Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- 19258

GW Reviewer <u>Stacey Garrison/Travis Brown</u> Date Review Completed: <u>6/12/2023</u>

Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

□ There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

June 12 2023

TO: Application G-<u>19258</u>

FROM: GW: <u>Stacey Garrison/Travis Brown</u> (Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

- □ YES The source of appropriation is hydraulically connected to a State Scenic Waterway or its tributaries
- □ YES
 □ Use the Scenic Waterway Condition (Condition 7J)
 □ NO
- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below
- □ Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in <u>[Enter]</u> Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

3

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:	Water Rights Section	Date	6/12/2023
FROM:	Groundwater Section	Stacey Garrison/Travis Brown	
		Reviewer's Name	
SUBJECT:	Application G- <u>19258</u>	Supersedes review of	
	··· <u> </u>	*	Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.

A. <u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>: Applicant's Name: <u>John and Angela Kraemer</u> County: <u>Clackamas</u>

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.0978 cfs from 2 well(s) in the Willamette Basin,

Molalla-Pudding subbasin

A2. Proposed use irrigation Seasonality: March 1 through October 31

A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):

Well	Logid	Applicant's	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed	Location	Location, metes and bounds, e.g.
	8	Well #		Rate(cfs)	(T/R-S QQ-Q)	2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	Proposed	1	bedrock ^a	0.0978	6S/1E-15 NE-SE	690' N, 370' E fr NW cor DLC 54 ^b
2	Proposed	2	bedrock ^a	0.0978	6S/1E-15 NE-SE	1135' N, 315' E fr NW cor DLC 54 b

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	494 °				500 ^a	0-20 ^a	0-500 ^a					
2	467 °				500 ^a	0-20 ^a	0-500 ^a					

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** <u>The POAs/POUs are located 0.3 miles northeast of Scotts Mills, Oregon. Applicant proposes to irrigate at 0.0978 cfs (43.9 gpm) on up to 36 ac with a total annual volume limited to 90 af/year.</u>

^a Proposed well construction from applicant.

^b There appears to be a discrepancy in the Public Lands Survey System (PLSS) projection used in the application map and that used by Department. The "metes-and-bounds" location descriptions provided in the application for the POAs are: 84 ft and 70 ft east of the mapped locations; the metes-and-bounds locations are used for this review.

^c Well head elevation estimated based on LIDAR measurements at well locations (Watershed Sciences, 2009).

management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water \Box are, *or* \boxtimes are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Comments: <u>The proposed POAs are anticipated to develop confined aquifers; therefore, per OAR 690-502-0240, the relevant</u> Willamette Basin Rules (OAR 690-502-0120) do not apply.

A6. Well(s) # _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.

Name of administrative area: <u>NA</u> Comments: ____

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:

- a. A is over appropriated, and is not over appropriated, or annot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. 🛛 will not or 🗌 will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
- d. **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. X The permit should contain condition #(s) 7c (7-yrs measurements), medium water use reporting
 - ii. \square The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. \Box The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the <u>Scotts Mills Formation</u> groundwater reservoir between approximately______ft. and______ft. below land surface;
 - d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

Groundwater availability remarks: The proposed POAs/POUs are on the Scotts Mills Formation, a mid-Tertiary sequence B3. of marine sedimentary origin that overlies and interbeds with the Little Butte Volcanics (Miller and Orr 1986, Tolan and Beeson 1999). The Scotts Mills Formation is exposed at the surface here and overlain by thin layers of alluvial deposits near streams and rivers (Tolan and Beeson 1999). Mapping of the members of the Scotts Mills Formation indicates the proposed wells would most likely be located on the Marquam member of the Scotts Mills Formation, with the potential for alluvial deposits from Marquam Creek near the surface (Miller and Orr 1986). Based on the mapped geological series within the vicinity of the proposed POA locations and the proposed depth of the POAs, it is anticipated that the POAs would develop the Marquam basal member of the Scotts Mills Formation, a volcanic and fossiliferous bedrock unit. Department-located wells within 1 mile of the POAs and utilizing the Tertiary Marine Volcanic and Sedimentary (TMVS) aquifer system (CLAC 60382, CLAC 73226, MARI 55695, CLAC 15256, CLAC 15260, CLAC 15244, CLAC 15250, CLAC 15245, CLAC 15241, CLAC 73835) identify layers of shale, claystone, sandstone, siltstone, soapstone, and basalt. There are multiple water-bearing zones, WBZs, ranging from 30 to 520 ft bls (-45 to 568 ft msl), range in thickness from 1 to 140 ft, and are described in well logs as hard and/or fractured sandstones, claystones, siltstones, and shales. The SWLs range from 301 to 572 ft msl and are above the elevation of the top of the WBZs, indicating confined conditions. Primary porosity is typically compromised in these aquifers resulting in well yields of 5 gpm and less, although fractured zones can demonstrate higher yields (Freeze and Cherry 1979, Conlon et al 2005).

A review of statistics for nearby well records was completed and compared with the proposed rate of 0.0978 cfs (43.9 gpm) for this application (see Well Statistics). The proposed rate of use of 0.0978 cfs (43.9 gpm) is likely within the capacity of the groundwater resource; median reported well yield is 24 gpm, and the maximum reported yield is 450 gpm. The proposed rate for this application is 183% of the median and 10% of the maximum reported yield. Lithology and aquifer identification are not available for all wells in the Department's database, and the higher yields in the query may be utilizing Columbia River Basalt or alluvial sediments of the Willamette Aquifer and not be representative of the Scotts Mills Formation. For the 9 productive wells included in the geology analysis above the maximum yield is 100 gpm, the minimum is 5 gpm, and the median is 29 gpm.

