PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS TO: Date June 9, 2006 Water Rights Section Ground Water/Hydrology Section Michael Zwart FROM: Reviewer's Name Application G-<u>16630</u> Supersedes review of N/A SUBJECT: Date of Review(s) **PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER** OAR 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation. Applicant's Name: <u>Tree Top Ranches, LLP</u> County: <u>Harney</u> A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant(s) seek(s) 7.95 cfs from two well(s) in the Malheur A1. subbasin Quad Map: South Fork Reservoir Proposed use: Irrigation, 635,5 ac (P & S) Seasonality: March 1to October 31 A2. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid): A3. Applicant's Proposed Proposed Location Location, metes and bounds, e.g. Well Logid Well# Aquifer* Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q) 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 HARN 1522 1 3 Bedrock 5.17 27S/36E-29 SE-SW 564' N, 3418' W fr SE cor S 29 2 4 Bedrock 2.78 27S/36E-33 NE-SW 1437' N, 3158' W fr SE cor S 33 HARN 1523 3 4 5 Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock Well First Well Seal Liner Perforations Well Draw Casing SWL SWL Test Well Elev Water Depth Interval Intervals Intervals Or Screens Yield Down ft bls Date Type (ft) (ft) (ft) ft msl ft bls (ft) (ft) (gpm) (ft) 3 4028 77 71 6/16/88 116 0 - 180-20 None None P 3400 0.5 3995 35 2/9/80 0-100 4 16 400 0-41None None 1070 60 P Use data from application for proposed wells. Comments: Well 3 has incredible specific capacity; I suspect that it could be developing a lava tube or be well connected to such a tube (Malheur Cave?). Well 4 cases and seals off the shallowest water-bearing zones.

pplic	ation	G- <u>16630</u>	continued	Date: June 9,	2006
3. <u>GF</u>	ROUN	ND WATER AVA	ILABILITY CONSIDERATION	S, OAR 690-310-130, 400-	010, 410-0070
31.	Bas	sed upon available (data, I have determined that ground wat	er* for the proposed use:	
	a.	period of the p	riated, is not over appropriated, or [roposed use. * This finding is limited to prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;		
	ъ.		will likely be available in the amounts he ground water portion of the injur		
	c.	will not or	will likely to be available within the ca	pacity of the ground water res	ource; or
	d.	i. 🛭 The p ii. 🔲 The p	rly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ermit should contain condition #(s)7 ermit should be conditioned as indicated ermit should contain special condition(s	C lin item 2 below.	
2.	a.	Condition to	allow ground water production from no	deeper than	_ ft. below land surface;
	b.	Condition to	allow ground water production from no	shallower than	_ ft. below land surface;
7	c.	Condition to a	llow ground water production only from between approximately ft.	andft. below lan	ground d surface;
	d.	occur with this issuance of the Water Section. Describe injury	uction is necessary to accomplish one o use and without reconstructing are cited permit until evidence of well reconstruction.—as related to water availability—that is ts, not within the capacity of the resource	I below. Without reconstruction is filed with the Department is likely to occur without well re	on, I recommend withholding ent and approved by the Ground econstruction (interference w/
3.	Gro	ound water availab	ility remarks:	0" 000	
	<u> </u>				
	-				
	_				
	_				
	-				
	_		-	77-20-2	
	-				
	-				
		35-56			
	-				<u> </u>

1 1 1 0 1000		
Application G-16630	_continued	Date: <u>June 9, 2006</u>

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
3	Probably rhyodacite (Trd) or basalt and andesite (Tba)		
4	Probably rhyodacite (Trd) or basalt and andesite (Tba), but could also include tuffaceous sedimentary rocks (Tts)		
<u> </u>			

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: The geology here is complex, with both wells located close to a fault zone,
which may explain the differing lithologies and production rates, although the heads are similar.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
3	1	Indian Creek	3957	3985	3400		
3	2	Camp Creek	3957	4010	8300		
3	3	South Fork Malheur River	3957	3975	9400		
4	1	Indian Creek	3960	3994	500		
4	2	Camp Creek	3960	4010	15100		
4	3	South Fork Malheur River	3960	3975	16300		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Based on the head relationship, hydraulic connection appears to be poor with the nearest reaches of Indian Creek and Camp Creek. The complex geology here causes me to question whether a finding of hydraulic connection is even appropriate for the South Fork Malheur River. It may be that hydraulic connection is more likely with point discharges, such as springs (eg. east and west of Malheur Cave), rather than diffusely with stream reaches,

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Indian Cr > S Fork Malheur R at mouth (31011632).

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
	_	 				<u> </u>				
<u> </u>	-									
										<u> </u>

Version: 08/15/2003

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

		SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
L										
	<u> </u>									

Comments:	This section does not apply.		

