### PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:	Water Rights Section	Date	June 18, 2015
FROM:	Groundwater Section	Aurora C. Bouchier / Karl C.	Wozniak
SUBJECT:	Application G- 17937	Reviewer's Name Supersedes review of	March 16, 2015
		1	Date of Review(s)

#### PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

**OAR 690-310-130 (1)** The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.

#### A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Robert J. and Eleanor E. Schmidgall County: Marion

A1.	Applicant(s) seek(s) $0.48^{\circ}$	<u>7</u> cfs from	1 (MARI 6106)	well(s) in the	Willamette	Basin,
	Molalla-Pudding		subbasin	Quad Maps: Stayto	on NE, Silverton,	and Salem East

Proposed use irrigation, 28 acres Seasonality: April 1 – October 31

Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid): A3.

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	MARI 6160	1	Alluvium	0.487	07S/01W-6 SE-NW	160' N, 505' W fr center S 6
2						
3						
4						
5						

\* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

A2.

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	200	64-127	41	10/26/1982	140	0-20	+1-140		80-133			

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** The application gives metes and bounds to the property boundary. The location of the well is shown in Table A3 and the attached map based on a comparison of tax lots, Google Earth images, and the application map. The application specifies a maximum rate of 0.535 cfs (240 gpm). Since the proposed use is irrigation of 38.93 acres, the maximum rate we will allow is 38.93 acres \* 1/80 cfs per acre = 0.487 cfs (219 gpm). Therefore the review is based on a maximum rate of 0.487 cfs.

\_\_\_\_Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or A5. **Provisions of the** Willamette management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water  $\Box$  are, or  $\boxtimes$  are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Comments: The applicant's well is greater than <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile from a surface water source, and produces from a confined aquifer, so the pertinent basin rules (OAR 690-502-0240) do not apply.

A6. Well(s) # \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: \_\_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

2

#### B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that <u>groundwater</u>\* for the proposed use:
  - a. **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, *or* **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. \* This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - b. will not *or* will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. \* This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - c. **will not** *or* **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
  - d. **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
    - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C, Seven Year Minimum Measurement
    - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
    - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;

- b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;
- c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. and ft. below land surface;
- d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

**Describe injury** –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** <u>The area around the applicant's well is underlain by about 65 feet of Willamette Silt</u> which is underlain by a series of sands and gravels that are interbedded with silts and clays. The water table occurs near land surface in the Willamette Silt which acts as a regional confining unit. The shallowest gravel bed (called a conglomerate in the well log) in the subject well, MARI 6106, was logged near the base of the Willamette Silt at depths of 56-62 feet below land surface. This corresponds to elevations of approximately 140-150 feet above mean sea level (amsl). The upper surface of the Willamette Silt forms a broad terrace, locally at an elevation of approximately 200 feet amsl. Local streams cut progressively through the terrace until they flow into the Willamette River at an elevation of about 55 feet amsl, well below the top of the upper gravel layer noted in MARI 6106. Water levels in nearby observation wells suggest that groundwater levels are reasonably stable in this area.

Because the productive sand and gravel beds are confined, the cone of depression from the well will spread over a broad area and may interact with multiple surface water bodies. However, more than 20 feet of saturated Willamette Silt occurs between the local surface water bodies and the productive sand and gravel beds at depth. These fine-grained sediments will decrease the efficiency of the groundwater/surface water connection.

3

#### C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvium	$\boxtimes$	

**Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:** <u>Reports indicate that the Willamette Silt is a regional confining unit which hosts</u> the water table at shallow depths. This is consistent with information on the well log for MARI 6106, which shows a static water level approximately 22 feet above the top of the first productive gravel at 64 feet below ground surface.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
			11 11151	11 11151		TES NO ASSOMED	YES NO
1	1	Pudding River	159	155-158	2,910		

**Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation:** <u>Published water table maps and reports indicate that the groundwater</u> flows towards, and discharges into, The Pudding River and other local perennial streams. Head data from MARI 6106 and nearby wells corroborate this.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>WAB 152</u> (Pudding R > Molalla R – AB Howell Prairie).

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1			MF 152A	10.00	$\square$	22.70		<<25	$\boxtimes$

C3b. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

 0 0007	 		÷.					
SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

**Comments:** The Pudding River stream depletion at 30 days was estimated using the Hunt 2003 model. The presence of low permeability Willamette Silt between the aquifer and the beds of streams results in an inefficient connection between the aquifer and the stream, therefore the stream depletion at 30 days is much less than 25%. However, stream depletion will increase over time until all of the pumped water is balanced by reduced stream flow.

