Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).
	200	

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEM	10							Ap.	il :	25,	200_6			
TO: FROM SUBJ	M: JECT:	GW:	Application G-16605 GW: Michael Zwart (Reviewer's Name) Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation											
	_YES _NO	The so	ource of	approp	riation i	s within	or abov	ve a Sce	nic Wa	terway				
V	_YES _NO	Use th	e Sceni	c Water	way coi	ndition (Conditi	on 7J)						
	Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below. Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.													
Calcula calcula informi Exerci Watery	te the per ted, per c ng Water se of th way by	ON OF reentage or riteria in Rights this permithe followater floor	of consun 390.835, at the De t is calc owing a	nptive use do not fit partment ulated t mounts	by montall in the to is unable	able but contact to make the month	heck the a Prepon ly flows	"unable" derance o s in	option a of Eviden	bove, thu ce findin	s g Scenic			
Jan	Feb	Mar	·Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec			

