Approved: MEMO

To:	Kristopher Byrd, Well Construction Manager
From:	Tommy Laird, Well Construction Program Coordinator
Subject:	Review of Water Right Application G-19174
Date:	February 23, 2024

The attached application was forwarded to the Well Construction Section by the Groundwater Section. Travis Brown reviewed the application. Please see Travis' Groundwater Review and the Well Report.

Applicant's Well #1 (LANE 77893): Based on a review of the Well Report, Applicant's Well #1 seems to protect the groundwater resource.

The construction of Applicant's Well #1 may not satisfy hydraulic connection issues.

				D I ADEI	<i>ц</i> т		Page 1 of 1
STATE OF OREGON	LANE 77	7893	WELLI	D. LABEL	# <b>L</b> 1414	-17	
WATER SUPPLY WELL REPORT	2/24/202	11	STA	ART CARD	# 1050	871	
(as required by OKS 537.765 & OAR 690-205-0210)	2/24/202	21	ORIG	INAL LOG	#		
(I) LAND OWNER Owner Well I.D. WELL # 2							
Company Last Name SIMS	(9)	) LOCATI	ION OF W	ELL (lega	al descri	iption)	
Address 3/73 DIVEDDI ACE	Cou	inty LANE	Twp_	17.00 S	_N/S R	Range 2.00	W E/W WM
City EUGENE State OR Zin 97401	— Sec	<u>19</u> N	<u>W</u> 1/4 c	of the SE	1/4	Tax Lot 30	00 WELL #2
(2) TYPE OF WORK New Well Deepening Conve	ersion Tax	Map Numbe	er			Lot	
Alteration (complete 2a & 10) Abandonment(com	mplete 5a) Lat			" or			DMS or DD
(2a) PRE-ALTERATION	Lon	<sup>1</sup> g°		or			DMS or DD
Dia + From To Gauge Stl Plstc Wld Thrd		Stre	eet address of	well ()	Nearest a	ddress	1
Material Franz Ta Ante avalue/lba	30.	20 HAYDEN	BRIDGE RI	) SPRINGFIE	LD		
Seal:							
(3) DRILL METHOD	(10	) STATIC	C WATER	LEVEL			
Rotary Air Rotary Mud Cable Auger Cable Mud				E	Date SV	WL(psi) +	SWL(ft)
Reverse Rotary Other		Existing We	ell / Pre-Altera	ation		<u> </u> _	
		Completed	Flowin	2/23/20	)21		8
(4) <b>PROPOSED USE</b> Domestic X Irrigation Community			FIOWIII				
Industrial/Commercial Livestock Dewatering	WA	TER BEARI	NG ZONES	Depth	n water wa	is first found	
Thermal Injection Other	S	WL Date	From	То	Est Flow	SWL(psi)	+ $SWL(ft)$
(5) BORE HOLE CONSTRUCTION Special Standard (A	Attach copy)	2/23/2021	8	15	20		8
Depth of Completed Well <u>99.00</u> ft.	2	2/23/2021	29	97.5	35		8
BORE HOLE SEAL	sacks/						
Dia From To Material From To Ai	mt lbs						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\frac{3}{28}$						
Cement 5 20 1	12 S		0.0				
Calculated 4	.03	) WELL I	JOG	Ground Eleva	ation		