#### C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a

percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	) as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
D! / !!		•											
Distrib		ls	<b>F</b> .1	М	<b>A</b>	М	T.	т 1		C	0.4	NT.	D
well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jui	Aug	Sep	Oct	INOV	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	2 as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	2 as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	) as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	) as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well C	) as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	) as CFS	, 0	, 0	, 0	, 0	70	, 0	, 0			, 0	, 0	/0
Interfer	ence CFS												
111001101													
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.												
( <b>B</b> ) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (\mathbf{D})$	$(\mathbf{A}) > (\mathbf{C})$	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A}$	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.
 Basis for impact evaluation: \_\_\_\_\_\_

# C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5. **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

i.  $\Box$  The permit should contain condition #(s)

ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

#### C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions

**References Used:** <u>Conlon, T. D., Wozniak, K. C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fischer, B.J. Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, Ground-Water Hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U. S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168, 83 p.</u>

Gannett, Marshall W., and Caldwell, Rodney R., 1998, Geologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington: U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A.

Herrera, N.B, Burns, E.R., Conlon, T.D., 2014, Simulation of groundwater flow and the interaction of groundwater and surface water in the Willamette Basin and Central Willamette subbasin, Oregon: U. S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report: 2014-5136.

Hunt, B., 2003, Unsteady stream depletion when pumping from semiconfined aquifer: Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, January/February, 2003.

Iverson, Justin, 2002, Investigation of the hydraulic, physical, and chemical buffering capacity of Missoula Flood deposis for water quality and supply in the Willamette Valley of Oregon: Corvallis, Oregon, Oregon State University, M.S. thesis.

Woodward, Dennis BG., Gannett, Marshall W., and Vaccaro, John J., 1998 Hydrogeologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington: U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B.

The subject well (MARI 6106) and nearby well logs and water level data, especially MARI 17590, MARI 3510, MARI 58801, and MARI 6109.

#### D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:         1         Logid:         MARI 6106
D2.	THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:         a.       review of the well log;         b.       field inspection by;         c.       report of CWRE;         d.       other: (specify);
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:
ри [	Boute to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction

## Water Availability Tables

		DETAILED REPORT	ON THE WATER AVAILA	BILITY CALCULATIO	N	
Watershed ID #: Time: 3:27 PM	152	PUDDING R	> MOLALLA R - AB HO Basin: WILLAMET	WELL PRAIRIE TE	Excee	dance Level: 80 ate: 03/09/2015
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirements	Net Water Available
		Storage is t	Monthly values a he annual amount at	re in cfs. 50% exceedance i	n ac-ft.	
JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC	603.00 649.00 587.00 451.00 235.00 111.00 43.60 24.70 22.70 38.90 233.00 608.00	69.60 60.80 42.90 24.40 17.10 32.20 47.80 40.20 25.30 7.35 18.50 63.60	533.00 588.00 544.00 427.00 218.00 78.80 -4.17 -15.50 -2.58 31.50 214.00 544.00	0.00 0.00	$\begin{array}{c} 10.00\\ 10$	523.00 578.00 534.00 208.00 68.80 -14.20 -25.50 -12.60 21.50 204.00 534.00