Department SWL data is limited in this area (1 to 5 miles from POAs) to five wells that utilize the same portion of the TMVS aquifer targeted by the POAs; this limited data indicate water level trends are declining (see Water Level Measurements in

Nearby Wells). Four of the wells (CLAC 60456, MARI 9318, MARI 51915, MARI 58081) have declined approximately 10 ft (7 to 12 ft) in the last 10 years, and MARI 57858 has declined approximately 40 ft in 8 years. The deeper fractured WBZs of the TMVS aquifer are likely more susceptible to over-drafting due to the mineralization deposits in the fractures, reduced recharge, and the discontinuity of fracture flow paths (Conlon et al 2005, Piper 1942). The proposed use will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource. Data provided with the application and during this review indicate that water levels in the well nearest the proposed POAs and completed to a similar depth as the proposed POAs (CLAC 15256) have declined excessively (see CLAC 15256 well log, CLAC 15256 well test report, and CLAC 15256 email, attached). The SWL recorded on the well log for CLAC 15256 is the highest known water level, and recent SWL measurements taken in December 2020 and March 2023 show declines that exceed 50 ft, meeting the criteria of declined excessively in OAR 690-008-0001 (4) (d). The CLAC 15256 and the proposed POAs (CLAC 15245). There is a preponderance of evidence to support that the water levels in the groundwater reservoir are declined excessively; therefore, the groundwater reservoir is over-appropriated.

The nearest groundwater user to Well 1 is CLAC 15260 (an exempt domestic well) 697 ft northwest of the POA at an elevation of ~466 ft msl. CLAC 15260 is drilled to 119 ft bls [347 ft msl] with a WBZ from 62 to 63 ft bls [380 to 381 fl msl], and a seal depth of 22 ft bls [421 ft msl]. It is likely the proposed use would cause some degree of well-to-well interference with CLAC 10257. To assess the degree of drawdown, a Theis drawdown analysis was conducted for the proposed use (see attached Theis Drawdown Analysis-Well 1). Results indicate that the proposed use is not likely to cause well-to-well interference with CLAC 15260 that exceeds the threshold under the standard condition for TMVS aquifers in the Willamette Basin.

The nearest groundwater user to Well 2 is property at 9905 S Cemetery Rd Molalla OR and is likely MARI 55695 (an exempt domestic well), 476 ft northwest of the POA at an elevation of ~475 ft msl. The well log for MARI 55695 does not record GPS coordinates and the address listed for the well location is not a physical situs address; however, the taxlot number and the TRS Q-Q match this taxlot. A small structure resembling a well house visible via aerial imagery was identified as the most likely well location. MARI 55695 is drilled to 520 ft bls [-45 ft msl] with a WBZ from 318 to 520 ft bls [-45 to 157 ft msl], and a seal depth of 10 ft bls [465 ft msl]. It is likely the proposed use would cause some degree of well-to-well interference with MARI 55695. To assess the degree of drawdown, a Theis drawdown analysis was conducted for the proposed use (see attached Theis Drawdown Analysis-Well 2). Results indicate that the proposed use is not likely to cause well-to-well interference with CLAC 57932 that exceeds the threshold under the standard condition for TMVS aquifers in the Willamette Basin.

Based on this analysis of the available data and under the assumptions previously identified, groundwater for the proposed use is likely over-appropriated and the proposed use will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; if a permit is issued for this application, the conditions in B1(d)(i) and B2(c) are recommended to protect senior users and the groundwater resource.

NOTE: This evaluation considers a conservative scenario for the nearest authorized POA not owned by the applicant. Other authorized POAs in the area may also experience an increase in interference as a result of this application, although to a lesser extent than the scenario evaluated here.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Bedrock	\boxtimes	
2	Bedrock	\boxtimes	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>The proposed POAs are anticipated to utilize equivalent WBZs and have similar</u> <u>construction to CLAC 15256. The SWL is above the bottom of the overlying confining layer in this and surrounding wells,</u> <u>indicating confined aquifer conditions. The proposed wells will be drilled to a maximum depth of 500 ft bls and continuously</u> <u>sealed from the surface to 20 ft bls.</u>

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl ^a	SW Elev ft msl ^b	Distance (ft)	H YES	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED			al for terfer. ed? NO
1	1	Butte Creek	248-572	361-537	1,341 ^d	\boxtimes				\boxtimes
2	1	Butte Creek	248-572	361-537	1,615	\boxtimes				Ø
1	2	Marquam Creek	248-572	344-524	1,915	\boxtimes				Ø
2	2	Marquam Creek	248-572	344-524	1,535	X				\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Proposed POAs are anticipated to be continuously sealed to 20 ft bls [447to 474 ft msl]. SWLs in surrounding wells utilizing the TMVS aquifer vary from 248 to 572 ft msl (CLAC 73835, CLAC 15241, CLAC 15245, CLAC 15250, CLAC 15256, CLAC 15260, CLAC 73226, CLAC 60382, and MARI 55695). Gannett and Caldwell (1998) report water table elevations ranging from 340 to 400 ft msl in this area. The local streambed of SW 1 (Butte Creek) is 361 to 537 ft msl and of SW 2 (Marquam Creek) is 344 to 524 ft msl, indicating the local groundwater is likely discharging to surface water, consistent with Woodward et al (1998) findings that groundwater discharges to surface water. The surface water drainages have incised below the elevation of the shallower WBZs of the wells within a mile, which range from -45 to 568 ft msl^c. The potential WBZ for the POAs is -33 to 474 ft msl with the seal extending to 20 ft bls. Hydraulic connection to nearby streams is likely but anticipated to be inefficient due to the low vertical permeability of the overlying fine-grained sediments and intermittent fracturing that facilitates hydraulic connectivity.