How was seal placed: Method A B C D	E		Material			From	То
Conter POURED AND TAMPED	Loa	am				0	8
Backfill placed from ft. to ft. Material	Sar	nd and Gravel				8	15
Filter pack from ft. to ft. MaterialSize		ie Clay and Gravel	1			23	41
Explosives used: Yes Type Amount		own Clay	L			41	62
(5a) ABANDONMENT USING UNHYDRATED BENTONIT	<b>FE</b> Sar	nd				62	71
Proposed Amount Actual Amount	Blu	ie Clay				71	83
(6) CASING/LINER	Sar	nd and Gravel	l			83	99
Casing Liner Dia + From To Gauge Stl Plstc V	Wld Thrd						
● <u>6</u> <u>×</u> 2.5 97.5 .250 <u>●</u> [	$\boxtimes$ $\Box$ $\vdash$						
	∟ ∟ ⊩						
	$\vdash$ $\vdash$ $\mid$						
Shoe Inside Outside Other Location of shoe(s) or	┘╵╟╴						
Temp casing Was Discussion France Fra	.5						
$\frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{20} = \frac{1}{20}$							
(7) PERFURATIONS/SCREENS Perforations Method							
Screens Type Material	—   <sub>Da</sub>	te Started?	/23/2021	C	mnleter	1 2/23/2021	
Perf/ Casing/ Screen Scrn/slot Slot # of	Tele/			0		4 <u>2/23/2021</u>	
Screen Liner Dia From To width length slots	pipe size (ur	າbonded) Wa	ater Well Co	nstructor Ce	rtification	l 	· ••
		ertify that the	e work I peri	formed on the	e construc	tion, deepen	ater supply well
		istruction star	ndards. Mate	rials used and	d informat	tion reported	above are true to
	the	best of my k	nowledge and	l belief.		1	
	Lic	ense Number	r 1839		Date 2	2/24/2021	
(8) WELL TESTS: Minimum testing time is 1 hour							
$\bigcirc$ Pump $\bigcirc$ Bailer $\bigcirc$ Air $\bigcirc$ Flowing Ar	rtesian	gned MICH	HAEL HOLL	EY (E-filed)			
Yield gal/min Drawdown Drill stem/Pump depth Duration (h	r) (bo	nded) Water	Well Const	ructor Certif	ication		
35 97 1	Iao	ccept respons	sibility for the	e construction	n, deepeni	ng, alteratior	n, or abandonment
	wo	rk performed	on this well c	luring the con	struction of	dates reported	d above. All work
	per	formed durir	ng this time	is in compli	iance with	n Oregon w	ater supply well
Temperature <u>57</u> °F Lab analysis Yes By	con	struction star	ndards. This i	eport 1s true t	o the best	ot my knowl	eage and belief.
Water quality concerns? Yes (describe below) TDS amount 195	ppm Lic	ense Number	1541		Date <u>2/2</u>	4/2021	
From 10 Description Amount	Sig	med CASE	V IONES ID	(E filed)			
		ntact Info (on	tional) Cases	Jones Well I	Drilling 54	1-747-2806	
		and into (op	casey		- 111111g J4	2000	

