PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	r Rights S	ection					Date	e	<u>May 14,</u>	2008			
FROM	:	Grou	nd Water/	Hydrology	Section _										
SUBJE	CT:	Appli	ication G-	16987				s Name edes re	view of		N/A	Date of Re	view(s)		
OAR 69 welfare, to deter the pres	90-310-1 safety amine who umption	30 (1) : nd heal ether the criteria	The Depart th as descr e presumpt . This revi	ibed in ORS ion is establi ew is based ON: A	resume the 537.525. I shed. OAF upon avai	nt a propos Department R 690-310- lable infor Name:	red g t stat 140 rmat	ff review allows the ion and arance	ater use will y ground wate he proposed agency poli and Marile Malheur I	er appuse beicies i	olications use modified n place at owley Cou	nder OA or condi the time unty: <u>H</u>	R 690-31 tioned to of evalu	0-140 meet ation.	
]	Harney	Valley			subb	asin	Qu	ad Map: <u>M</u>	lahor	Creek				
A2. A3.	Propose Well an	ed use: . d aquif	Irr er data (att	igation, 177 ach and nu	acres mber logs	Seas	sona ig w	lity: ells; ma	March 1 to	Oct well	ober 31 s as such u	ınder log	gid):		
Well	Log	wen# Aduner			uifer*	Propos Rate(ci	fs)	(T	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)			Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36			
1 2	HARN:	51408	1	Ba	sin fill	2.212	5	245/3	3E-12 NE-N	W	2570' N, 75' W fr Ctr S 12				
3							\Box								
5															
-	um, CRB,	Bedroc	k								<u> </u>				
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Wate ft bls	r SWL	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)		Casing itervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)		rforations Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type	
1	4124	30	20	10/17/07	400	0-20	0-1	199	None	Noi	1 e	400	90	P	
							 		,		,				
							\vdash								
Use data	from app	lication	for proposed	d wells.											
A4. <u>bottom</u>	Commo	ents: <u>W</u> ell, wh	ell cases b ich may lir	ut does not nit the pote	seal off th	e shallowe ference wi	er wa ith o	ater-bea ther nea	tring zones. Arby irrigati	The	targeted and domest	quifer is ic wells.	near the	1	
		- 07							279 32-22			18			
·							_								
		11													
A5. 🛚	manage (Not all	ment of basin r	ules contai	ater hydrauli n such provi	cally conn sions.)	ected to sur	rface	water	ıles relative t □ are, or 🔀] are	not, activa	ited by th	is applica	ition.	
A6. 🗌	Name o	f admir	nistrative ar	rea:					p(s) an aquif		nited by an	administ	rative res	triction.	

Applic	ation	G- <u>16987</u>	continued	Date: May 14, 2008
В. <u>GF</u>	ROUN	ND WATER AV	AILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS	S, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070
B1.	Bas	sed upon availabl	le data, I have determined that ground water	r* for the proposed use:
	a.	period of the		cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any the ground water portion of the over-appropriation
	b.			requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding y determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	c.	will not or	will likely to be available within the cap	pacity of the ground water resource; or
	d.	i. 🛭 The	e permit should contain condition #(s)7N e permit should be conditioned as indicated	in item 2 below.
		iii. 🗌 The	e permit should contain special condition(s)	as indicated in item 3 below;
B2.	a.	Condition 1	to allow ground water production from no de	deeper than ft. below land surface;
	b.	Condition	to allow ground water production from no sl	shallower than ft. below land surface;
	c.	Condition to water reserv	o allow ground water production only from oir between approximately ft. a	the ground and ft. below land surface;
	d.	occur with the issuance of the Water Section Describe injures.	his use and without reconstructing are cited the permit until evidence of well reconstruct on. ry -as related to water availability- that is I	more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding tion is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/e, etc):
		-		
В3.	exp bee Inst add are con	ressed some cond n monitoring wa ufficient data hav litional appropri valid permits in	cern about well interference and ground- ter levels at one well and several other we ve yet been collected to conclude that the ations would cause substantial interferen- that area that have not yet been develope water users will file a protest against this a	miles north of an area where local well owners have -water availability. Currently, a water-level recorder has vells have been selected for quarterly monitoring. ground-water resource is over appropriated or that nee with existing users of ground water. However, there ed. It could be expected that one or more of the and any other proposed new uses of ground water in this
	-			
	-			
	7			
		1		
	_			
	-			***

Application G- <u>16987</u>	continued
Application G-10307	continued

Date: May 14, 2008

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Basin-fill sediments: sand, clay and sandstone		
	Qal and possibly Tvs of Leonard, 1970		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>Regionally, the basin-fill aquifer is unconfined and discharges to Malheur Lake, but there may be local confining layers such as the clay described at a depth of 103-195 feet here.</u>

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Crowcamp Creek, trib to Hot	4104	4119	3800		
		Springs Slough					
				<u> </u>			
		_					

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Malheur Slough is dry in most years and therefore is not considered</u> for Division 9 reviews, per memo by Ivan Gall, January 15, 2008.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: HOT SPRINGS SL > MALHEUR SL - AT MOUTH (31200202).