^a Groundwater elevation calculated from static water level reported in well logs and/or latest static water level reported for CLAC 73835, CLAC 15241, CLAC 15245, CLAC 15250, CLAC 15256, CLAC 15260, CLAC 73226, CLAC 60382, and MARI 55695 and well head elevations estimated based on LIDAR measurements at existing well locations (Watershed Sciences, 2009).

^b Surface water elevations were estimated from land surface elevations along stream reaches (Watershed Sciences, 2009; USGS, 2013).

^c Water-bearing zone elevations calculated from water-bearing layers reported in well logs CLAC 73835, CLAC 15241, CLAC 15245, CLAC 15250, CLAC 15260, CLAC 73226, CLAC 60382, and MARI 55695

^d In accordance with OAR 690-009-0040(4)(a), PSI is assumed for POAs within 0.25 miles (1,320 ft) of surface water and in hydraulic connection with surface water; given variations in projections and coordinate systems in geographic information systems, the final well location should be verified to be a distance greater than 0.25 miles (1,320 ft) from surface water.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>SW 1 (Butte Creek): BUTTE CR>PUDDING R-AT MOUTH</u> SW 2 (Marquama Creek): PUDDING R>MOLALLA R-AB MILL CR

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water (SW) source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that SW source, not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖂 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1			IS69799	75		9.78		<25%	
				Α						
2	1			IS69799	75		9.78		<25%	
				Α						
1	2			IS73532	36		67.3		<25%	
				В						
2	2			IS73532	36		67.3		<25%	
				В						

Comments: Potential depletion (interference with) SW 1 (Butte Creek) by proposed pumping at proposed POA 2 was estimated using Hunt 2003 analytical model. Hydraulic parameters used for the model were derived from regional data or studies of the hydrogeologic regime (OWRD Well Log Query Report; Conlon et al., 2003, 2005; Iverson 2002) or are within a typical range of values for the parameter within the hydrogeologic regime (Freeze and Cherry, 1979; Morris and Johnson 1967; Heath 1983). See attached "Stream Depletion Analysis – SW 1" for the specific parameters used in the analysis. The Hunt 2003 analytical model results indicate that depletion of (interference with) SW 1 due to pumping of the proposed POA is anticipated to be much less than 25 percent of the well discharge at 30 days of continuous pumping.

Because only the distance is expected to vary between the POA and surface water sources, only the POA-SW pair with the shortest distance (in this case, POA 1 and SW 1) was analyzed quantitatively for interference (stream depletion). All other POA-SW pairs would presumably result in less interference due to their greater separation relative to POA 1 and SW 1. Therefore, the interference of both proposed POA with all surface water sources within 1 mile are anticipated to result in much less than 25 percent of the well discharge at 30 days of continuous pumping.

C3b. **690-09-040** (**4**): Evaluation of stream impacts <u>by total appropriation</u> for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: <u>NA-Q is not distributed among wells.</u>

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a

percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040(5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
D' 4 'I	4 1 3 3 7 11	1											
Distrib	outed Well	IS T	F 1	м		м	т	T 1		G	0	NT	D
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		•	÷	•	÷	•					÷		
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
		•	•	•	•	•					÷		
(D) =	$(\mathbf{A}) > (\mathbf{C})$	\checkmark	\sim	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark							
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.
 Basis for impact evaluation: NA-streams within 1 mile evaluated above.

C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

- i. \Box The permit should contain condition #(s)_
- ii. \Box The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:

References Used: Application File: G-19258

Pumping Test Files: MARI 18044, MARI 57858, MARI 58081

- Well Reports: MARI 18044, MARI 57858, MARI 58081, CLAC 73835, CLAC 15241, CLAC 15245, CLAC 15250, CLAC 15244, CLAC 15256, CLAC 15260, MARI 55695, CLAC 73226, CLAC 60382
- Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, *Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon*, Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168: U. S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA.

Freeze, R.A. and J.A. Cherry, 1979. Groundwater, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 604p

Gannett, M.W. and Caldwell, R., 1998, *Geologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington*, Professional Paper 1424-A, 32 p: U. S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA.

Heath, R.C., 1983. Basic ground-water hydrology, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2220, 86p.

