Groundwater Application Review Summary Form Application # G- <u>19293</u>

GW Reviewer <u>Gabriela Ferreira / Dennis Orlowski</u> Date Review Completed: <u>July 11, 2023</u>

Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

□ There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

July 11, 2023

TO: Application G-<u>19293</u>

FROM: GW: <u>Gabriela Ferreira / Dennis Orlowski</u> (Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

- □ YES The source of appropriation is hydraulically connected to a State Scenic Waterway or its tributaries
- □ YES
 □ Use the Scenic Waterway Condition (Condition 7J)
 □ NO
- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below
- □ Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in <u>[Enter]</u> Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBL	IC INTE	REST	REVIEV	W FOR GF	ROUND	WATER .	APPLI	CATIONS					
TO:		Water	Rights Se	ction				Date	;	July 7, 2	2023		
FROM		Groun	dwater Se	ction		Gabriela	a Ferreira	a / Dennis Orlov	vski				
						Review	wer's Name	e					
SUBJE	ECT:	Applic	cation G-	19293_	S	Supersede	s reviev	/ of					
										D	ate of Revi	ew(s)	
DIRI	IC INTE	DECT	PRESIN	ΙΡΤΙΟΝ• (WATED	,						
OAR 69 welfare, to deter the pres	90-310-13 , safety and mine whet sumption c:	0 (1) The formation of	he Departm h as describ presumptio This revie RMATIO	eent shall pre- bed in ORS 5 on is establis w is based u	esume that 37.525. De hed. OAR pon availa	a proposed epartment s 690-310-14 b le inform	<i>l ground</i> staff revi 40 allow nation a	water use will e ew groundwater s the proposed u nd agency polic d Bachel Eller	nsure th applica use be n cies in p	ne preser ations un nodified (blace at t	vation of der OAR or conditi the time of	the publi 690-310 oned to r of evalua	<i>c</i> -140 neet tion .
A. <u>GE</u>	INERAL I			<u>n</u> . Ap				u Kachel Eher	UIUUK	Cou	inty. <u>vva</u>	sington	L
A1.	Applican	t(s) see	ek(s) <u>0.05</u>	cfs from	one	well(s) in the _	Willamette					Basin,
	 Ti	alatin				subba	in						
	10	ualatili				subbas	5111						
A2.	Proposed	luse	Prim	ary Irrigatio	n	Seaso	nality:	March 1 – Octo	ber 31				
	I						<u> </u>						
A3.	Well and	aquife	r data (atta	ch and num	ber logs fo	or existing	wells; n	nark proposed	wells as	s such ui	nder logi	d):	
W7 11			Applicant'	's p	1.4 .6 .4	Propo	sed	Location		Location	n, metes a	nd bound	s, e.g.
Well	Logic	1	Well #	Propose	d Aquifer*	Rate(cfs)	(T/R-S QQ-	Q)	2250' N	I, 1200' E	fr NW cor	S 36
1	WASH 76	5907	Well 1	(CRB	0.0	5	1 N / 3 W – 12 N	E-NW	1194'	S, 2714' W	fr NE cor S	5 12
* Alluviı	um, CRB, E	Bedrock											
	Well	First	GUU	CIT II	Well	Seal	Casin	g Liner	Perfo	orations	Well	Draw	
337 11	F 1	Wate	r SWL	SWL	Depth	Interval	Interva	ls Intervals	Or S	creens	Yield	Down	Test
well	Elev		IT DIS	Date	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(ft)	(gpm)	(ft)	Туре
well	ft msl	ft bls			· · /							37/4	Air
well	ft msl 205 ^a	ft bls 525	131	7/26/2018	693	0 - 519	0-51	9 N/A	Ν	J∕A ^b	95	N/A	
Well 1 Use data	ft msl 205 ^a from applie	ft bls 525 cation fo	131 or proposed	7/26/2018 wells.	693	0-519	0- 51	9 N/A	Ν	J/A ^b	95	N/A	
Use data	ft msl 205 ^a from applie	ft bls 525 cation fo	131 or proposed	7/26/2018 wells.	693	0-519	0- 519	b of North Plai		J/A ^b	95	N/A	
Use data	ft msl 205 ^a from applie Commer	$\begin{array}{c c} ft bls \\ 525 \\ \hline cation fo \\ \hline nts: \underline{Th} \\ of 4.0 \\ \end{array}$	131 or proposed v	7/26/2018 wells.	693 s approxin	0-519	0- 519 mile sout	N/A N/A	ns, Oreg	a/A ^b	95 licant pro	N/A poses	
Use data	ft msl 205 ^a from applie Commer irrigation ^a L and su	ft bls 525 cation for nts: Th of 4.0	131 or proposed acres by or levation fro	7/26/2018 wells. POA/POU interesting word LIDAR and	693 s approxin ell, identif	0-519 mately 0.5 mately 0.5 mately 0.5 mately 0.5 mately 0.5 material sector (0.5 material sector) (0.5 mat	0- 519 mile sout SH 7690	 N/A h of North Plain 7. DLC 2016) 	ns, Oreg	ton. App	95 licant pro	N/A poses	
Use data	Elev ft msl 205 ^a from applie Commer irrigation ^a Land su ^b No perf	ft bls 525 cation fo nts: Th of 4.0 rface effortation	131 or proposed acres by or levation fro	7/26/2018 wells. POA/POU in the existing word LIDAR and are reported	693 s approxin ell, identif t the propo	0 – 519 nately 0.5 n ied as WA sed well lo	0- 519 mile sout SH 7690 cation (0	MA N/A h of North Plair 7. DLC, 2016).	ns, Oreg	as open	95 licant pro	poses) to
Use data	Elev ft msl 205 ^a from applid Commer irrigation ^a Land su ^b No perff 693 feet	ft bls 525 cation fo nts: Th of 4.0 rface e oration	131 or proposed acres by or levation fro	7/26/2018 wells. POA/POU international network existing work LIDAR at are reported	693 s approxin ell, identif t the propo in the well	0-519 nately 0.5 1 ied as WA sed well lo l constructi	0- 519 mile sout SH 7690 cation (C	 N/A h of North Plain 7. DLC, 2016). open interval is 	ns, Oreg	as open	95 licant pro borehole	poses from 519	9 to