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	sw #	Well < ¼ mile?			Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Natural @ 30 days			
						_			

Date: May 14, 2008

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

1			III COU UOOV						
SW #	141	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments:	This section does not apply.	
7770.00		

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	1/0	1/0	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	nce CFS												
		,									\		
	uted Well												
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	9/0	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	⁰⁄₀	%
Well Q	as CFS									Ï			
Interfere	nce CFS												
		%	%	9/0	%	%	%	%	%	%	⁰/₀	0/0	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	nce CFS												
		%	%	9/0	%	%	%	- %	%	1/0	%	1/0	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	nce CFS		1										
		%	9/0	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/0
Well Q	as CFS									i			
Interfere	nce CFS												
		%	9/0	9/0	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	11/0	%
Well Q	as CFS						i						
	nce CFS						i						
(A) = To	tal Interf.					7.9							
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
$(C) = 1^{-6}$	% Nat. Q												<u>-</u>
(D) = (A) > (C)	V	√	1	1	V-	✓	V	√	V	V	√	V
		0/0	%	%	9/0	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed, as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed, as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

lication G-16987 continued	Date: May 14, 2008
Basis for impact evaluation:	
	202 11 3 11 1 1
690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detriment Rights Section.	ally affect the public interest is to be determined by the W
If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantia i. The permit should contain condition #(s)	adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water illy interfere with surface water:
ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) a	as indicated in "Remarks" below:
	,
W. CWB I IC W	
SW / GW Remarks and Conditions	
54-44 SIRAR	
	777
	. ***
- F199	
References Used: <u>Local well logs; local recent reviews; GW</u> Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Or	W Report 16, by Leonard, 1970; Greene, Walker, and
580; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008, Stream Assessment for	Division 0 Daview in the Malhaur I also Pasin
100 , 171 CHIO DY IVAN GAIL, 1/13, 2006, SIFEAM ASSESSMENT FOF	Division 5 Review in the Manneur Lakes Dasin.
20,-20,000	
	N 10

Applicat	tion G- <u>16987</u>	contin	ued	Date: May 14, 2008
D. WE	LL CONST	RUCTION, OAL	R 690-200	
D1.	Well #:	1	Logid: _	HARN 51408
D2.	a.	iew of the well log; d inspection by ort of CWRE		uction standards based upon:
D3.	a.	mits the loss of artes mits the de-watering	at under Division a more than one gro ian head; of one or more gr	200 rules; round water reservoir; round water reservoirs;
D4.	THE WELI	L construction defi	ciency is described	ed as follows:
D5.	THE WELI	orig b. 🔲 I do	inal construction o	constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of or most recent modification.
	is filed with	the Department and	approved by the E	nd withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.
				ORCEMENT PERSONNEL
D7. □	Well constru	action deficiency has	s been corrected by	by the following actions:
D8. 🗆		forcement Section S		

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEM	10							14	4 17		200 <u>¥</u>
то:		Applic	ation G	-169	87						
FROM	M:	GW:	Mike	Zv	vart						
SUBJ	ECT:		(Rev Watery			ice Eva	luation				
	_YES	The sou	arce of a	ıppropr	iation is	s within	or abov	e a Sce	nic Wat	erway	
	_YES	Use the	Scenic	Water	way con	dition (Conditio	on 7J)			
	Per Ol interfe	RS 390.8 rence winted interest 390.8 rence with the proportion of	th surfar ference 35, the th surfar nt is un	Ground Ground Ge wate able to	er that coributed by the second of the secon	ontribut below. Section ontribut at there bly red	is unab es to a s e is a pr uce the	Scenic V ole to ca cenic w eponde surface	Waterwa dculate vaterway erance o	ground	water fore,
Calcula calcula	ite the pei ted, per c	ON OF I rcentage of riteria in 3 Rights tha	f consum _i 390.835, a	ptive use do not fil	by monti	ible but c	heck the	'unable''	option at	bove, thus	5
Water	way by	is permit the followater flo	wing an	nounts					e consu		Scenic use by
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