- Hunt, B., 2003, Unsteady stream depletion when pumping from semiconfined aquifer: Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, January/February, 2003.
- Iverson, J., 2002, Investigation of the hydraulic, physical, and chemical buffering capacity of Missoula flood deposits for water quality and supply in the Willamette Valley of Oregon: Unpublished M.S. thesis, Oregon State University, 147 p.
- Miller, P.R. and Orr, W.N. 1986. The Scotts Mill Formation: Mid-Tertiary geologic history and paleogeography of the central Western Cascade Range, Oregon. Oregon Geology, DOGAMI 48(12): 139-151.
- Morris, D.A. and A.I. Johnson, 1967. Summary of hydrologic and physical properties of rock and soil materials as analyzed by the Hydrologic Laboratory of the U.S. Geological Survey, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1839-D, 42p
- Piper, A.M. 1942. Ground-water resources of the Willamette Valley, Oregon. USGS Water Supply Paper 890
- Theis, C.V., 1935, The relation between the lowering of the piezometric surface and the rate and duration of discharge of a well using ground-water storage: American Geophysical Union transactions, v. 16, p. 519-524.
- Tolan, T.L. and Beeson, M.H. 1999. Geologic Map of the Scotts Mills, Silverton, and Stayton Northeast 7.5 Minute Quadrangles.NorthwestOregon:ADigitalDatabase:U.S.GeologicalSurveyOpen-FileReport99-141,11pp.,https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/1999/0141/

United States Geological Survey, 2013, National Elevation Dataset (NED) [DEM geospatial data]. 1/9th arc-second, updated 2013.

- Watershed Sciences, 2009, LIDAR remote sensing data collection, Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, Willamette Valley Phase I, Oregon: Portland, OR, December 21.
- Woodward, D.G., Gannett, M.W., and Vaccaro, J.J., 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B, 82 p.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:		Logid	l:			
D2.	THE WEI	L does not appea	r to meet current	t well construction st	andards based upo)n:	
	a. 🗆 re	view of the well log	2:		•		
	b. 🗌 fie	eld inspection by					:
		nort of CWRF					,
	$d \square ot$	bort of CWRL					,
	u. 🗆 oi	ner. (specify)					
D3.	THE WEI	L construction de	ficiency or other	comment is describ	ed as follows:		
F							
D4. L	Route to	the Well Construc	tion and Complia	ance Section for a re	view of existing we	ll construction.	
Water	Availability	Tables					
SW 1-1	Dregon Water Resourc	es Department					🚓 Main 🕑 Help
	vater Availability Ana	ysis					🔇 Return 🕓 Contact Us
			V	Nater Availability A Detailed Reports	nalysis		
				BUTTE CR > PUDDING R - AT M WILLAMETTE BASIN	ОИТН		
Watershed Date: 4/5/2	d ID #: 69799 <u>(Map)</u> 2023			Water Availability as of 4/5/20	23		Exceedance Level: 80% - Time: 2:00 PM
	Water Availability	Calculation	Consumptive Uses and	Storages	Instream Flow Requirements	Reser	rvations
		Water Rights				Watershed Characteristics	
				Water Availability Calcu	llation		
				Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet pe Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in	Acre-Feet		
Month	h Natural	Stream Flow 169.00	Consumptive Uses and Storages 3.81	Expected Stream Flow 165.00	Reserved Stream Flow 0.00	Instream Flow Requirement 75.00	Net Water Available 90.20
FEE MAR	3	181.00 172.00	3.64	177.00 169.00	0.00	75.00 75.00	
400		1.10.00	6.15				102.00 94.30
APH	2	142.00	2.73	140.00	0.00	75.00	102.00 94.30 64.70
MAY	2 / /	142.00 89.20 39.00	2.73 2.27 5.59 10.30	140.00 83.60 28.70	0.00 0.00 0.00	75.00 75.00 75.00	102.00 94.30 64.70 8.61 -46.30
APH MAY JUN JUL	R / N L	142.00 89.20 39.00 15.10 9.90	2.13 2.27 5.59 10.30 17.00 13.60	140.00 83.60 28.70 -1.87 -3.70	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	75.00 75.00 75.00 25.00 12.00	102.00 94.30 64.70 8.61 -46.30 -26.90 -14.70
APF MAY JUN JUL AUG	R M L G	142.00 89.20 39.00 15.10 9.90 9.78	2.27 5.59 10.30 17.00 13.60 6.97	140.00 83.60 28.70 -1.87 -3.70 2.81	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	75.00 75.00 75.00 25.00 12.00 20.00	102.00 94.30 64.70 8.61 -46.30 -26.90 -15.70 -17.20
APH MAY JUN JUL AUG SEF OCT	2 7 9 9 7 7 7	142.00 8920 39.00 15.10 9.90 9.78 15.10 66.00	2.27 5.59 10.30 17.00 13.60 6.97 1.00 1.86	140.00 83.60 28.70 -1.87 -3.70 2.81 14.10 64.10	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	75.00 75.00 75.00 25.00 12.00 20.00 75.00 75.00	102.00 94.30 64.70 8.61 -4.630 -26.99 -15.70 -17.20 -60.99 -10.99 -10.99
APH MAY JUN JUL AUG SEF OCT NOV DEC	2 7 1 2 7 7 7 7	142.00 8920 39.00 9.90 9.78 15.10 66.00 170.00 121.00.00	2.27 5.59 10.30 17.00 6.97 1.00 1.86 3.96 3.96	140.00 83.60 28.70 -1.87 -3.70 2.81 14.10 64.10 166.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	75.00 75.00 75.00 25.00 12.00 20.00 75.00 75.00 75.00 75.00	102.00 94.30 64.70 8.61 -4.630 -15.70 -17.20 -0.99 -10.99 91.00