ORIGINAL - WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT THIS REPORT MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS OF COMPLETION OF WORK Form Version:

# **Groundwater Application Review Summary Form**

Application # G- <u>19174 re-review</u>

GW Reviewer <u>Travis Brown</u> Date Review Completed: <u>6/30/2023</u>

#### Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

#### Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

□ There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

#### Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

☐ The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

# WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

# MEMO

# 6/30/2023

**TO:** Application G- 19174 re-review

FROM: GW: <u>Travis Brown</u> (Reviewer's Name)

## **SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation**

- □ YES The source of appropriation is hydraulically connected to a State Scenic Waterway or its tributaries
- □ YES
  □ Use the Scenic Waterway Condition (Condition 7J)
  □ NO
- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below
- □ Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway

# DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in <u>[Enter]</u> Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

# PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wateı	Rights Sec	ction					Date	6/30/2	2023		
FROM:		Grou	ndwater Sec	ction		Travis	Brown	ı	-				
						Review	ver's Nam	ne					
SUBJE	CT:	Appli	cation G-	19174 re-	review_S	Supersede	s reviev	w of _					
										D	ate of Revi	ew(s)	
PUBLI OAR 69 welfare, to detern the press	C INTE 00-310-13 safety and nine whet umption c	<b>REST</b> 0 (1) 7 d healt her the riteria.	TPRESUM The Departm th as describ presumption This review	IPTION; ( ent shall pre- ed in ORS 5 on is establis v is based u	GROUND esume that 37.525. De hed. OAR pon availa	WATER a proposed epartment s 690-310-14 ble inform	<i>ground</i> taff rev 40 allov nation a	dwater iew gro vs the j and ag	<i>use will en</i> oundwater a proposed us ency polici	sure the preser applications under be modified of es in place at t	<i>vation of</i> der OAR or conditi <b>he time (</b>	<i>the publi</i> 690-310 oned to r of evalua	<i>c</i> -140 neet <b>tion</b> .
A. <u>GEN</u>	NERAL	INFO	RMATIO	<u>N</u> : Apj	plicant's Na	ame: J	onatha	n Sims	8	Co	ounty: <u>I</u>	lane	
A1.	Applican	t(s) se	ek(s) <u>0.628</u>	<u>s</u> cfs from	1	well(s)	) in the	W	Villamette				Basin,
	Μ	lcKenz	zie			subbas	sin						
A2.	Proposed Well and	l use	Irriga er data ( <b>atta</b>	ation (50.32	ac) ber logs fo	Seaso	nality: wells: 1	<u>Marc</u>	<u>ch – Octobe</u> proposed w	r (244 d) zells as such ur	nder logi	d):	
	ti on and	uquit	Applicant'	s		Propo	sed	L	ocation	Location, r	netes and	bounds, e.	σ.
Well	Logic	1	Well #	Propose	ed Aquifer*	Rate(c	efs)	(T/R	L-S QQ-Q)	2250' N, 12	200' E fr N	W cor S 3	6 6
1	LANE007	7893	1	Al	luvium	0.62	0.628 17.00S-2.00W-19- SW NE			1280 FEET SOUTH AND 480 FEET EAST FROM NW CORNER OF SWSE OF SECTION 18			
* Alluviu	ım, CRB, E	Bedrock											
XX7 11	Well	Firs	t SWL	SWL	Well	Seal	Casi	ng	Liner	Perforations	Well	Draw	Test
well	Elev ft msl	ft bl	ft bls	Date	(ft)	Interval (ft)	Interv (ft)	ais	Intervals (ft)	Or Screens (ft)	Y teld	Down (ft)	Type
1	460	8	8	2/23/21	99	0-20	+2-9	, )7	-	-	35	(11)	А
Use data	from appli	cation f	for proposed v	wells.									
A4.	Comme	nts:											
A5. 🗌	Provisio	<b>ns of t</b>	<b>he</b> <u>Willame</u>	tte (OAR 69	90-502)	ted to surf	Basin	n rules	relative to the second	the developmer	nt, classif	ication an	nd/or
	(Not all b	basin r	ules contain	such provisi	ions.)				ure, or <u></u>	<b></b> • <b></b>	eu og un	uppneu	
	Commen	ts:											
A6. 🗌	Well(s) #	¢		,	,	,	,	tap(s)	) an aquifer	limited by an a	dministra	tive restr	iction.
	Name of	admin	istrative are	a:				- · /	*	-			
	Commen	ts:											

4

#### B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that <u>groundwater</u>\* for the proposed use:
  - a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, *or* cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. \* This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. \* This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - c.  $\Box$  will not or  $\Box$  will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
  - d. 🛛 will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
    - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C (7-yr SWL); Large Water-Use Reporting
    - ii.  $\Box$  The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
    - iii.  $\Box$  The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;
  - b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;
  - c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the \_\_\_\_\_\_ groundwater reservoir between approximately\_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. and \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;
  - d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

**Describe injury** –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** <u>Groundwater levels are stable and the alluvial aquifer has an efficient hydraulic</u> <u>connection to the McKenzie River. Available evidence indicates the groundwater resource is not over-appropriated.</u>

### C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

#### C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvium		$\boxtimes$

**Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:** <u>most wells in the area of similar depth report similar SWL depths implying a single, unconfined aquifer</u>

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	H YES	Iydrau Conne NO	ulically ected? ASSUMED	Potentia Subst. In Assum YES	al for terfer. aed? <b>NO</b>
1	1	McKenzie R	450	440-450	1350	$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: similar GW and SW elevations; unconfined nature of the aquifer

#### Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>MCKENZIE R > WILLAMETTE R - AB MOUTH (ID# 528)</u>

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water (SW) source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that SW source, not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖂 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1			MF528	1025		1730		< 25	

**Comments:** <u>Stream-depletion was estimated using the Hunt-1999 stream-depletion model with parameter values set to a range of expected values given the geology</u>

C3b. **690-09-040** (**4**): Evaluation of stream impacts <u>by total appropriation</u> for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: \_\_\_\_

5

6

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
Dictrik	wtod Woll	c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{e}$	otal Interf.												
( <b>B</b> ) = 80	) % Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
			-	-	-		-	-			-	-	
( <b>D</b> ) =	(A) > (C)	$\checkmark$	$\sim$	$\sim$	$\sim$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\sim$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