A5. A Provisions of the <u>Willamette</u> Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water \Box are, or \boxtimes are not, activated by this application.

(Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments: The proposed POA will develop a confined aquifer; therefore, per OAR 690-502-0160 the relevant Willamette

Basin rules (OAR 690-502-0050) do not apply.

A6. Well(s) # _____, ___, ___, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area:

Comments: _____

4

Page

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that <u>groundwater</u>* for the proposed use:
 - a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, *or* cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. \Box will not or \Box will likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
 - d. 🛛 will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) <u>Condition 7I (Willamette CRB Condition)</u>
 - ii. \Box The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. \Box The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;

- b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
- c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the ______ groundwater reservoir between approximately______ ft. and ______ ft. below land surface;
- d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** The proposed POA is located within the Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG/CRB) Miocene-aged flood-lavas, which consist of a series of layered basalt flows ranging in thickness from about 10 to 100 feet. Relatively permeable and productive interflow zones are encountered between layers of basalt flows, separated by lowpermeability dense flow interior that can act as confining units. As such, the CRBG aquifer has relatively low storage capacity (bulk porosity estimated to average 3%) and withdrawal from the CRBG aquifer system can quickly impact nearby wells. The CRBG is overlain by basin-fill deposits that are estimated to be approximately 475 to 500 feet in thickness based on the POA well log, nearby wells, and published data (WASH 6109, WASH 68365) (Leonard and Collins 1983; Gannett & Caldwell, 1998; Conlon et al., 2005).

Within approximately one mile of the proposed POA locations, there are three identified water rights for irrigation, industrial/manufacturing and municipal use, producing from wells completed in the CRBG, with several more exempt use (domestic) wells also likely in the area. City of North Plains has a water right for 1.32 cfs, authorized from a CRB well located approximately 0.6 mile north of the proposed POA; however, the City has likely not utilized this well since at least 2005 when their primary source became the Joint Water Commission (JWC). Reported maximum yields in the nearby similar wells range up to 95 gpm. Well deepenings are not prevalent. The requested rate (0.05 cfs, ~18 gpm) is well within the range of reported yields for water wells in this area.