	Oregon Water Resources Department Water Availability Analysis												🖷 Main 🔇 Return	😯 Help n 🕓 Contact Us
				Wa	ter Ava	ailabilit ailed Rep	t y Analy ports	/sis						
					BUTTE CR WI	> PUDDING F LLAMETTE B	R - AT MOUTH ASIN							
Watershi Date: 4/5	ed ID #: 69799 (<u>Map)</u> 5/2023				Water A	vailability as o	of 4/5/2023						Exce	edance Level: 80% ~ Time: 2:01 PM
	Water Availability Calculation	Water Rights	Consumptive U	ses and Stora	ages			Instream Flow Re	quirements	Watershed	I Characteristics	R	eservations	
			De		Report of		Flow Red		s					
	Application # IS69799A Maximum	Status CERTIFICATE	Jan 75.00 75.00	Feb 75.00	Mar 75.00	Aj 75.0	pr M. 00 75.1	ay J 00 75	un .00 2:	Jul 5.00	Aug 12.00	Sep 20.00	Oct 75.00	Nov Dec 75.00 75.00 75.00 75.00
SW 2	-Marquam Creek		75.00	75.00	13.00	134	<i>oo 13.</i>	00 73	.00 2.	5.00	12.00	20.00	73.00	13.00
	Oregon Water Resources Department Water Availability Analysis												👫 Main 🗿 Return	 Help Contact Us
				Wa	ater Ava	ailabilit	tv Analy	/sis						
					Det	ailed Re	ports							
					PUDDING R WI	> MOLALLA I	R - AB MILL CR ASIN	2						
Watershe Date: 4/5	ed ID #: 151 (<u>Map)</u> 5/2023				Water A	wailability as c	of 4/5/2023						Exce	edance Level: 80% ~ Time: 1:59 PM
	Water Availability Calculation		Consumptive U	ses and Stora	ages			Instream Flow Re	quirements]		R	eservations	
		Water Rights								Watershee	d Characteristics	6		
				A	Mater Ava Monthly Strean nnual Volume a	nflow in Cubic at 50% Excee	Calculatic Feet per Second dance in Acre-F	>n nd Feet						
Mor J/	nth Natural Stream Flow AN 1,040.00	Con	sumptive Uses and St	orages 125.00	E	Expected Stream	n Flow 915.00	Reser	ved Stream Flow 0.00		Instre	eam Flow Requirem 36	ent .00	Net Water Available 879.00
FI M/	EB 1,180.00 AR 1.010.00			114.00 76.50		1,0	070.00 933.00		0.00			36	.00	1,030.00
A	PR 787.00			52.40		1	735.00		0.00			36	.00	699.00
M	IAY 425.00			50.90			374.00		0.00			36	.00	338.00
J	IUL 109.00			115.00			-5.88		0.00			36	.00	-41.90
AL	UG 71.00			94.10			-23.10		0.00			36	.00	-59.10
SI	EP 67.30 CT 91.60			53.40			13.90		0.00			36	.00	-22.10
N	OV 363.00			48.60		3	314.00		0.00			36	.00	278.00
DI	EC 957.00			118.00		8	839.00		0.00			36	.00	803.00
A C	NN 706,000.00		56	300.00		650,0	000.00		0.00	_		26,100	.00	626,000.00
	Oregon Water Resources Department Water Availability Analysis											44 M (3) R	lain 🥑 Helj eturn 🕓 Cor	p ntact Us
				Wate	r Availa Detaile	d Report	Analysis ^s	;						
				PUI	DDING R > MO WILLAM	ILALLA R - AB	MILL CR							
Watershe	ed ID #: 151 (<u>Map)</u>				Water Availab	ility as of 4/5/2	2023					E	xceedance Lev	/el: 80% ▼
Date: 4/5	Water Availability Calculation	Г	Consumptive Uses a	nd Storages	1		Instream	1 Flow Requireme	nts			Reservations	Time	3. 1.00 PM
		Water Rights]		-				Wate	ershed Characte	ristics			
			Detai	Ied Rep	ort of Inst Flow Requireme	tream Flo	eet per Second	ments						
	Application #	Status	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun 25.00	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	IS73532B	CERTIFICATE	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	35.00	36.00	36.00	36.00
	IS73533A	CERTIFICATE	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00
	Nor 3034A Maximum	GERTIFICATE	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00

Legend

Aquifers

Aquifers

Unknown

1 ml Buffer 19258

Water Availability

Sections

N

0

0

appl_nbr

appl_nbr

۰.

.....

Section 11 Section 10 681 PUDDING REMOLALLA R.AB MILL OR Section 14 SW 2 Scotts Mills Formation Hugs CRSPUDDING RAT MOUTIMARI 55695 CLAC 60382 Alluvial deposits CLAC73226 Alluvial deposits POA 2 CLAC 15260 CLAC 15256 Scotts Mills Formalion Thrus POA 1 CLAC 15243 CLAC 15244 MARI 5587 CLAC 15250 SW 1 CLAC 15241 Section 22 CLAC 73835 Section 23 Columbia River Quaternary-Late Tertlary Sediment Aquifers Columbis River & a salt Tertiary Marine Volcanic and Sedimentary Rock Quaternary-Late Tertlary Volcanic and Volcaniclastic Rock Aquifers Late Tertlary Basalt Nexts G 19258 POAs Well Well 2 1/4 ml Buffer 19258 Salem

G19258 Kraemer

Service Layer Credits: Oregon Statewide Imagery Program (OSIP) - Oregon Imagery Framework Implementation Team Sources: Esrl, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esrl Japan, METI, Esrl China (Hong Kong), Esrl Korea, Esrl (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Main Map Scale = 1:24,000