#### Basis for impact evaluation:

# C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

i.  $\Box$  The permit should contain condition #(s)\_\_\_\_\_

ii.  $\Box$  The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions: The proposed POA would be producing from an aquifer that has been found to be hydraulically-connected to surface water – specifically the McKenzie River – at a distance of less than 1 mile. The proposed rate of 0.628 cfs is less than 1% of both the instream right and the 80%-exceedance natural flows and the estimated stream-depletion is less than 25% @ 30 d so the proposed use is not assumed to have the potential for substantial interference.

7

#### **REFERENCES USED:**

Gannett, M. W. and R. R. Caldwell. 1998. Geologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington. USGS Professional Paper 1424-A.

Herrera, N. B., Burns, E. R., and T. D. Conlon. 2014. Simulation of Groundwater Flow and the Interaction of Groundwater and Surface Water in the Willamette Basin and Central Willamette Subbasin, Oregon. USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2014-5136.

Hunt, B. 1999. Unsteady Stream Depletion from Ground Water Pumping. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, Vol 8(1), pp 12-19

McClaughry, J. D., T. J. Wiley, M. L. Ferns, and I. P Madin. 2010. Digital Geologic Map of the Southern Willamette Valley, Benton, Lane, Linn, Marion, and Polk Counties, Oregon. Oregon Dept. of Geology and Mineral Industries. Open File Report O-10-13.

O'Conner, J. E., A. Sarna-Wojcicki, K. C. Wozniak, D. J. Polette, and R. J. Fleck. Origin, Extent, and Thickness of Quaternary Geologic Units in the Willamette Valley, Oregon. USGS Professional Paper 1620

Logid: \_\_\_\_\_

Woodward, D. G., M. W. Gannett, and J. J. Vaccaro. 1998. Hydrogeologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington. USGS Professional Paper 1424-B.

OWRD Well Log Database, Accessed 12/06/2021 [https://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/gw/well\_log/Default.aspx]

<u>OWRD Groundwater Information System Database, Accessed 12/06/2021</u> [https://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/gw/gw\_info/gw\_info\_report/gw\_search.aspx]

#### D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D2. THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:

- a.  $\Box$  review of the well log;

Well #:

- d.  $\Box$  other: (specify)

D1.

D3. THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:

D4. L Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

## Water Availability Tables

Watershed ID #: Time: 4:32 PM	528	Consumptive	ON THE WATER AVAILA IE R > WILLAMETTE R Basin: WILLAMET	- AB MOUTH TTE	N Excee I	edance Level: 80 Date: 12/06/2021
HOITCH	Stream	Use and	Stream	Stream	Requirements	Water
	Flow	Storage	Flow	Flow		Available
		Storage is t	Monthly values a the annual amount at	are in cfs. t 50% exceedance i	n ac-ft.	
JAN	5,040.00	553.00	4,490.00	0.00	1,025.00	3,460.00
FEB	5,850.00	1,250.00	4,600.00	0.00	1,025.00	3,580.00
MAR	5,630.00	1,250.00	4,380.00	0.00	1,025.00	3,350.00
APR	5,020.00	1,310.00	3,710.00	0.00	1,025.00	2,690.00
MAY	4,000.00	809.00	3,190.00	0.00	1,025.00	2,170.00
JUN	2,990.00	408.00	2,580.00	0.00	1,025.00	1,560.00
JUL	2,160.00	389.00	1,770.00	0.00	1,025.00	746.00
AUG	1,790.00	378.00	1,410.00	0.00	1,025.00	387.00
SEP	1,730.00	359.00	1,370.00	0.00	1,025.00	346.00
OCT	1,830.00	328.00	1,500.00	0.00	1,025.00	477.00
NOV	2,850.00	327.00	2,520.00	0.00	1,025.00	1,500.00
DEC	4,450.00	327.00	4,120.00	0.00	1,025.00	3,100.00
ANN 3	,560,000	461,000	3,090,000	0	743,000	2,350,000

#### Water-Level Measurements in Nearby Wells



9

# Well Location Map

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Well Log Statistics from Area Wells

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)