Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- <u>19355</u>

GW Reviewer <u>Grayson Fish</u> Date Review Completed: <u>6/5/2023</u>

Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

□ There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

June 5, 2023

TO: Application G-<u>19355</u>

FROM: GW: <u>Grayson Fish</u> (Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

- ✓ YES The source of appropriation is hydraulically connected to a State Scenic Waterway or its tributaries
- ☑ YES☑ Use the Scenic Waterway Condition (Condition 7J)
- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below
- □ Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in <u>Rogue</u> Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: FROM: SUBJE PUBLI OAR 69 welfare, to detern the press	TO: Water Rights Section Date6/5/2023 FROM: Groundwater SectionGrayson Fish Reviewer's Name SUBJECT: Application GS Supersedes review of													
A. <u>GE</u>	A. <u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u> : Applicant's Name: <u>Chamberland Vineyards, Inc.</u> County: <u>Jackson</u>													
A1.	Applicar B	ut(s) see ear Cre	ek(s) <u>0.02</u> eek	_cfs from	1	well(s) subbas) in the .	F	Rogue					Basin,
A2.	Proposed use Irrigation Seasonality: April 1 to October 1													
A3.	A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):													
Well	Logi	đ	Applicant's Well #	Propose	ed Aquifer*	Propos Rate(c	sed		Location (T/R-S OO-C))	Location 2250' N	n, metes a [. 1200' E	nd bounds fr NW cor	s, e.g. S 36
1	PRO	P	TBD	Be	edrock	0.02	2	3	8S/1W-12 SE-S	ŚW	245' N	, 460' W fr	SW1/4 cor	S 12
* Alluvit	im, CRB, I	Bedrock											-	
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Wate ft bla	s SWL fr ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casin Interv (ft)	ng als	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perfo Or S	orations creens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
PROP	1891				Est. 600									
Use data from application for proposed wells. A4. Comments: The applicant is requesting 0.02 cfs of groundwater to irrigate 31.3 acres from one proposed well that has not been constructed at this time as supplemental water right.														
A5. 🖾	A5.													

A6. Well(s) # _____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area:

Comments: _____

4

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that <u>groundwater</u>* for the proposed use:
 - a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, *or* cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. \Box will not or \Box will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
 - d. 🛛 will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7-C, 7-J, Medium-use reporting
 - ii. \Box The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. \Box The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the ______ groundwater reservoir between approximately______ ft. and ______ ft. below land surface;
 - d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** The applicants proposed well will likely source water from an aquifer within fractures and jointing of the Hornbrook Formation at the foot of the Payne Cliffs physiographic feature (Wiley et. al., 2011). Median well yields for wells located in TRS 38S/1W-S11, 12, 13 and 14 are 7 gpm. Some deeper wells report water bearing zones producing greater than 20 gpm. The closest observation well (JACK 53462) is located approximately 1 ¼ miles northwest of the proposed POA and has a limited water level record. Water levels of other wells within the Bear Creek Valley reflect topography and appear relatively stable with time. As the observed groundwater level trends in nearby wells do not meet the definition excessively declined or excessively declining per OAR 690-008-001 (4) and (6), groundwater does not appear to be over-appropriated.