1,320

Feet

2,640

3,960

5,2

allis O

30

15

60 Miles

Water-Level Measurements in Nearby Wells



Well Statistics 6S/1E-15 and surrounding sections



600

1.0



Radial distance from pumping well (r)=697 ft [estimated radial distance to nearest user, CLAC 15260] **Pumping Rate (Q)= 0.0978 cfs (~43.9 gpm)**

Aquifer Transmissivity (T1)= 396 gpd/ft (53 ft²/day), (T2)= 2,898 gpd/ft (387 ft²/day), (T3)= 6,687 gpd/ft (894 ft²/day) Storativity (s1) = 0.00005, (s2) = 0.003 [Conlon et al 2005, Table 2 values for Basement Confining Unit] Total pumping time=245 days [irrigation season, March 1-October 31]

Well 2



Radial distance from pumping well (r)=476 ft [estimated radial distance to nearest user, MARI 55695] **Pumping Rate (Q)= 0.0978 cfs (~43.9 gpm)**

Aquifer Transmissivity (T1)= 396 gpd/ft (53 ft²/day), (T2)= 2,898 gpd/ft (387 ft²/day), (T3)= 6,687 gpd/ft (894 ft²/day) Storativity (s1) = 0.00005, (s2) = 0.003 [Conlon et al 2005, Table 2 values for Basement Confining Unit] Total pumping time=245 days [irrigation season, March 1-October 31]

Stream Depletion Analysis – SW 1

					_	Para	ameter		Symbol	Scenario 1	Scena	ario 2	Scenario 3	Units
Application type:				G	Dis	tance from we	ll to stream		а	1341	1341		1341	ft
Application numb	ber:			19258	Aq	uifer transmiss	ivity		Т	53.0	387.5	5	894.0	ft2/day
Well number:				1	Aq	uifer storativity	/	S	5e-5	0.00	1525	0.003	-	
Stream Number				1	— Aq	uitard vertical	hydraulic cor	Kva	0.0001	0.05		0.1	ft/day	
Stream Number.				•	Aq	uitard saturate	d thickness		ba	150.0	150.0	D	150.0	ft
Pumping rate (cfs	Pumping rate (cfs): 0.0978				Aq	uitard thicknes	s below strea	babs	300.0	300.0	0	300.0	ft	
Pumping duration (days): 245.0				245.0	Aq	uitard specific	Sya	0.2	0.2		0.2	-		
Pumping start mo	Pumping start month number (3=March) 3.0			3.0	Stre	eam width			WS	80.0	80.0		80.0	ft
				Str	eam de	epletion f	or Scen	ario 2:						
Days	10	330	360	30	60	90	120	150	18	30 2	10	240	270	
Depletion (%)	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	0	
Depletion (cfs)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	00 0	.00	0.00	0.00	





NOTICE TO WATER WELL CONTRACTOR The original and first copy of this report are to be CLAC WATER WEL	L REROFTCEIVED	losti 15da	
ATTE OF	OREGON State Well 1	No. O SHE IS SE	
within 30 days from the date	or print) FEB2519// State Permi	it No	
of well completion. Do not write ab	eve this line? ILLSCURCES DEPT.		
(1) OWNER:	(10) LOCATION OF WELL:		
Name VOSEPH SCHAETEr	County Clac Na Mas Driller's well number		
Address Rf. / Molally, ore	NE 14 SE 14 Section 15 T. Q. R. 1E W.M.		
(a) THE OF WORK (1-1)	Bearing and distance from section or subd	ivision corner	
(2) TYPE OF WORK (check):	durante and a second se		
New Well X Deepening Reconditioning Abandon			
If abandonment, describe material and procedure in item 12.	(11) WATER LEVEL: Completed well		
(3) TYPE OF WELL: (4) PROPOSED USE (check):	Depth at which water was first found 3/3 ft.		
Rotary X Driven D Domestic X Industrial Municipal	Static level 9 180 ft. below la	ind surface. Date 2/15/7	
Dug 🔲 Bored 🗋 Irrigation 🗋 Test Well 🗋 Other	Artesian pressure lbs. per s	quare inch. Date	
CASING INSTALLED.			
CASING INSTALLED: Threaded Welded \$50	(12) WELL LOG: Diameter of w	ell below casing	
H Diam from IBO to 410 to Gage 125	Depth drilled 420 ft. Depth of co	impleted well 420 ft.	
" Diam. from ft to ft Gage	Formation: Describe color, texture, grain a	ize and structure of materials;	
Diant From the Commentation of the Conge	and show thickness and nature of each st with at least one entry for each change of fo	ratum and aquifer penetrated, prmation. Report each change in	
PERFORATIONS: Perforated? X Yes D No.	position of Static Water Level and indicate	principal water-bearing strata.	
pe of perforator used 5 kill Saw	MATERIAL	From To SWL	
Size of perforations in. by 6 in.	Topsoil .	0 8	
200 perforations from 360 st. to 420 st.	Blue Sandstone	8_85	
perforations from ft. to ft.	Grey II II	85 110	
perforations from ft. to ft.	Blue	110 175	
(T) SCREENS.	Broken Dasalf	175 202	
(1) SCREENS: Well screen installed? Ves No	Blue Sandstone	202 330	
Manufacturer's Name	Purple Claystone	330 420 180	
Diam Slot size Set from ft to ft.			
Diam, Slot size Set from ft. to ft.	E-RECEIVED		
(8) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level	MAR 03 2022		
Was a pump test made? Ves X No If yes, by whom?			
Yield: gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.	OWKD		
Airtest .			
Bailer test 13 rel (min with 240et drawdown after 1 hrs			
Astantan flow			
Artesian now		112/15 17	
sperature of water Depth artesian now encountered	Work started 2/19 19/ Com	ipleted 15 19	
(9) CONSTRUCTION:	Date well drilling machine moved off of w	ell 2/15 19/	
Well seal-Material used Cemen	Drilling Machine Operator's Certificat	lion:	
Well sealed from land surface toft.	This well was constructed under Materials used and information report	my direct supervision. rted above are true to my	
Diameter of well bore to bottom of sealy	best knowledge and belief.	2/20 7-	
Diameter of well bore below seal in.	[Signed] Tout meso	Date 2 20, 19	
Number of sacks of cement used in well seal	Drilling Machine Operator's License	No. 760	
Number of sacks of bentonite used in well seal sacks			
Brand name of bentonite	Water Well Contractor's Certification:		
Number of pounds of pentonite per 100 gallons	This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is		
Was a drive shoe used? Ves No Pluga Size: location #	true to the best of my knowledge and belief		
Did any strata contain unusable water? Ves XNo	Name (Person, firm or corporation).	(Type of print) +	
Type of water? depth of styata	Address 180.75 50. Ab	ang Rd Silve	
Method of sealing sizata off	All I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		
The well seems actual to the Very and the second	[Signed] MM Mer	Contractor)	
was wen gravel packedr [] Yes No Size of gravel:	566-	2/20 .7	