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

	tributed	Wells											
Vell .	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Арг	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/
/ell Q as													
iterferen	ice CFS												
		_				•							
	ited Well		E.I.	3.6							0.1	3.7	-
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	ODO	1/6	%	0/0	%	0/6	%	8/n	%	%	%	%	%
/ell Q as													
ıterferen	ice CFS			1000									
		%	%	%	%	%	%	¶∕n	%	º/a	1/0	0/0	1/0
/ell Q as													
iterferen	ice CFS												
		%	%	9/0	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/6	n/a
/ell Q as													
ıterferen	ice CFS												
		%	%	%	0/0	%	%	%	%	0/a	%	%	1/0
/ell Q as	: CFS												
iterferen	ce CFS												
	i	%	%	%	9/6	%	9/6	9/0	%	º/a	1/4	%	9/0
/ell Q as	CFS								lig.				
iterferen	ce CFS												
		%	0/0	9/0	%	1/6	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/0
ell Q as	CFS			_									
terferen	ce CFS												
) - T													
() = Tota													
c) = 1 %	Nat. Q												
) = (A)	> (C)	1	V	1	1	1	1	1	1	V	1	4	1
E) = (A / 1	B) x 100	º/o	%	9/0	%	%	9/0	%	%	0/0	0/0	º/u	%
C) = 1 % C) = (A)	> (C)	9/8	9%	734	1576	100	51	11.75	1122			0.000	_

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Version: 08/15/2003

Applic	cation G- <u>16630</u>	continued	Date: June 9, 2006
	depletion models.	It is likely that the proposed us	cal geology is entirely too complex to warrant use of our usual stream e here will impact surface water flows in the South Fork Malheur
	River, possibly as	a combination of diffuse interfe	rence and springflow reduction.
	6.00		
C4b.	690-09-040 (5) Rights Section	(b) The potential to impair or on.	letrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water
C5. [under this permit	ditioned, the surface water source t can be regulated if it is found to permit should contain condition #	(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use substantially interfere with surface water: (s)
	ii. 🗌 The	permit should contain special con	dition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
C6. S	W / GW Remarks	and Conditions	
_			
_			
_			
=			
-			
_			
_			
Ξ			
Ξ			
-			
	920-		
Re	eferences Used:	Geologic Map of the Burns Qua	drangle, Oregon, by Greene, et al., 1972; local well logs.
200			
_			
-			

Appl	lication G-16630 continued Date: June 9, 2006
D. <u>V</u>	VELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200
D1.	Well #:3, 4 Logid:HARN 1522 & 1523
D2.	THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon: a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by report of CWRE d. other: (specify)
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency: a.
D4.	THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:
D5.	THE WELL a. was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.
	b. 🛛 I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.
D6.	Route to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.
THI	S SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL
D7.	Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:
	, 200
	(Enforcement Section Signature)
D8.	☐ Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT **MEMO** Application G- 16630 TO: GW: Michael Zwart (Reviewer's Name) FROM: SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation YES The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway NO YES Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J) Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below. Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway. DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding. Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced. Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Oct Sep Nov Dec

DETAILED REPORT ON THE WATER AVAILABILITY CALCULATION Water Availability as of 6/9/2006 for INDIAN CR > S FK MALHEUR R - AT MOUTH

Watershed ID #: 31011632 Basin: MALHEUR Exceedance Level: 80
Time: 11:27 Date: 06/09/2006

	, 				Date.	06/09/20
Month	Natural Stream Flow		Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Require- ments	Net Water Available
1	1.97	0.86	1.11	0.00	0.00	1.11
2	4.07	3.07	1.01	0.00	0.00	1.01
3	6.89	5.85	1.04	0.00	0.00	1.04
4	12.90	12.10	0.81	0.00	0.00	0.81
5	10.30	18.20	-7.94	0.00	0.00	-7.94
6	8.08	14.40	-6.32	0.00	0.00	-6.32
7	2.54	4.83	-2.29	0.00	0.00	-2.29
8	1.07	1.98	-0.91	0.00	0.00	-0.91
9	0.82	1.14	-0.32	0.00	0.00	-0.32
10	1.01	0.78	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.23
11	1.64		0.99	0.00	0.00	0.99
12	1.66	:	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.91
tor-50%	5270	3900	2210	0	0	2210

DETAILED REPORT OF CONSUMPTIVE USES AND STORAGES Water Availability as of 6/9/2006 for INDIAN CR > S FK MALHEUR R - AT MOUTH

Mo	Storage	Irrig	Munic	Ind/Man	Commer	Domest	Agricul	Other	Total
1	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.86
2	3.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	3.07
3	4.63	1.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	5.86
4	6.27	5.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	12.10
5	3.39	14.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	18.20
6	2.37	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	14.40
7	0.79	4.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	4.83
8	0.35	1.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	1.98
9	0.28	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	1.14
10	0.35	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.78
11	0.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.65
12	0.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.75
									i

DETAILED REPORT OF RESERVATIONS FOR CONSUMPTIVE USE Water Availability as of 6/9/2006 for INDIAN CR > S FK MALHEUR R - AT MOUTH