Page

Because the requested maximum pumping rate is relatively very low, potential injury to nearby groundwater users is highly unlikely. Furthermore, for that same reason (low requested rate and duty), for this particular application it was determined that the proposed use is within the capacity of the resource, despite previous applications in this area being denied for that reason.

Seven CRB wells near the proposed POA were selected for evaluation: WASH 51664, WASH 5366, WASH 5377, WASH 7239, WASH 57263, and WASH 5139. Although some of the nearby observation wells are completed in CRB at higher elevations relative to the proposed POA, the static water levels are relatively similar. Some wells (WASH 6051, WASH 5148, WASH 57263) show a similar pattern of 10 to 25 feet of decline from the late 90's through the mid-2000's, followed by partial or complete recovery. This may be partly due to the City of North Plains ceasing use of a CRB well in 2005. One observation well (WASH 7239) demonstrates consistent decline, totaling nearly 30 feet from the mid-1990's to present. Other wells (WASH 57898 and WASH 51664) show generally stable behavior, with less than 10 feet of variability over approximately 30 years. Due to the very low rate of requested withdrawal, the proposed use is considered within the capacity of the resource. However, in order to protect senior users and the resource, Condition 7i is strongly recommended for any permit issued pursuant to this application.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	CRBG	\boxtimes	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: The well report indicates the SWL is 131 ft bls, approximately 400 feet above the water-bearing zone from 525 to 693 feet bls, indicating a confined aquifer or series of aquifers. The CRB aquifers (interflows) are generally confined by dense interflow zones that restrict vertical movement of groundwater. Additionally, the CRB aquifers are overlain by approximately 500 feet of alluvium in the vicinity of the proposed POA.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	H YES	Iydrau Conne NO	ulically ected? ASSUMED	Potentia Subst. In Assum YES	ll for terfer. ed? NO
1	1	McKay Creek	75	180 - 150	1,240		\boxtimes			\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>The relative water levels indicate that the basalt aquifer system from</u> which the well produces is not hydraulically connected with any nearby surface water source. Water-bearing zones are reported in the confined interflow zones of the CRBG at depths greater than 500 feet below the stream bed within one mile of the proposed well. The well construction and the tabular nature of CRBG aquifers prevent efficient hydraulic connection between the well and surface water within one mile.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>WID # 30201003</u>: McKay Cr > Dairy Cr – at mouth

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water (SW) source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that SW source, not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

6

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
ammonto.								

Comments: ____

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040(5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
D' / 'I	4 1 3 37 11												
Well	SW#	l s Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
						1		1	1			1	1
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80) % Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) =	(A) > (C)	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\sim	\checkmark	\checkmark
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage. Basis for impact evaluation:

C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

- C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
 - i. \Box The permit should contain condition #(s)
 - ii. \Box The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:

References Used: <u>Application File G-19293</u>

Water well reports: WASH 5139, WASH 5148, WASH 6051, WASH 51664 WASH 57263, WASH 57898, WASH 76907

Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon, Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168: U. S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA.

Gannett, M.W. and Caldwell, R., 1998, Geologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington, Professional Paper 1424-A, 32 p: U. S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA.

Oregon Lidar Consortium (OLC), 2016, OLC metro 2014 lidar project, Oregon Department of Geology & Mineral Industries, Portland, OR, November 30.

- United States Geological Survey, 2014, National Hydrography Dataset (NHD), 1:24,000, U. S. Department of the Interior, Reston, VA.
- Woodward, D.G., Gannett, M.W., and Vaccaro, J.J., 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B, 82 p.

9

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

a.	\square review of the well log;	
b.	field inspection by	
c.	report of CWRE	
d.	O other: (specify)	
TH	E WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:	

D4. 🛛 Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Well Statistics



Well Location Map



Application G-19293 Ellerbrook T1N, R3W, Section 12

Service Layer Credits: Copyright© 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed

Water-Level Measurements in Nearby Wells