CB #124606 PUMP SALES & SERVICE • FLOW & PURITY TESTING • WATER CONDITIONING PH 503-873-92 CL 503-932-35 ILVERTON, OR 97381 STADELIPUMP@AOL.CC					
	v	VATER WELL TEST	REPORT	E-RECEIVED MAR 03 2022	
te Deczi	-2020 Name	ohn Kraemer		OWRD	
idress	and the second second		Phone #503 - 9	32-5448	
idress of well	38670 S. NON	lens Bridge Rd	Email Kraemer	8410 agneil. con	
ell Type 🛛	Irrigation Domest	c D Other		21	
lell casing dian	neter6''	Height of casi	ing above ground surface	12''	
lell depth	?	Static Water Level 235	Well vented	yes	
	Nor	Water sample	tokon VAC		
anitary well se			Other		
anitary well se type of sample Existing system Description of p Pressv re	taken (KBacteria used for flow test) pump systemhp (E Nitrate E Arsenic les Submorsible pu-p galvanited pipes	Dother Flow measuring devise I with 50 gells	Musured contained on well stral	
anitary well se ype of sample Existing system Description of p <u>Pressvre</u> Comments <u></u>	taken \mathcal{D} KBacteria taken \mathcal{D} KBacteria bump system $2hp$ Tan \mathcal{K} $1''$ Well (apeci 4 1 is $420'$ T.D	B Nitrate BArsenic les Submorsible ph-p galvanited pipes is more then per well log.	□ Other Flow measuring devise I with 50 gells the pump wi Clack al 525	Musural containe on wellstral Il pumpat this	
Anitary well se ype of sample Existing system Description of p <u>Pressv re</u> Comments <u>Well flow data</u>	alYES taken BKBacteria n used for flow test bump systemAhp 	B Nitrate B Arsenic Submorsible pu-p galvanited pipes is more then per well log.	□ Other Flow measuring devise I with 50 gells the pump wi Clack of 525	Masured contained on well the 1 Il pumpent this	
anitary well se ype of sample Existing system Description of p <u>Pressvre</u> Comments <u>well flow data</u> Date of flow te	taken $f K Bacteria$ taken $f K Bacteria$ n used for flow test bump system $2hp$ Tan K 1" Well Capaci Ly Lis 420' T.P collected by <u>Fric</u> est Dec 21-2020	B Nitrate BArsenic Submersille ph-p galvanited pipes is more then per well log-	□ Other Flow measuring devise I with 50 gells the pump wi Clack al 525	Masured contained on well the 1 Il pumpert this G	
anitary well se ype of sample Existing system Description of p <u>Pressvrv</u> Comments <u>well flow data</u> Date of flow te	al taken BKBacteria n used for flow test bump systemAp 	DISCHARGE PRESSURE	Dother Flow measuring devise I with 50 gells the pung wi Clack al 525	TOTAL GALLONS PUL	
Anitary Well se ype of sample Existing system Description of p Pressv re Comments Well flow data Date of flow te TIME A:40	al YES taken BKBacteria n used for flow test bump systemAp 	Discharge pressure	Dother Flow measuring devise I with 50 gells the pume wi Clack of 525 STATIC WATER LEVEL 235	TOTAL GALLONS PUL	
Anitary well se ype of sample Existing system Description of p Pressvre Comments Well flow data Date of flow te 9:40 La:12	al YES taken BKBacteria n used for flow test bump systemAp 	DISCHARGE PRESSURE	Cher Flow measuring devise J with 50 gells the pump wi Check al 525 235 297'6"	Total Gallons Put JE promp of this	
Anitary Well se Sype of sample Existing system Description of p Pressv re Comments Well flow data Date of flow te 9:40 19:10 19:40	al YES taken BKBacteria n used for flow test bump systemAp 	Discharge pressure 35 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2	STATIC WATER LEVEL	TOTAL GALLONS PUL 360 720	
Anitary well se ype of sample Existing system Description of p <u>Pressvrv</u> Comments <u>Well flow data</u> Date of flow te <u>9:40</u> <u>10:10</u>	al YES taken $f K Bacteria$ n used for flow test bump system $2hp$ Tan K I'' Well Capeci Ly Lis 420' T.P collected by <u>Fric</u> est Dec 21-2020 GALLONS PER MIN. 12 12 12 12	B Nitrate Discharge pressure Jos Charge pressure Discharge pressure 35 25 25 20	STATIC WATER LEVEL 235 247'6" 327'2'	Total Gallons Put 10 promp of this	
Anitary Well se Sype of sample Existing system Description of p Pressv re Comments Well flow data Date of flow te 9:40 10:10 11:10 11:40	al YES taken BKBacteria n used for flow test bump systemAp 	Discharge pressure 35 25 25 25 25 25 20 20	Dother Flow measuring devise I with 50 gells the pume wi Clack of 525 297'6" 323' 337'z'' 342'1"	TOTAL GALLONS PUL 10 PUM Part His 11 PUM Part His 360 720 1080 1440	
Anitary Well se Sype of sample Existing system Description of p Pressvre Comments Well flow data Date of flow te 9:40 10:40 11:40	al YES taken $f K Bacteria$ taken $f K Bacteria$ bump system $2hp$ Tan K I'' Well Capeci Ly Lis 420' T.P collected by $Fric$ est Dec 21-2020 GALLONS PER MIN. 12 12 12 12 12	Discharge pressure 35 25 25 25 25 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Dother Flow measuring devise 1 with 50 gells the pump wi Clack of 525 235 297'6" 323' 337'z'' 342'1"	Total Gallons Put 1000 1000 1000 1000 1440	
Anitary well se Sype of sample Existing system Description of p Pressv re Comments Well flow data Date of flow te 9:40 10:10 11:40	al YES taken BKBacteria n used for flow test bump systemAp 	Discharge pressure 35 25 25 25 25 20 20	Dother Flow measuring devise I with 50 gells the pump wi Clack of 525 297'6" 323' 337'z'' 342'1"	TOTAL GALLONS PUL TOTAL GALLONS PUL	
Anitary Well se Sype of sample Existing system Description of p Pressvre Comments Well flow data Date of flow te 9:40 10:40 11:40	taken f_{K} Bacteria taken f_{K} Bacteria n used for flow test bump system Tan K' Well (apeci Ly Lis 420' T.D collected by collected by GALLONS PER MIN. 12 12 12 12 12 12	B Nitrate DiArsenic Submersible pu-p galvanited pipes is more then per well log- DISCHARGE PRESSURE 35 25 25 20	D Other Flow measuring devise <i>I</i> <i>with</i> 50 gells <i>the pump wl</i> <i>Clack</i> of 525 242' <i>I</i> " 342' <i>I</i> "	Total Gallons Put 1000 1000 1000 1000 1440	
Anitary well se Sype of sample Existing system Description of p Pressv re Comments Well flow data Date of flow te 10:10 11:40 11:40	al Yes taken $BKBacteria$ is used for flow test bump system $2hp$ Tan K 1'' Well (apeci Ly 1 is 420' T.P collected by Eric est Dec 21-2020 GALLONS PER MIN. 12 12 12 12 12 12	Discharge pressure	□ Other	Total Gallons Pul 1000 1000 1000 1440	