The closest groundwater uses to the applicant's proposed POA are likely exempt use wells on nearby tax lots for domestic purposes. Adjacent tax lots range from 550 to 1300 feet from the proposed POA. A Theis distance drawdown model was used to estimate the magnitude of well-to-well interference that may result from the proposed use (Theis 1935). Results indicate that this use could produce 2 to 20 feet of drawdown in nearby wells, however, given the uncertainty inherent with using analytical models to predict the occurrence and magnitude of drawdown in fractured bedrock aquifers, a preponderance of evidence does not exist to determine injury is likely to occur. Similarly, a POD associated with a spring under Certificate 15497 is located approximately 3000 feet to the Southeast of the proposed POA on Kenutchen Creek. Given the distance of the mapped spring from the proposed POA and the expected drawdown, a preponderance of evidence does not exist to determite conditions should be applied as referenced in B1(d)(i) of this review form.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Bedrock (Hornbrook Formation)		\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Water is stored and transmitted primarily by discrete but connected fracture sets in fractured-bedrock aquifer systems. These fractures generally extend to near the surface, so water within these fractures is likely under atmospheric pressure (unconfined) despite an overall low storage coefficient for the aquifer system as a whole and static water levels often reported above water-bearing zones on drillers logs.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	I YES	Hydrau Conne NO	ilically ected? ASSUMED	Potentia Subst. In Assum YES	ll for terfer. ed? NO
1	1	Bear Creek	1841*	1520	7160	X				\boxtimes
1	2	Kenutchen Creek	1841*	1840	1655		X			\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Bear Creek serves as the valley's regional groundwater discharge point.</u> <u>Kenutchen Creek is shown as an intermittent stream on the most recent field checked topographic map (USGS 1983).</u> <u>Additionally, aerial photographs and street level views of the stream do not indicate flowing water suggesting that Kenutchen</u> <u>Creek is not a surface water source that is hydraulically connected to the target aquifer in the vicinity of the applicant's</u> <u>proposed well.</u>

*Groundwater elevation assumed based on nearby reported static water levels on well reports.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>BEAR CR > ROGUE R - AT MOUTH</u>

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water (SW) source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that SW source, not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖂 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

5

C3b. **690-09-040** (**4**): Evaluation of stream impacts <u>by total appropriation</u> for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW	Qw >	Instream Water	tream Instream Vater Water Light Right Q ID (cfs)	Qw > 1%	80% Natural	Qw > 1% of 80%	Interference @ 30 days	Potential for Subst.
#	5 c1s?	ID		ISWR?	(cfs)	Flow?	(%)	Assumed?

Comments: There are no hydraulically connected surface water sources within 1 mile of the applicant's well.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	Non-Distributed Wells												
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1	1	14 %	11 %	9 %	33 %	49 %	58 %	63 %	66 %	69 %	41 %	25 %	14 %
Well Q	Q as CFS	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Interfer	ence CFS	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.	0	0	0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0	0
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q	107	129	129	105	84.2	61.6	28.1	19.3	17.1	18.3	30.9	65.3
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q	1.07	1.29	1.29	1.05	0.842	0.616	0.281	0.193	0.171	0.183	0.309	0.653
(D) =	$(\mathbf{A}) > (\mathbf{C})$												
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	0 %	0 %	0 %	<1 %	<1 %	<1 %	<1 %	<1 %	<1 %	<1 %	0 %	0 %

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.
 Basis for impact evaluation: The requested rate (0.02 cfs) is less than 1% of the 80% exceedance flows for Bear Creek in the months requested. The estimated stream depletion and above metrics do not lead to an assumption of PSI.

C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

- C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
 - i. \Box The permit should contain condition #(s)_____
 - ii. \Box The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
- C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions: <u>The applicant's well sources water from an aquifer that is determined to be hydraulically</u> connected to Bear Creek. There is not a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use has the potential for substantial interference with Bear Creek as per OAR 690-009.

Page

References Used:

Hunt, B. 1999. "Unsteady Stream Depletion from Ground Water Pumping." *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, Vol 8(1), pp 12-19

OWRD Groundwater Information System (GWIS) Database – Accessed 6/5/2023.

Theis, C.V., 1935. "The relation between the lowering of the piezometric surface and the rate and duration of discharge of a well using groundwater storage." *Am. Geophys. Union Trans.*, vol. 16, pp. 519-524.

Wiley, T.J., McClaughry, J.D., and D'Allura, J., 2011, *Geologic database and generalized geologic map of Bear Creek Valley, Jackson County, Oregon*, Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, Open-File Report O-2011-11, 1:24,000.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.	THE WELL does not appear to meet a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by	current well construction standards based upon:	; ;
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency	or other comment is described as follows:	
D4. [Route to the Well Construction and	Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.	