#### Water Level Trends



#### Elevation Profile for Well, Nearby Wells and Local Surface Water Bodies



9

	Transient Stream Depletion (Jenkins, 1970; Hunt, 1999, 2003) G-17937 to Pudding River													
	0.010	<del></del> د		7	1		G-1100.	torua	ungra		<u> </u>			<b>-</b> /
				X										
														17
	0.00/													
~	0.000	,												1 ]
<b>B</b>			/		/									] ]
۶ å			V			L J								
G B	0.006	3	1			+ +								4 7
														17
E S														17
000	0.004													4 7
ti di	0.00													1
frai														1 7
-	-													
	0.002	2												1 1
			T											1 1
	0.000				<u> </u>	<b></b>								7
		0	30 6	80 9	30 12 Tir	20 15	i0 18	30 21 Sumping	(0 24	40 27	0 300	0 3	30	360 -
						Ae Shiee	Starrow	)umping	(days)					<b>n</b> 1
	-	Jen/	kins s2 –	—— Hu	int 1999	s2	<ul> <li>Hunt 2</li> </ul>	2003 s1	— н	lunt 2003	3 s2	Hur	it 2003	/ <b>s</b> 3 =
	L													<b></b> 7
Outpu	t for !	Stream [	Penletio	n Scen/	erio 2 (s	•2):	Timer	o amure	n (num	ning dur	ration) =	- 180 da	avs	
Davs		30	60	90	120	150	180	1 21	0 24	ol 27	0 30	100	330	360
LSD		84 1%	88.7%	90.8%	92.0%	92.8%	93.5%	9.99	4 5.69	× 3.9°	× 2.9'	× 2	296	1 9%
H SD 1	999	0.6%	1.0%	1 2%	1.4%	1.6%	1.8%	1 39	4 1.19	× 1.0°	× 0.9	× 0.	0%	0.8%
H SD 2	003	0.10%	0.10%	0.11%	0.11%	0.12%	0.12%	0.039	4 0.039	× 0.039	× 0.03	% 0.0	3% 0	03%
Ow. c	fe	0.488	0.488	0.488	0.488	0 488	0.488	0.48	8 0.48	8 0.48	8 0.48	28 0./	488 (	0 488
H SD 9	9 cfs	0.003	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.005	0.00	al 0.00	0.00	5 0.00	15 0.0	004 (	0.004
H SD 0	3 cfs	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 0.	000	0.000
n ee .	0, 0	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		U	<u> </u>	10	100	1.000
Param	eters	a.	i			Scr	enario 1	i Sc	cenario	2 5	cenario	3		Inits
Net ste	adv p	······································	ate of we	1	Ow		219.00		219.0		219.0	10		apm
Time p	umn 0/	niping	a duratio	10)	toon	t	180		18	20	18	20		davs
Derner	ump c. sdicula	r from W	all to stre	in/ em	a	t	2910		291		291	10		ft
Well de	anth	/ IIVin sea	20 to on e.	<u>a</u>		<del> </del>	140		14		14	10		ft
Aquife	r hvdr	aulie con/	ductivity		_ ĸ →	<del> </del>	50		5	0		50	t	f/day
Aquife	r eatur	rated thic	knees	$\longrightarrow$		<del> </del>	70					70		ff
Aquife	r trans	mieeivity	Alless	$\rightarrow$		<del> </del>	3500		350		350	20	ft*(	+/day
Aquife	r etors	fillioanny	coecific v	riold		<del> </del>	0.001		0.00	4	0.00	10	16.5	Vuay
Aquita	/ Store	tivity or a	pecine y	ductivity		<b> </b>	0.001		0.00	/1 M	0.00	1		4/day
Aquita	-d voru	Call hyurs	JUIC Com	JUCHYNY	ha	<del> </del>	30		0.0	/1 10	0.0	20		Uuay ft
Aquita	-d thic	faces he	CKIICaa		baba	<b>I</b>	20	<u>-</u>		<u>0</u>		20		 ft
Aquita	/d thick	(ness per	/0W Suca	<u>1m</u>	Daus	<del> </del>	0.0	4		.0		20		п
Aquita	/0 porc	JSILY				<del> </del>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	<u>v.</u> 1	2		.2		ft
Stream	1 Witum	l Toductor	(lamb	1-1	ws the	<del>                                     </del>	1005000	4—	0.00500	-	0.00500	10		n Nobie
Stream	Ibea cu	Unduciam	ce (lamo	da)	SDC	<u>ب</u>	1.005000	4	0.00500	0	0.00500	)0		t/day
Stream	I depie	tion taclo	/r		SOT		2.419457		2.41945	7	2.41945	57		days
Stream	ibed ta	ictor			SDT	Ļ	1.004157	<u> </u>	0.00415	7	0.00415	57		
input #	1 for n	lunt's u_	4 function	n		Ļ	1.413316	<i>i</i>	0.41331	6	0.41331	6		
input #	2 for H	lunt's Q_4	4 function	n	<u>K'</u>	Ļ	1.806486	<b>i</b>	0.80648	6	0.80648	36		
input #	3 for H	lunt's Q_/	4 function	n	epsilon'	- <u> </u>	1.005000	1	0.00500	0	0.00500	)0		
input #	4 for F	iunt's O /	4 functio	n /	lamda' '	1 6	004157	/	0.00415	7	0.00415	57		

10

#### **Application Review Map**