CLAC 15256 email

From:	
Sent:	
To:	

Subject:

Doann Hamilton Thursday, April 6, 2023 12:55 PM <u>GARRISON Stacey L * WRD; BROWN Travis C * WRD; GRAHAM Elisabeth</u> A * <u>WRD; Malia Kupillas; kraemerfarms@icloud.com</u> Fwd: Static water level for G 19258

Hi Stacey

I am getting back to you about the water level in the domestic well CLAC 15256 - by our client proposed wells for Application G-19258

Stadeli water Systems went back out to read the water level in CLAC 15256 The water level after being off for 12 hours was 240 feet

Let me know if there is something else we can provide for you Doann Hamilton

------- Forwarded message -------From: GARRISON Stacey L * WRD <<u>Stacey.L.GARRISON@water.oregon.gov</u>> Date: Fri, Mar 31, 2023 at 10:55 AM Subject: RE: Static water level for G 19258 To: <u>phgdmh@gmail.com</u> <<u>phgdmh@gmail.com</u>> Cc: BROWN Travis C * WRD <<u>Travis.C.BROWN@water.oregon.gov</u>>, GRAHAM Elisabeth A * WRD <<u>Elisabeth.A.GRAHAM@water.oregon.gov</u>>

Hello Doann,

As promised, here is the follow-up email from our phone conversation earlier this morning regarding the static water levels on the well log vs pump test for G-19258. I've cc'd Travis Brown (lead hydrogeologist for southern/central Willamette Basin) and Elisabeth Graham (water rights caseworker) for their visibility. As discussed, a new static water level could refute the 235 ft static water level on the pump test included with the application. Please let us know if you have any questions. Thank you,

Stacey Garrison (*she/hers*) Hydrogeologist-Groundwater Section Oregon Water Resources Department 503-551-0205

stacey.l.garrison@water.oregon.gov



Integrity | Service | Technical Excellence | Teamwork | Forward-Looking

-

20

Pacific Hydro-Geology, Inc. 18487 S. Valley Vista Rd. Mulino, OR 97042 (503) 349-6946 Fax: (503) 632-5983 email: <u>phgdmh@gmail.com</u>