Water A	vailability Tables					
		Water A	Availability A Detailed Report	Analysis s		
		BEA	R CR > ROGUE R - AT M ROGUE BASIN	IOUTH		
Watershe Date: 6/2/	ed ID #: 70993 <u>(Map)</u> /2023	W	ater Availability as of 6/2/2	2023	Excee	dance Level: 80% ✔ Time: 7:59 AM
Wa	ater Availability Calculation	Consumptive Uses and So Water Rights	torages Ins	stream Flow Requirements	tershed Characteristics	vations
		Water	Availability Calo	culation		
		Monthly S Annual Vol	Streamflow in Cubic Feet lume at 50% Exceedance	per Second e in Acre-Feet		
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	107.00	193.00	-85.50	0.00	170.00	-256.00
FEB	129.00	235.00	-106.00	0.00	170.00	-276.00
MAR	129.00	214.00	-85.30	0.00	170.00	-255.00
APR	105.00	31.20	73.80	0.00	170.00	-96.20
MAY	84.20	47.30	36.90	0.00	170.00	-133.00
JUN	61.60	73.50	-11.90	0.00	100.00	-112.00
JUL	28.10	94.30	-66.20	0.00	40.00	
AUG	19.30	80.00	-60.70	0.00	24.00	-106.00
						-106.00 -84.70
SEP	17.10	56.60	-39.50	0.00	20.00	-106.00 -84.70 -59.50
SEP OCT	17.10 18.30	56.60 18.20	-39.50 0.06	0.00 0.00	20.00 24.00	-106.00 -84.70 -59.50 -23.90
SEP OCT NOV	17.10 18.30 30.90	56.60 18.20 58.10	-39.50 0.06 -27.20	0.00 0.00 0.00	20.00 24.00 62.00	-106.00 -84.70 -59.50 -23.90 -89.20
SEP OCT NOV DEC	17.10 18.30 30.90 65.30	56.60 18.20 58.10 138.00	-39.50 0.06 -27.20 -72.40	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	20.00 24.00 62.00 153.00	-106.00 -84.70 -59.50 -23.90 -89.20 -225.00

Well Location Map



Service Layer Credits: Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community USGS The National Map: National Boundaries Dataset, 3DEP Elevation Program, Geographic Names Information System, National Hydrography Dataset, National Land Cover Database, National Structures Dataset, and National Transportation Dataset; USGS Global Ecosystems; U.S. Census Bureau TIGER/Line data; USFS Road Data; Natural Earth Data; U.S. Department of State

9





Theis Distance Drawdown Modeling

Model parameters are estimates of bulk aquifer properties in the Hornbrook Formation based on published values for flow in fractured sedimentary aquifers.



Hunt Stream Depletion Modeling

Application type:	G
Application number:	19355
Well number:	1
Stream Number:	1
Pumping rate (cfs):	0.02
Pumping duration (days):	183
Pumping start month number (3=March)	4.0

	Parar	meter			Symbol	Scenari	o 1	Scenario	2 So	Scenario 3		nits
Distance fro	m well	to strea	m		a	7160		7160	7	7160	ft	
Aquifer tran	smissiv	vity			Т	50		100	5	500		/day
Aquifer stor	ativity				S	0.0005		0.0001	C	.00005	-	
Aquitard ver	rtical hy	ydraulic	conduc	tivity	Kva	a 0.01		0.05).1	ft/	day
Not used					10.0			20.0		30.0		
Aquitard thi	Aquitard thickness below stream							3.0	2	2.0	ft	
Not used						0.2		0.2	0).2		
Stream widt	h				WS	40		40	4	10	ft	
				S	tream de	pletion f	for Scer	nario 2:				
Days	10	300	330	360	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240
Depletion (%)	10	14	11	9	33	49	58	63	66	69	41	25
) oplation (cfc) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00					0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00

